

Repositório ISCTE-IUL

Deposited in *Repositório ISCTE-IUL*: 2022-04-01

Deposited version: Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Ribeiro, N., Nguyen, T., Duarte, A. P., Torres de Oliveira, R. & Faustino, C. (2021). How managerial coaching promotes employees' affective commitment and individual performance. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management. 70 (6), 2163-2181

Further information on publisher's website:

10.1108/IJPPM-10-2018-0373

Publisher's copyright statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Ribeiro, N., Nguyen, T., Duarte, A. P., Torres de Oliveira, R. & Faustino, C. (2021). How managerial coaching promotes employees' affective commitment and individual performance. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management. 70 (6), 2163-2181, which has been published in final form at https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-10-2018-0373. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Publisher's Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

Use policy

Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in the Repository
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

How managerial coaching promotes employees' affective commitment and individual

performance

Citation:

Ribeiro, N., Nguyen, T., Duarte, A.P., Torres de Oliveira, R. & Faustino, C. (in press). How managerial coaching promotes employees' affective commitment and individual performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-10-2018-0373

Abstract

Purpose: This study sought to provide a more comprehensive understanding of how managers' coaching skills can affect individual performance through the mediating role of affective commitment.

Design/methodology/approach: The sample included 198 employees from diverse organizations. Based on an online survey, respondents assessed their managers' coaching skills and reported their own individual performance and affective commitment to their organization.

Findings: The findings show that managers' coaching skills have a positive impact on individual performance and affective commitment, with the latter mediating the relationship between the first two variables.

Research limitations/implications: Additional studies with larger samples are needed to understand more fully not only the impact of managers' coaching skills on individual performance but also other psychosocial variables affecting that relationship.

Practical implications: Organizations can increase employees' affective commitment and individual performance by encouraging managers to integrate more coaching skills into their leadership styles.

Originality/value: This study is the first to integrate managers' coaching skills, affective commitment, and individual performance into a single research model, thereby extending previous research on this topic.

Keywords: managers' coaching skill, affective commitment, individual performance

1. Introduction

Given the current challenging business environments in which competitiveness and pressures are growing stronger, coaching has become a pertinent managerial activity in organizations. Coaching contributes to making individuals and organizations more effective by achieving positive, significant outcomes and changing attitudes, behaviors, thoughts, or emotions (Hagen, 2012; Joo, 2005; Milner *et al.*, 2018; Peltier, 2001; Peterson, 1996). Much of the research on coaching has focused on executive coaching in which an external coach comes into organizations to coach individual executives on how to improve their performance, develop executive behaviors, and enhance their careers (McCarthy and Milner, 2013; Milner *et al.*, 2018; Weer *et al.*, 2015). Less is known about internal coaches who work with employees (McCarthy and Milner, 2013).

Employees' commitment, performance, and organizational success can be deeply influenced by how well managers coach subordinates. Thus, understanding what managers as coaches should do in organizations is important, including the ways they influence their subordinates and the skills and characteristics these managers need to have. Researchers have proposed that effective coaching has become an essential element of management and a crucial skill when developing sustainable leadership (Boyatzis *et al.*, 2006; Liu and Batt, 2010).

Coaching can be understood as a management philosophy that seeks to change the relationships between managers and employees (Ellinger *et al.*, 2003a). Coaching behaviors epitomizing positive dimensions of leadership should lead to positive performance results (Hagen and Peterson, 2015). Organizations are, therefore, increasingly expecting managers to coach their subordinates since research has confirmed positive correlations between coaching and employee satisfaction, performance, and achievement of organizational goals (Ellinger *et al.*, 2011; Wheeler, 2011). These outcomes constitute relevant reasons why managers need to adopt coaching as part of their practices.

The extensive changes currently taking place in business settings mean managers must assume coaching roles (Ellinger, 2013). However, the role of managers in coaching has been found to be the

most difficult, problematic, and controversial coaching task of all (Bresser, 2011; Cox *et al.*, 2010; She *et al.*, 2019). Despite the popularity of managerial coaching in organizations (Dello Russo *et al.*, 2017), empirical research on this topic is still in its infancy, with only a few studies investigating individual (Agarwal *et al.*, 2009; Hagen, 2010; Huang and Hsieh, 2015; Hsieh and Huang, 2018; Liu and Batt, 2010) and organizational outcomes (Dello Russo *et al.*, 2017; Ellinger, 2013; Hagen, 2010; Zuñiga-Collazos *et al.*, 2020). Research on the relationships between managers' coaching skills and employees' attitudes and behaviors is also still scarce (Ellinger, 2013; Gilley *et al.*, 2010; Hagen, 2012; Huang and Hsieh, 2015; Hsieh and Huang, 2018; Tanskanen *et al.*, 2018).

Given this context, the present research's aim was to examine the association between managers' coaching skills and employees' affective commitment to the organization and individual performance. This study's findings could prove beneficial to managers and organizations, providing insights into the impact of managerial coaching on workers' affective commitment and explaining how managers' skills in this area potentially improve employees' individual performance. Managerial coaching skills as a potential antecedent of affective commitment and individual performance has not been explored so far, therefore, this study contributes to better manage people and organizations. According to Gilley *et al.* (2010), managerial coaching facilitates organizational development, strategic management of human capