

Repositório ISCTE-IUL

Deposited in *Repositório ISCTE-IUL*:

2025-04-30

Deposited version:

Publisher Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Serrazina, B. (2024). "Model" workers' villages?: Company rule and adobe-brick houses in late colonial Africa. In Stefan Holzer, Silke Langenberg, Clemens Knobling, Orkun Kasap (Ed.), Proceedings of the 8th International Congress on Construction History. (pp. 1216-1222). Zurique: Vdf Hochschulverlag.

Further information on publisher's website:

10.3218/4166-8

Publisher's copyright statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Serrazina, B. (2024). "Model" workers' villages?: Company rule and adobe-brick houses in late colonial Africa. In Stefan Holzer, Silke Langenberg, Clemens Knobling, Orkun Kasap (Ed.), Proceedings of the 8th International Congress on Construction History. (pp. 1216-1222). Zurique: Vdf Hochschulverlag., which has been published in final form at <https://dx.doi.org/10.3218/4166-8>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Publisher's Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.


Use policy

Creative Commons CC BY 4.0


The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in the Repository
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.



Construction



Matters

Stefan Holzer, Silke Langenberg,
Clemens Knobling, Orkun Kasap (Eds.)

Construction Matters

Proceedings of the 8th International Congress on Construction History

ETH zürich

DARCH
Department of Architecture

Konstruktionssysteme
Konstruktionserbe
und
Bauforschung
Denkmalpflege



Gesellschaft für **BAU**
TECHNIK
GESCHICHTE



Sociedad
Española de
Historia de la
Construcción

spehc. Sociedade Portuguesa
de Estudos de História
da Construção

CHSA
*Construction History
Society of America*



Association Francophone d'Histoire de la Construction

Associazione Edoardo Benvenuto
*per la ricerca
sulla Scienza e l'Arte del Costruire
nel loro sviluppo storico*

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie;
detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

This work is licensed under creative commons licence CC BY 4.0.



Download open access:

ISBN 978-3-7281-4166-8 / DOI 10.3218/4166-8

www.vdf.ch
verlag@vdf.ch

© 2024, vdf Hochschulverlag AG and the editors

All rights reserved. Nothing from this publication may be reproduced, stored in computerised systems or published in any form or in any manner, including electronic, mechanical, reprographic or photographic, without prior written permission from the publishers and editors.

Contents

Scientific Committee	11
The Eighth International Congress on Construction History	13
<i>Stefan M. Holzer, Silke Langenberg</i>	
The strange history of the bridge over the Adda in Trezzo: from Late Middle Ages Chronicles to Structural Medievalism	16
<i>Tullia Iori</i>	
The architectural and structural works of S.A. John Cockerill (1842–1955): balancing between craftsmanship and mass production	24
<i>Ine Wouters</i>	
1. Construction History of the 20th and 21st centuries	41
Construction History of the second half of the 20th and early 21st century	43
<i>Silke Langenberg, Orkun Kasap</i>	
The arrival of the information model, 1969. The new international building industrialization frontier and Italy’s “Electronic Challenge”	48
<i>Francesco Maranelli</i>	
Slipforming: From Manual to Robotic Slipforming	56
<i>Ena Lloret-Fritschi, Selen Ercan Jenny, Francesco Tucci</i>	
Innovative envelope design: Theo Hotz’ High-Tech construction for Zurich	66
<i>Matthias Brenner</i>	
Modern Construction in Italy: the Institute of Mathematics in Bologna	74
<i>Angelo Massafra, Carlo Costantino, Giorgia Predari, Riccardo Gulli</i>	
Types and families: A genealogical approach to standardized type structures in the GDR 1960–1990	82
<i>Konrad M. Frommelt</i>	
Construction during the transition from on-site construction to factory production in the former Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Public Corporation Headquarters Building	90
<i>Ryohei Kumagai, Sho Kanazawa, Asa Kondo</i>	
Central Park in Lugano. A massive construction between prefabrication and craftsmanship	98
<i>Giacinta Jean, Cristina Mosca, Lorenzo Roberto Pini</i>	
New research results on the history of an icon of Italian-style engineering. The Velasca Tower in the BBPR archive	105
<i>Gianluca Capurso, Tullia Fidelbo</i>	
The importance of patents in the development of building structures in the 19th century	113
<i>Francisco Domouso de Alba</i>	
Building Paper 1869 to 1919—a hidden material revealed by patents	116
<i>Nigel Isaacs</i>	
The innovation of reinforced concrete in the automotive factories in the early 1900s: Patents, technologies and constructive experimentation	123
<i>Rossella Maspoli, Giulio Saponaro</i>	
New techniques, ancient forms. Deneux’s patents for reinforced concrete frameworks	131
<i>Maria Rosaria Vitale</i>	
Between Rationalism and “Engenhosidade”, and why not a little Empiricism: the introduction of Portland cement and reinforced concrete in Brazil	139
<i>Maria Luiza Macedo, Xavier de Freitas</i>	
From Bricks to Homes: Affordable Vaulted Housing in the 20th Century	146
<i>Wesam Al Asali, Alejandra Albuerne Rodríguez</i>	
The vault, a controversial shape	154
<i>Nadya Rouizem</i>	

VECA System: brickwork and social housing in Uruguay and Brazil	159
<i>Juliana H. Suzuki, Hugo Segawa</i>	
Domes, vaults, and mud bricks: form and construction in the work of Hassan Fathy	165
<i>Viola Bertini</i>	
Rebuilding Traditions: Tile Vaults in Spain after the Civil War (1940–1956)	173
<i>Javier Madero, Wesam Al-Asali</i>	
Hong Kong Granite in the Construction of Modern Shanghai, 1900s–1930s	181
<i>Jingliang Du</i>	
Brick industry of Hiroshima Prefecture in modern Japan	189
<i>Chunyao Sun, Susumu Mizuta</i>	
Reconsidering PVC window frames (1975–2000). Technological advancements and commercial strategies	197
<i>Marylise Parein, Ine Wouters and Stephanie Van de Voorde</i>	
Geometry, strength, and efficiency: Tracing the standardization of North American structural steel, 1888–present ...	205
<i>Keith J. Lee, Natasha Hirt, Caitlin T. Mueller</i>	
Construction innovation for factory roofs in the second half of the 20th century. Two Italian cases of thin shed vaults	213
<i>Francesco Spada, Laura Greco</i>	
An “audacious technical object”: the Saint Vincent city hall suspended building (1959–1965)	221
<i>Giuseppe Galbiati, Franz Graf, Giulia Marino</i>	
Economy of Means and Structural Experimentation for a Renewed Liturgy. The Church of the Immaculate Heart by Giuseppe Vaccaro in Borgo Panigale	228
<i>Giorgio Azzariti</i>	
The Swiss modern churches of Ferdinand Pfammatter and Walter Rieger	236
<i>Louis Vandenabeele, Clemens Knobling, Stefan M. Holzer</i>	
Friedrich Bleich (1878–1950)—life, work, and emigration	244
<i>Eberhard Pelke, Karl-Eugen Kurrer</i>	
Hidden joints: Emil Mörsch’s Reinforced Concrete Factory and Le Corbusier’s Maison Dom-ino	252
<i>Federico Perugini</i>	
The rise of do-it-yourself in Belgium (1965–1985) and the mutual entanglement between construction history and planning history: an exploration	260
<i>Tom Broes</i>	
Precast thin shells for industrial buildings. The international journey of the Silberkuhl system (1950–1970)	268
<i>Ilaria Giannetti, Martina Russo</i>	
Prefab concrete envelopes between the fifties and sixties. The Italian experience of Gregotti, Meneghetti and Stoppino	276
<i>Maria Luisa Barelli</i>	
An Imperfect Industrialization. Prefabrication Cultures in Post-War Italy Between Liberalism and Public Planning (1943–1949)	284
<i>Angelo Bertolazzi, Ilaria Giannetti</i>	
Silvio Galizia’s reinforced concrete shell roofs. An experience of cross-pollination between the ETH Zurich and Italian structural engineering after World War II	292
<i>Giuseppe Canestrino, Chiara Corinna Galizia, C. Giovanni Galizia, Roberta Lucente</i>	
POLYNORM. Dutch modular construction of the 1950s entirely made of steel sheet	300
<i>Nicolas Grandjean, Agnès Collaud, André Jeker, Reto Mosimann and Séréna Vanbutsele</i>	
Labor or Work? Remembering operations in the construction of the Golconde dormitory, Pondicherry (1935–c.48)	308
<i>Saptarshi Sanyal</i>	
The supporting scaffolding for the foundation restoration of the Strasbourg Cathedral and its remaining model fragments	316
<i>Benjamin Schmid</i>	
“Crossroads of the Air:” The Evolution of Chicago’s O’Hare Airport	324
<i>Thomas Leslie, FAIA</i>	
Knowledge transfer and tacit knowledge in collaborative historic building projects: the case study of the Ghent University building campaign in the 1930s	331
<i>Laurens Bulckaen, Rika Devos</i>	

The technics of elegance: Negotiating efficiency and standardization in three prestressed concrete systems by Aldo Favini and Angelo Mangiarotti	339
<i>J. Schnitzler, I. Donovan, M.A. Ismail, C.T. Mueller</i>	
Constructing lightness. Local and foreign influences in the work of Yuri Plaksiev in post-war Soviet Ukraine	347
<i>Giulia Boller, Federico Bertagna</i>	
The preservation of Heinz Isler's structures made of glass-fiber reinforced plastics	355
<i>Pamela Voigt</i>	
Interactions between the experimental integration of solar thermal systems and building construction technologies: trends identified from a comparative analysis of selected buildings in Europe and the United States (1940s–80s)	363
<i>Elena Poma, Franz Graf</i>	
Emerging building technologies and their impact on facade design	371
<i>Ina Cheibas, Ena Lloret-Fritschi, Cara Rachele, Maarten Delbeke, Romana Rust, Fabio Gramazio, Matthias Kohler</i>	
2. Lectures on 19th and early 20th century topics	381
Construction contractors. New perspectives on the culture of construction from the 18th to the late 20th century	383
<i>Inge Bertels, Mike Chrimes</i>	
Louis Joseph Vicat's synthesis of analysis and experimentation, the invention of the tremie, and the development of hydraulic engineering expertise in France	390
<i>Tom F. Peters</i>	
Avant-gardists sunken into oblivion—The Berlin steel construction company Breest & Co. and its ingenious engineer Hans Schmuckler	399
<i>Roland May</i>	
Early reinforced concrete contractors in Germany—A history of expert knowledge, courage and an open mindset ..	407
<i>Geraldine Buchenau, Sabine Kuban</i>	
Building the face of modern architecture. Facade and window manufacturers as contractors	415
<i>Rouven S. Grom, Andreas W. Putz</i>	
Liquid innovators. Company advertisements of sanitary installers in Paris, London, and Brussels (1850–1940)	423
<i>Matthijs Degraeve</i>	
“Dare, persevere, succeed.” De Coene's venture into glulam in the 1950s and 1960s	431
<i>Kaj-Wolf Depuydt, Sven Sterken, Stephanie Van de Voorde</i>	
Large construction companies in the widespread of modern housing. A comparative analysis between Lisbon and Luanda	439
<i>Inês Lima Rodrigues, Francesca Vita</i>	
Contractors Shaping Den Brandt in Antwerp (1910–1925)	447
<i>Yonca Erkan</i>	
Foreign and local construction practices and the formation of Ottoman engineering in the Hejaz railway construction (1900–1908)	455
<i>Habibe Tuba Bölük, Mario Rinke, João Mascarenhas Mateus</i>	
Collaboration in historical buildings: self-evident but intangible	463
<i>Rika Devos, Laurens Bulckaen</i>	
The National Theater of Panama: a collaborative process	471
<i>Silvia Arroyo Duarte</i>	
Collaboration in building with plastic-coated steel in West Germany in the 1960s: the “Hoesch-bungalow”	479
<i>Silke Haps</i>	
Architects and engineers: design authorship between synergies and disagreements	487
<i>Simona Talenti</i>	
The Concrete Collaborations of Carmen Portinho and Affonso Reidy: Structural innovation in Brazilian Modernism through public service	495
<i>Alexander Curth, Caitlin Mueller, Mohamed Ismail</i>	
Transnational Bridges: Construction History through the Eyes of Migrants	503
<i>Jana Keck, Karl-Eugen Kurrer², Eberhard Pelke³</i>	
Jules Röthlisberger (1851–1911), Swiss expatriate, chief engineer at the Società Nazionale delle Officine di Savigliano in Turin (1884–1910)	510
<i>Vincent Krayenbühl</i>	

Albert Fink and the U.S. transition to statically determinate railroad truss bridges	518
<i>David Simmons, Dario Gasparini</i>	
Immigrant Engineers In New York	524
<i>Donald Friedman</i>	
The Roeblings: migration, knowledge transfer, and tacit knowledge	532
<i>Andreas Kahlow</i>	
Sugar and Technology. Manuel Querino and the Role of Drawing in the 19th Century Brazil's Building Culture	540
<i>Adalberto Vilela, Sylvia Fischer</i>	
Designing and assessing riveted lattice girders in metallic roof structures: from Navier to Eurocode 3	547
<i>Hannah Franz, Mario Rinke, Emilie Leprêtre, Lamine Dieng</i>	
From iron to reinforced concrete: revisiting the interwar oeuvre of Victor Horta in light of his wartime sojourn in the US	555
<i>Tom Packet, Stephanie Van de Voorde</i>	
The research and patents of Dalmine Company: Seamless pipes for the design of building structures	563
<i>Matteo Abita, Renato Morganti</i>	
Arched rafters with diagonal ties: On the history of lightweight truss system in the Russian Empire at the turn of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries	571
<i>Daria Kovaleva</i>	
Construction techniques at Linz Cathedral (1862–1924/36) or how to build an old-fashioned church in a modern way	579
<i>Iris Pfeiffer, Christiane Weber</i>	
How Surveying Kept Tunnel Builders on the Straight and Narrow – The Albula Tunnel	587
<i>Philip S. C. Caston</i>	
Robert Mills' Rotunda Annex at the University of Virginia, 1851–1895	595
<i>Benjamin Hays, PE</i>	
Bridges over the Mittellandkanal in Hanover from 1905–1916	603
<i>Moritz Reinäcker, Johanna Monka-Birkner, Christina Krafczyk, Steffen Marx</i>	
Joseph Cordier (1775–1849)—a liberal engineer between the two Napoléons	611
<i>Stefan M. Holzer</i>	
Slag, Norms, and Patents. Circulating Knowledge and Experimental Laboratory Construction at the Swiss Federal Polytechnic School 1880–1900	619
<i>Sarah M. Schlachetzki</i>	
Between practice and rule: codification, testing and use of plain concrete in Dutch military architecture (1870's–1910's)	627
<i>Federica Marulo, Jeroen van der Werf</i>	
Iron wires. The Seguin brothers and suspension bridges in the Grand Duchy of Tuscany and the Kingdom of Sardinia	635
<i>Danilo Di Donato, Alessandra Tosone</i>	
The Hackerbrücke in Munich: a landmark of iron and concrete engineering from the late nineteenth century	643
<i>Clemens Voigts</i>	
“Un pont sur arbalétriers”: Building a three-hinged arch over the Faux-Namti Gorge in Yunnan (1908)	651
<i>Di Zhao, Bernard Espion</i>	
The Garabit viaduct as the apogee of classical French railway overpass design, 1880–1884	659
<i>Matteo Porrino</i>	
The Eglisau Bridge Competition of 1805–10: a Kaleidoscope of Early Modern Construction Techniques	667
<i>Jasmin Schäfer</i>	
Postconstruction problems with the 85 m span timber arch bridge over the Kokra River in Kranj, Slovenia	675
<i>Lara Slivnik</i>	
The combination of timber and iron in roof structures of nineteenth-century railway stations in Switzerland	683
<i>Kylie Russnaik, Stefan Holzer</i>	

3. Construction History topics relating to Antiquity, the Middle Ages, and the Early Modern period	693
Building Services and Living Comfort in Medieval Residences and Places of Leisure in the Mediterranean Region <i>Kai Kappel, Klaus Tragbar</i>	695
Latrine towers. Models, uses and diffusion in Mediterranean architecture from the 12th to the 14th century <i>Maria Teresa Gigliozi</i>	698
Between privy and throne. Building facilities as an expression of sophistication at the court of the Western Umayyad Caliphate (tenth century, Spain) <i>Heike Lehmann</i>	706
A late-Hohenstaufen castle and its living comforts: the Palas of Gravina in Puglia <i>Judith Dreiling, Giulia Pollini</i>	714
Wooden floors versus coffered ceilings: structural improvement and decorative complexity in the palaces of Cremona (1490–1540) <i>Alberto Grimoldi, Angelo Giuseppe Landi</i>	722
Thin Timber Domes in Restoration England (c. 1670–1680) <i>Luka Pajovic</i>	730
The Building History of a Medieval Bridge: The Pont du Diable in Saint-Jean-de-Fos (Hérault, France) <i>Grazia Cione, Jasmin Schäfer, Clemens Voigts</i>	738
An Insight into the Building Process of Pont Valentré in Cahors (XIV Century) <i>Laura Carmona-López, Clemens Knobling, Jasmin Schäfer</i>	746
Temporary structures as part of the constructive process: a centering system proposal for the oval dome of San Carlo alle Quattro Fontane <i>Maria del Pilar Pastor Altaba</i>	754
Vaulting Techniques in Romanesque Burgundy: Advanced Large-span Groin Vaults at Sainte-Trinité in Anzy-le-Duc (1001–1120) <i>Marius Pfister, Louis Vandenabeele</i>	762
The revolution in vault construction before the Gothic: Speyer Cathedral, some related examples, and the development of wide spanned vaults in the 11th and 12th centuries <i>David Wendland, Mark Gielen</i>	771
The cathedral of St. Pierre in Lisieux: A laboratory of vaults from the twelfth to the sixteenth centuries <i>Mathias Häcki, Louis Vandenabeele, Clemens Voigts, Stefan Holzer</i>	780
Transformation of a Temporary Mold to a Permanent Structural Member: A Strategy for without-Centering Vaulting in the Iranian Traditional Architecture <i>Hadi Safaeipour, Mahsa Pour-Ahmad</i>	788
Construction Technique of the Dome of Nizām al-Mulk in the Friday Mosque of Isfahan <i>Soheil Nazari</i> <i>Department of Construction History, Brandenburgische Technische Universität, Germany</i>	796
Experimental assessment of existing ideas on brick vaults by slices building process <i>Enrique Rabasa-Díaz, Ana López-Mozo, Miguel Á. Alonso-Rodríguez, Rafael Marín-Sánchez, Alberto Sanjurjo-Álvarez</i>	804
Brick vaults without centering in the church of Calatrava la Nueva: geometry and construction <i>Ignacio Gil-Crespo, Pau Natividad-Vivó, José Calvo-López</i>	810
The vaults of St. Nicholas in the Lesser Town of Prague (1703–1711, 1737–1760s): the Dientzenhofers' magnum opus <i>Rebecca Erika Schmitt</i>	818
Tegula cumularia. Life Cycles of Brick and Tile in Pompeii <i>Julian Bauch, Pia Kastenmeier</i>	826
Building service solutions in the first half of the 13th century CE. —Variations of the same tasks in the two towers of the Margraves of Baden in Besigheim <i>Jonas Lengenfeld</i>	833
The spiral staircase attached to the so-called Gothic Wall of the Cathedral of Jaen (Andalusia, Spain) and its relationship with Mediterranean cases <i>Alberto Sanjurjo Álvarez, Rocío Carvajal Alcaide</i>	841
Building the international baroque: stone in a brick city, and the pronaos at Superga <i>Edoardo Piccoli, Cesare Tocci, Elisabetta Culla</i>	849

Construction Materials, Building Costs, and the Emergence of Building Estimates in 18th Century Germany – Building and the ‘cameralistic economy of resources’	857
<i>Torsten Meyer</i>	
When Patronage Undermines Construction. Negotiating the Uniate Architecture in Eighteenth-Century Poland-Lithuania	865
<i>Melchior Jakubowski</i>	
Administration in the mid 17th century court of Savoy	873
<i>Valentina Burgassi</i>	
What competences were required of Paris building experts in the early modern era?	881
<i>Robert Carvais</i>	
Building Art: the decorative terracotta of Palazzo Fodri in Cremona (IT)	889
<i>Angelo Giuseppe Landi, Martina Adami</i>	
Contribution to the history of roofing slate in Southern Brabant: a methodological approach from the Brussels case study (Belgium)	897
<i>Paulo Charruadas, Eric Goemaere, Philippe Sosnowska</i>	
The Introduction and Manufacture of Cast Iron Water Supply Pipes, 1600–1850	905
<i>Lei Song, James W. P. Campbell</i>	
The construction of <i>gaiola pombalina</i> in pictures: Historical photographs and the timber seismic reinforcement system in Lisbon, 1870–1910	912
<i>João Mascarenhas-Mateus, Caio Rodrigues de Castro</i>	
Anonymous stucco workers behind great architects: stucco decorations as choral creations in the late Baroque Naples (17th–18th centuries)	920
<i>Damiana Treccozi</i>	
Design and construction of provisional works for the maintenance of extra-ordinary buildings in the eighteenth century: the wooden scaffolding for the main nave of St. Peter’s Basilica in the Vatican	928
<i>Nicoletta Marconi, Ilaria Giannetti</i>	
4. Diachronic and more general topics	937
How might prosopography help construction history?	939
<i>Michela Barbot, Robert Carvais, Emmanuel Château-Dutier, Valérie Nègre</i>	
Building Parliament: the masons of the Palace of Westminster c.1839–c.1860	947
<i>Alexandrina Buchanan</i>	
How prosopography serves construction history-working with the lives of civil engineers	955
<i>Mike Chrimes</i>	
Military engineers as thought collective—Understanding governmental building projects in the Habsburg monarchy around 1850	963
<i>Frank Rochow</i>	
Mind make the shape. The shell construction in the middle of the 20th century—approach via prosopography	971
<i>Elke Genzel</i>	
Construction history of nailed board trusses in correlation with German engineering biographies in the middle of the 20th century	975
<i>Iris Engelmann</i>	
Defining the Teaching of Construction to Architects. Construction Teachers at Architecture Departments of the Ecole des Beaux-Arts in Paris and the Technische Hochschule in Munich between 1920 and 1968	983
<i>Gabriel Bernard Guelle</i>	
Architects as researchers. The first doctor-engineers (Dr.-Ing.) in architecture	990
<i>Andreas W. Putz</i>	
How construction shaped globalization: The nineteenth and twentieth century Eurasian cases	998
<i>Chang-Xue Shu</i>	
Reinforced concrete Catholic churches in Republican China (1912–1949)	1006
<i>Thomas Coomans, Yitao Xu, Jianwei Zhang</i>	
From Timber to Globalization: Exploring the Construction History of Fraser Mills in Coquitlam, British Columbia, Canada	1014
<i>Yiting Pan, Jasmine Moore</i>	

Shaping a new building culture in Soviet Union: Soviet engineers in Italy	1022
<i>Christian Toson</i>	
Industrial half-timbering in Japan: French technology transfer and Japanization from the late 19 th to early 20 th century	1029
<i>Akio Sassa, Manabu Fujimoto</i>	
Deconstruction, salvage, and reuse in Construction History. Unveiling collective narratives and new perspectives	1038
<i>Stephanie Van de Voorde, Ine Wouters, Philippe Bernardi, Maxime L'Héritier</i>	
Building and second-hand materials in times of crisis. Questioning a constraining context on the supply of building sites in the late Middle Ages and early modern period	1046
<i>Marion Foucher</i>	
Looking for Construction Process in Early Modern Paris: demolish to build better	1054
<i>Léonore Dubois-Losserand</i>	
Spolia Britannica: Aspects of Architectural Salvage in Britain	1061
<i>Michael Heaton</i>	
Small but significant. Tracing the emergence and evolution of the demolition profession in Brussels (1860–1970) ..	1069
<i>Lara Reyniers, Stephanie Van de Voorde, Ine Wouters</i>	
Structural component reuse of precast and cast-in-place reinforced concrete in architecture since the late 1960s in Europe	1077
<i>Célia Küpfer, Corentin Fivet</i>	
Value through the Ages: An evolving landscape of demolition, salvage, and reuse in North America, 1890s–2010s .	1085
<i>Juliette Cook, Rashmi Sirkar</i>	
(De)constructing gender? Women laborers and building site photography in western India, 1850–1990	1093
<i>Sarah Melsens</i>	
“Unfortunately, the toll is high for some of your blacks”: Moments of Crisis in the Belgian Congo’s Construction Industry	1101
<i>Robby Fivez</i>	
From barrack-hut to Ritz: Housing solutions on the construction site of the Grande Dixence dam, 1950–1965	1109
<i>Rune Frandsen</i>	
Crisis or Tradition? Women Construction Laborers in Late Medieval Valencia	1117
<i>Shelley E. Roff</i>	
Narratives and Silences in the History of the Industrialization of Construction	1124
<i>Gregory Dreicer</i>	
Construction History, Above and Beyond. Setting up a Dialogue with other Historical Disciplines	1131
<i>Laurence Heindryckx, Michiel Dehaene, Dave De ruysscher, Rika Devos, Johan Lagae, Ine Wouters, Tom Broes, Simon De Nys-Ketels, Robby Fivez, Igor Bloch, Louis Debersaques, Robrecht Verstraete, Stephanie Van de Voorde</i>	
A History of Highs and Lows. The College of Civil Engineering in Cottbus, GDR, in the 1950s and 1960s	1139
<i>Elke Richter</i>	
Learning ‘through’ History: Remaking the Sydney Opera House 50 Years Later	1147
<i>Paolo Stracchi, Luciano Cardellicchio, Paolo Tombesi</i>	
The Development and Decay of Traditional Masonry Craftsmen: a Study of the Last Generation of Stone-carving Teams in the North-east of Taiwan	1155
<i>En-Jia Li, Nan-Wei Wu</i>	
Constructing Coldscape in Treaty-Port Shanghai	1163
<i>Zhengfeng Wang</i>	
A visual approach to structural design: photoelasticity as a collaborative tool in Gengo Matsui’s work	1171
<i>Federico Bertagna, Tazuru Harada</i>	
Acceptance and Development of Trocken Montagebau in Japan	1179
<i>Ren Sakuragawa, Leo Tanishige, Kohji Takeuchi, Tomoyuki Gondo</i>	
The architectural and building culture of the Benedictines congregation “de Unitate” in the Renaissance. A network of monasteries and building sites	1185
<i>Gianmario Guidarelli</i>	
Planning through Distant Geographies: Uncover a GDR-Cuban Collaboration in the Nuevitas Cement Plant Construction	1192
<i>Juliane Richter</i>	

Legal expertise in professional construction periodicals: the Belgian building sector shaping and shaped by processes of juridification, 1918–1940	1200
<i>Simon De Nys-Ketels, Rika Devos</i>	
The Tacit Turn? Designing the Silent Laboratory	1208
<i>Fiona Smyth</i>	
“Model” Workers’ villages? Company rule and adobe-brick houses in late colonial Africa	1216
<i>Beatriz Serrazina</i>	
Système Grévisse. The Lubumbashi post-war housing scheme, between colonial guidelines and reality	1223
<i>Igor Bloch, Simon De Nys-Ketels</i>	
The Transformation of Traditional Construction under Ethnic Migration: the Construction Systems of the Bunun Architecture	1231
<i>Liang-Ping Yen</i>	
Women, colonialism and building sites. Gender experiences in former African territories ruled by the Portuguese through colonial archives	1239
<i>Ana Vaz Milheiro</i>	
Building with limited resources in times of revolution. Construction processes in Social Housing by Álvaro Siza in the early 1970s	1245
<i>Clara Pimenta do Vale, Teresa Cunha Ferreira, Tiago Cruz, Joaquim Teixeira, Rui Fernandes Póvoas</i>	
Exploring the Gulag as built heritage: Construction techniques and architecture of the Chtchoutchi camp in Siberia ...	1253
<i>Jérôme André</i>	
Construction works, xibalo and the Maxaquene cove embankment in Lourenço Marques, in Portuguese East Africa ..	1261
<i>Lisandra Franco de Mendonça</i>	
On “Borrowing” and “Othering”. Unpacking the practices, networks, and biases underpinning two manuals on building in the tropics around 1940	1269
<i>Johan Lagae, Monika Motylińska</i>	

“Model” Workers’ villages? Company rule and adobe-brick houses in late colonial Africa

Beatriz Serrazina

Dinâmia ‘CET, Iscte-IUL, Lisbon, Portugal

Abstract: In the early 1920s, a severe influenza epidemic in the Panda mining camps, recently founded by Union Minière in southern Belgian Congo, shed light on the importance of housing material conditions. Due to medical studies and reports, a solution was soon to be found in single-family adobe houses. Bricks arguably offered plenty of “benefits”: they were cheap, made with local raw materials, easily assembled on site and did not require much expertise or heavy machinery. For the following decades, adobe was put forward by mining enterprises as a tool for and a symbol of control, neatness, salubrity, productivity and social hierarchy. When industrialization and urbanization issues became strongly entangled in the 1950s, the materialization of workers’ houses was not only a case study for scientists but also a key instrument to counter international politics and anxieties about African housing. This paper questions the role of the adobe-brick components in shaping the built environment in late colonial Africa. What was their impact on house design, construction sites and building teams? To what extent did they compete with other technologies, namely concrete and stone? The overlooked histories of mining villages’ construction illuminate significant trans-imperial circuits of knowledge transfer, running from the first on-site connections to the late international expert meetings. Far from being “workingman’s paradises”, as most company official reports suggested, adobe villages materialized multiple combinations of economic, social, moral and power guises, thus offering new perspectives on colonial construction, away from canonized actors, materials and norms.

Introduction

Mining companies were key agents in producing space across colonial Africa in the twentieth century. While working within large extractive concessions of land, enterprises were made responsible by the colonial apparatuses for housing thousands of workers. As a result, workers’ villages stood out as one of the main units of territorial organization.

The mining labor space was organized according to a series of factors: stages in the recruitment process, type of contract, professional roles, and duration of the mines. In addition to these requirements, it was influenced by political and social factors (legal diplomas, pressure from the colonial authorities or international bodies, for example) and technical demands (such as the availability of materials, construction methods, health issues, and town planning guidelines). The process of building houses for workers was thus not linear, homogeneous, or well-ordered over time and space.

This paper aims to explore the housing models offered by three of the major mining companies operating in Central Africa, roughly from the late 1910s until the 1970s. The workers’ villages of Union Minière du Haut Katanga and Forminière in Belgian Congo, and Diamang in northeastern Angola, will be questioned about their building materials, particularly on the role and the outcomes of adobe bricks. What was their impact on house design, construction sites, and building teams? To what extent did they compete with other building technologies?

Previous research has questioned the social, political, and spatial impacts of workers’ housing in Africa (Home 2010; Barker-Ciganikova, et al. 2020) and, in particular, of the mentioned mining companies’ repertoires (Higginson 1988; Cleveland 2015; Waldburger 2020). Following this background, this paper will try to surpass two persisting gaps: first, accounts of the materiality of these settlements are still scarce, despite their fundamental role in spatial design, the companies’ positioning and the workers’ contestation, as this paper will unveil; second, while Union Minière, Forminière and Diamang shared roots and fostered strong trans-national circuits of knowledge, they have yet not been approached together, mainly due to their different political colonial contexts—the first two under Belgian control and the last under Portuguese rule.

The paper follows a genealogical approach to unpacking the entanglements between mining corporations over time, mostly concerning typologies, material choices, and political debates.

1. The rise of material concerns, 1920–1935

1.1. Panda, Belgian Congo

During the year 1922, several influenza outbreaks took place across mining camps in Panda, one of the main locations of Union Minière du Haut Katanga (UMHK) in southern Belgian Congo. The company stressed the role of housing in these events: “Recent epidemics have highlighted the disadvantages of ‘Panda-type’ buildings from a hygiene



Figure 1. “Old houses” for workers in Union Minière’s Panda camp, Belgian Congo (AGR, Sibeka, 530).

point of view. It is indisputable that the contagious nature of these diseases is difficult to contain in the camps. Every room houses 14 people and therefore quickly becomes a source of infection. Bearing this in mind, we have found that ‘hut-type’ housing for three or four laborers is the best option” (Archives Union Minière 1922). (Fig. 1)

That same year, the UMHK sponsored the publication of the book “*L’hygiène pratique des camps de travailleurs noirs en Afrique tropicale*”, authored by doctors Arthur Pearson and R. Mouchet. The volume presented numerous guidelines on the planning of camps, types of construction, models of toilets and water connections, and cleaning methods, among other aspects. From their experience of the “first eighteen years of European work in Katanga”, Pearson and Mouchet advocated for a spatial solution similar to the notes mentioned above: single-family detached houses, organized through an “orthogonal system”, divided into “sections”, with a central communal kitchen, toilets on the side and surrounded by a fence (Pearson and Mouchet 1922).

While not much was then detailed about the materiality of constructions, later publications would openly show UMHK’s growing awareness about the topic. In 1933, Rene Van Nitsen, also part of the company’s medical team, published a book whose title suggested the need for a more local-based approach: “*L’hygiène des travailleurs noirs dans les camps industriels du Haut-Katanga*”. In this volume, the materiality of housing was flagged as a key subject. According to Nitsen’s notes, there were various construction options across mining camps, but not all of them met the UMHK’s latest standards. Although straw huts were the cheapest and most similar to local housing, they offered few hygiene guarantees and should soon be abandoned. Rammed earth houses were also to be discarded due to their high cost, the large amount of wood needed, and the difficult maintenance.

Since these initial building hypotheses had been ruled out, Nitsen presented bricks as the most “suitable” material. In the villages of Union Minière there were various “models” of brick buildings, most of them known as “Kimberley bricks” houses (Nitsen 1933). Photographs of camps in Panda, Ruashi, and Lubumbashi, among others, revealed the many different typologies to be found and the attention and effort that UMHK put into the exterior look of houses and communal spaces, namely small green areas, and kitchens (Archives Union Minière 1930). (Fig. 2)



Figure 2. “New modern houses” for workers in Panda camp, Belgian Congo (AGR, Sibeka, 530).

According to John Higginson, who later addressed Union Minière’s activities, “brick and cement housing was the best insurance against tick fever and pneumonia”, thus allowing “the replacement of the [previous] military metaphor (...) with a bureaucratic and scientific one reflected the imperatives of technical control as perceived by the Union Minière’s executive” (Higginson 1988, 11–13).

After these first experiments, the 1930s were fruitful in further testing materials. The definition of new labor hierarchies was also at stake and both topics were taken by companies as intertwined issues: for example, in 1938, the Union Minière reported that it was “impossible to continue to house ‘specialized workers’ in the same type of house as inferior labor. The black man is not indifferent to the category of accommodation he is given, nor its comfort. This question is as important as wages, rations or marriage for the stabilization of workers...” (Archives Union Minière 1940). In this regard, the widespread use of baked bricks had a significant impact on the design of houses, especially in the inclusion of verandas. In effect, the so-called “double house”, which had brick walls and a front veranda, became the most used typology throughout mining camps in Belgian Congo and Angola from the 1940s onwards.

1.2. Lunda, Angola

Following the “hygienic concerns” that mostly guided the production of space in Africa at the beginning of the 20th century, “salubrity” became the main structuring line of the first mining sites. It was the materiality of the construction that began to divide the “old” villages from those considered to be more “modern”. This distinction was made very clear in Diamang’s mining camps, located in the Lunda region, right next to the northeastern border of Angola.

Diamang shared roots with the companies of the Belgian Congo. A few years after the creation of Union Minière and Forminière, Belgian engineers were the first to find diamonds in Lunda, after crossing the rivers on Angola’s north-eastern border. Since then, the corporations became “like siblings” (Archives Sibeka 1929) and shared stakeholders, technicians, workers, know-how, and transport routes. Later, they also became major players within the powerful “Cape to Katanga” team or “lobby”, which controlled much of the territory in this Central African corridor over the following decades (Wolfe 1962; Hughes 2003).



Figure 3. Contrast between “modern and old” houses in Lunda (ANTT/AOS-D-N/2-2-1, 1936).

In Lunda, Diamang’s concerns were evidently similar to those shown by Union Minière and Forminière regarding the materiality of housing. On Diamang’s 1936 annual report, the company presented a sharp confrontation between two house typologies that was to be seen as the “before and after” of the reality to be achieved in Lunda (Fig. 3) (ANTT Archives 1936)—but which was slow to happen on the ground, as this paper later questions.

The strategy of using changes in the materiality of housing as an alluring tool was evident in the “propaganda villages” that were being built in Lunda, where the company decided to use adobe bricks and zinc roofs. The following year, Diamang confirmed this approach by presenting a more systematized view of its villages in a similar annual report. Different houses on offer in Lunda, whose typological, spatial, and aesthetic characteristics corresponded closely to the Union Minière houses described by Van Nitsen, were presented in a carefully composed picture (ANTT Archives 1937). While it is essential to stress that most of the company’s housing on the ground was still made of wattle and dub, the three different “types” of houses exhibited, all made in brick, revealed Diamang’s intentions toward different construction materials and methods.

From the experience coming out of the Union Minière, not only through the medical reports, as mentioned above, but also through frequent visits that technicians (often operating in the two or three companies simultaneously) made to the several mining sites across borders, the benefits of improving housing conditions were striking. These were trialed through several typological and constructive changes. The Belgian teams were keen to suggest offering houses that were “perhaps more expensive, but healthy and preferred by the locals”, which would make it possible to balance the work, family, and social life of the laborers, as well as promoting a labor hierarchy through housing while turning it into an inducement for further vocational training (Mottouille 1946). Several pictures show changes in the mining camps, where long rows of brick houses would compose workers’ villages.

Along the same lines, Diamang built its first “model village” in 1940. This plan further emphasized construction as a key strategic device for running mining operations. The site was exposed as a fundamental spot for “tests on the hygiene of the villages and their layout, before any measures were generalized to other settlements”, in a significant appreciation of empirical knowledge. The “model village” was set up in

Mucunene, a “panoramic point” near one of the main mining groups. The location had been carefully chosen: visible from afar, seen by the workers and their families on their way to work as well as outside visitors when traveling throughout the mining area (Diamang Archives 1941).

2. Material and political entanglements, 1950–1960

2.1. “Durable” materials

Despite the companies’ first efforts to simultaneously counter housing and productivity issues, the living conditions in most mines remain appalling. Several labor riots between 1935 and 1940 were a result of rough and murky environments (Cooper 1966; Perrings 1979; Parpart 1983; Seibert 2015).

It was after WWII, when movements against colonialism became sounder, that ideas of “urbanization” expanded to the places where African workers and families lived. The knowledge produced on and from colonial territories was deemed crucial for the actors involved: while the colonial state sought to secure its authority, local communities exposed growing power over the ruling structures. The housing issue, in particular, went beyond the initial questions of hygiene and control to stand out as a political discussion. This context resulted in and was fueled by new international organizations and meetings, mounting scientific research and technological production, as well as the expectations of the colonial administrations and populations. Consequently, mining companies’ previous “stabilization strategies” for African labor began to be worked on by other bodies and particular forums, while there were ongoing legal and social changes that could no longer be ignored.

At the beginning of 1950, in particular, corporations in Central Africa were openly confronted with the scrutiny emerging in various inter-imperial forums, especially about the so-called “conditions of assistance” for African labor. The Inter-African Labour Conferences, the second edition of which took place in Elisabethville, Belgian Congo, in July of that year, were among the most important meetings. Amid the documents presented by the companies were extensive reports, with written information and photographs that acknowledged housing as one of the most influential materializations in the mining space.

Belgian companies had been working hard since the late 1930s to increase the number of houses made of “durable materials”. This orientation was reflected in concrete figures: in the 11 camps of Union Minière, for example, around 3/4 of the accommodation area (162,000m²) was made up of “durable” materials (in concrete or backed bricks); in the more recent camps, in particular, the number of “non-durable or temporary” constructions (in mud brick or adobe) was minimal. The rationale toward this material path was made clear by the mining agents: “Today [in the mining villages] we have the greatest interest in improving the living conditions of the workers and their families. These are sine qua non conditions for the success of our stabilization policy” (Archives Union Minière 1947).

Professional positions also kept playing an important role in housing design and choice of materials. In this regard, Diamang claimed that a clear distinction between houses was a key tool for and a result of labor hierarchy. Unsurprisingly, the company argued that “contract” workers—i.e. those most



Figure 4. Chilupuca “modern neighborhood” in Lunda (SPAMOI, report, 1966. UC/AD).

scrutinized by the colonial state, since they were “recruited” by public bodies—should live in brick houses, closer to the Western model. On the other hand, the “volunteer” workers—as the men from the Luanda region were called—should live in their “original” houses, made with local materials.

According to inside reports, this choice represented both material savings for the company and greater satisfaction for the workers. Furthermore, materials were used to enhance racial distinctions among colonial workers. For instance, Diamang was keen on stressing the “better houses” offered to the Cape-Verdian laborers, who were considered more capable. These houses were made with baked bricks and their outside walls were painted with white clay. In the following decade, the company would use this same “model” to build “modern neighborhoods” in Lunda, thus endorsing such an option. (Fig. 4)

2.2. “Definitive” housing

A few years before the Elisabethville meeting, the Portuguese colonial government had issued a decree requiring companies to build “definitive” housing for their workers, thus putting extra pressure on the issue. This diploma was in line with the overall guidelines of the Native Labor Code [Código do Trabalho Indígena, CTI], already underway in Angola since 1928, but the growing international scrutiny of European colonialism had made this latest orientation stand out.

In 1953, the anxieties of the Portuguese colonial apparatus around the topic were made evident: the approval of labor contracts by the government in Angola was put on hold until companies presented plans and drawings of their workers’ houses. Materiality was the cornerstone, confirming the approach already set in the Inter-African Labour Conference. While both decrees mentioned the need for employees to house their workers, the “housing question” was approached differently. The 1928 Labor Code specified the legal right to build “types of houses for each region of the colony, taking into account climatic conditions, the habits of the indigenous people and local resources of building materials” (Código do Trabalho Indígena 1928). As a result, the employers had assumed over the years that houses made of clay and grass—considered the “local materials”—were sufficient to fulfill the legal obligations. There were a few experiments with brick houses throughout Angola, also sponsored by Diamang in the Lunda region, but they were far from representing the overall

housing conditions within the colony. The later decree, on the other hand, was sketchier around building materials, only mentioning the need to offer improved and definitive living solutions (Portaria 1946). The interpretation of the colonial apparatus gave it a new meaning: the “definitive nature” of housing was to be translated into “brick”, thus mirroring fresh technological developments, the newest social demands, and greater public attention.

In an aim to make it easier for employers, the Colonial Public Works Department in Angola shared “model plans” for enterprises to use. However, when faced with the design, the Diamang engineers expressed their frustration at “the short-sighted approach of the Portuguese authorities”: in their words, it was “a pity that [houses] are not built in Angola by copying or imitating what has already been studied and tried out abroad” (Diamang Archives 1953). As an alternative to this short view, they referred to the “extremely interesting” publication of the *Bulletin du Centre d’études des Problèmes Sociaux Indigènes*, in particular issues 12 and 18, from 1950 and 1952 respectively. In both volumes, published by the Institut Royal Colonial Belge, the company’s agents praised the “interesting and appropriate models of housing” and the “extensive material to help solve the problem of indigenous housing” (Diamang Archives 1953).

Volume 12 included several pages on the “houses and annexes for indigenous personnel” at the Union Minière, with plans, their dimensions, and photographs. In addition to these plans, the booklet also had detailed maps about building materials (Bulletin du CEPSSI 1950, 129–181). By then, bricks were the only option considered, in contrast with previous studies. It is noteworthy that the names of the houses offered by the different companies, although often the same, did not translate into similar solutions on the ground—a question that illuminates the corporations’ strategies and loopholes and does not allow for immediate and simple comparisons. In the camps of the Belgian Congo, for instance, a “temporary house” was built with Kimberley bricks (dry adobe blocks), a rammed earth floor, and an aluminum roof; in Lunda, on the contrary, straw roofs were mainly kept in use. A “temporary” dwelling at Union Minière would therefore match a “semi-definitive house” in Lunda. The “definitive” houses, on the other hand, were similar in terms of materiality at both sites: concrete foundations, cement floors, baked brick walls, glass windows, and corrugated fiber cement roofing sheets.

Volume 18, on the other hand, printed a summarized version of M. Bruyère’s latest studies (Bulletin du CEPSSI 1952). The Belgian engineer had written his “Contributions to the study of Indigenous Housing in the Belgian Congo” in 1952. Regarding the organization and materiality of the house, he analyzed the pros and cons of solutions tested in the Belgian Congo and assumed the challenges of that “panacea”. Bruyère’s conclusion was open-ended: “Traditional materials, when used properly, are undoubtedly the most economical option, but given the weight of the task [of housing workers], new methods must be tested” (Bruyère 1952, 144).

Diamang followed the Belgian advice and drawings and soon had its labor contracts approved again. The plans included an orthogonally organized village composed of numerous brick houses, with a front veranda and metal roof. (Fig. 5) Tellingly, the colonial administration in Angola and other experts considered mining companies’ houses as “role models” to be followed by other enterprises throughout



Figure 5. Plans for Diamang workers houses, 1953 (UC/AD).

the colony (Garrett 1940; Diamang Archives 1953). This assessment hints at a broader impact of company housing that is yet to be studied.

2.3. Expertise and contestation

Although companies showed an obvious interest in the widespread use of brick houses—not least because this option responded to economic, social, and political constraints, as previously noticed—it is important to grasp that changes in the materiality of housing were also entangled with other factors which involved the know-how and the willingness of the local communities, as well as the re-organization of construction teams and methods.

First, in parallel with the aforementioned international political debates, mining companies began to test new construction models and methods. The motives were many: economy, speed, and diversification of materials. In 1953, both Union Minière and Diamang experimented with “airform” houses (Lagae and Boonen 2012; Diamang Archives 1953), using the structures created by Wallace Neff in 1941, which could be built in a few hours using an air balloon and sprayed concrete. Diamang also trialed the manufacture of new cement-dosed earth bricks, by using machines imported from England “on an experimental basis”. (Fig. 6) Despite their efforts, none of these experiments were successful. The earth blocks used in Lunda could not withstand the rain and subsequently required the external walls to be plastered with cement and sand mortar. On the other hand, the “balloon houses”, even if they were semi-detached, were rejected due to their “slowness, smaller area, and high cost” (Diamang Archives 1955), when compared to the common brick house. In sum, the latter material remained predominant.

Secondly, reports of the Diamang’s labor service in the early 1960s assumed, in sparse and scattered lines, that it was “advisable to gradually replace the mud and grass houses with brick ones” because there would be great “difficulty in collecting material (mostly grass) for major repairs” and it would take “a lot of persistence for the women to harvest the grass”. Notes on the subject highlighted opposing and overlapping motives for material and typological changes in housing: “The workers show a clear preference for permanent brick villages, we don’t know if it’s because of the comfort or because it frees the women from picking grass. The work is well paid, but it’s met with reluctance and unwillingness (...). There are also long distances to collect wood. Traditional

building work is becoming increasingly expensive due to the repeated increases in the minimum wage. This motive is almost as strong [to choose brick houses] as the desire to provide better accommodation for the workers” (Diamang Archives 1966).

From then on, corporations had to create and support building teams with more expertise in brickmaking and construction. In addition, new brick factories had to be built, incorporating more and more technology. The situation was compounded by evidence that fewer and fewer people knew how to work with local materials. In other words, changes in construction altered the building knowledge of the regional communities; and this last change in itself reinforced the abandonment of the so-called “traditional” typologies. This outcome could be understood as a “creole technology”, introduced by David Edgerton as “technologies originating elsewhere combine in original ways with local technologies, forming hybrids” (Edgerton 1999, 101). Brick houses, however, would never be fully appreciated by everyone. On the contrary, reports of the rejection of this material and the resulting “European” typologies continued until the 1970s, when the Portuguese colonial project was over and Diamang was nationalized.



Figure 6. Brick factory in Lunda; blocks in stock (SPAMOI Report, 1961, UC/AD).

Conclusion

Over several decades of exploitation in Central Africa, mining companies have built thousands of houses for their workers. This article surveyed the mining camps of Union Minière, Forminière, and Diamang, across former Belgian Congo and Angola, to question the role and impact of building materials in creating colonial built environments. Even though these were everyday constructions, apparently of no great architectural value, they have shaped extensive landscapes and were often considered “models” to be copied by other colonial bodies. Furthermore, they were part of a history that intersects different geographies and expands the construction knowledge on colonial Africa beyond the still prevailing national and “modern” frameworks. Cross-readings are thus essential to understanding the wider spatial and building impact of these corporations.

Research points out that the materiality of housing was more significant and more debated than the design itself in these exploitation contexts. While the houses were also classified according to the number of bedrooms, it was mostly the materials that stood out as the main differentiating factors.

Yet, it remains important to stress that the same typology names were often attributed to different building solutions, over time and in different geographies, revealing an intricate and volatile reality that was quite permeable to local agency and not always easy to disentangle in the light of the present. The complexity of mapping and systematizing the different types of housing and spatial devices reveals villages as a complex ground to be further unpacked.

Moreover, the introduction of new building elements, such as the veranda, was directly related to changes in materials. Brick allowed the design of new typologies, considered “more modern”, and thus must be enquired as an essential part of the process of spatial and social “modernization” in the context of colonialism in Africa.

Finally, it is noteworthy that the surveyed processes of material changes were not only dictated or guided by the mining corporations but also recurrently participated by local knowledge. Such an interplay suggests that materiality entails practical procedures and building methods that are not easily controlled by theoretical knowledge or impositions. Future research on the impact of workers in colonial construction sites and building techniques is still needed.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) under Grant SFRH/BD/122658/2016. The research is part of the research project “ArchLabour: Architecture, Colonialism and Labour. The role and legacy of mass labour in the design, planning and construction of Public Works in former African territories under Portuguese colonial rule” [ERC Grant 101096606].

Bibliography

- Barker-Ciganikova, Martina, Kirsten Rüther, Daniela Waldburger, and Carl-Philipp Bodenstein (eds). 2020. *The Politics of Housing in (Post)colonial Africa: Accommodating Workers and Urban Residents*. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter.
- Bruyère, M. 1952. *Contribution à l'étude des Habitations pour Indigènes au Congo Belge*. Brussels: Institut Royal Colonial Belge.
- Cleveland, Todd. 2015. *Diamonds in the Rough Corporate Paternalism and African Professionalism on the Mines of Colonial Angola, 1917–1975*. Ohio: Ohio University Press.
- Cooper, Frederick. 1996. *Decolonization and African Society. The Labor Question in French and British Africa*. Cambridge University Press.
- Edgerton, David. 1999. Creole technologies and global histories: rethinking how things travel in space and time. *History of Science and Technology Journal*, 1(1): 75–112.
- Garrett, Visconde de Almeida. 1940. *A Casa do Colono em terras da África Tropical: condições a que deve obedecer a construção da habitação do colono e do indígena*. Congresso Colonial do Mundo Português.
- Grévisse, Fernand. 1962. “Les Aspects multiples et changeants du Problème du Logement des Populations Katangaises.” *Civilisations* 12, no. 1: 88–110.

- Higginson, John. 1988. “Disputing the Machines: Scientific Management and the Transformation of the Work Routine at the Union Minière du Haut-Katanga, 1918–1930.” *African Economic History*, no. 17: 1–21.
- Home, Robert. 2010. “From barrack compounds to the single-family house: planning worker housing in colonial Natal and Northern Rhodesia.” *Planning Perspectives* 15, no. 4: 327–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/026654300420945>.
- Hughes, Matthew. 2003. “Fighting for White Rule in Africa: The Central African Federation, Katanga, and the Congo Crisis, 1958–1965.” *The International History Review*, no. 25: 592–615.
- Lagae, Johan and Sofie Boonen. 2012. “Des Pierres Qui (Nous) Parlent”. *Biennale de Lubumbashi*, Simon Njami (ed.). Filigraines: 19–53.
- Mottoulle, Leopold. 1946. *Politique Sociale de L'Union Minière du Haut-Katanga pour sa Main d'Oeuvre Indigène et ses résultats au cours de vingt années d'application*. Brussels: Institut Royal Colonial Belge.
- Nitsen, Van. 1933. *L'Hygiène des Travailleurs Noirs dans les Camps industriels du Haut-Katanga*. Brussels: Institut Royal Colonial Belge.
- Parpart, Jane. 1983. *Labor and Capital on the African Copperbelt*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Pearson, A. R. Mouchet. 1923. *The Practical Hygiene of Native Compounds in Tropical Africa: being notes from the experience of the first eighteen years of European work in the Katanga*. London: Baillière, Tindall and Cox.
- Perrings, Charles. 1979. *Black Mineworkers in Central Africa: Industrial Strategies and the Evolution of an African Proletariat in the Copperbelt, 1911–41*. London: Heinemann Educational.
- Seibert, Julia. 2015. “‘Wind of Change’: Worker’s Unrest and the Transformation of Colonial Capital in Katanga, Belgian Congo”. in *Work and Culture in a Globalized World: From Africa to Latin America*, Babacar Fall, Incke Rheinberger e Andreas Eckert (eds.). Berlin: Karthala: 253–271.
- “Social Policy of Union Minière du Haut Katanga.” *African Affairs* 46, no. 183 (April 1947): 87–89.
- “Union Minière du Haut-Katanga. Groupement des maisons et annexes pour le personnel indigène. Plans, métrés et photos.” *Bulletin du CEPESI*, no. 12 “Consacré aux maisons indigènes au Congo” (1950): 129–181.
- Waldburger, Daniela. 2020. “House, Home, Health and Hygiene: Social Engineering of Workers in Elisabethville /Lubumbashi (1940s to 1960s) Through the Lens of Language Usage.” in *The Politics of Housing in (Post) colonial Africa: Accommodating Workers and Urban Residents*. Martina Barker-Ciganikova, Kirsten Rüther, Daniela Waldburger, Carl-Philipp Bodenstein (eds.). Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter.
- Wolfe, Alvin. 1962. “The Team Rules Mining in Southern Africa.” *Toward Freedom*, no. 11: n.p.

Archival sources

- Archives Union Minière, 1930, 354, Archives Générales du Royaume.
- Bulletin du CEPESI*, 12, 1950: “Consacré aux maisons indigènes au Congo”: 29–181.

- Bulletin du CEPSI, 18, 1952: "Contribution à l'étude des Habitations pour Indigènes au Congo Belge".
- "Construction Services", annual report, 1955, Diamang Archives, University of Coimbra.
- "Inter-African Labour Conferences", 1948–53, Diamang Archives, University of Coimbra.
- "La Main d'Oeuvre Indigène de L'Union Minière du Haut Katanga, June 1928", Archives Sibeka, 530, Archives Générales du Royaume.
- "Oeuvres et Action Sociales". Rapport annuel, 1947. Archives Union Minière, Archives Générales du Royaume.
- "Preliminary and Final Report on the Angola and Belgian Congo Diamond Fields", by Paul Beetz, 1929, Archives Générales du Royaume, Depot Joseph Cuvelier, Sibéka Archives [BE-A0545.189], 4201.
- "Rapport por l'année 1922", Departement de la Main d'Oeuvre Indigène, Archives Union Minière, 653, Archives Générales du Royaume.
- Report by the administrators of the Companhia de Diamantes de Angola (Diamang), 1936, ANTT Archives [AOS-D-N/2-2-1].
- Report by the administrators of the Companhia de Diamantes de Angola (Diamang), 1937, ANTT Archives [AOS-D-N/2-2-1].
- SPAMOI's (Diamang's Labour Propaganda service) annual report, 1940, Diamang Archives, University of Coimbra.
- SPAMOI's (Diamang's Labour Propaganda service) annual report, 1966, Diamang Archives, University of Coimbra.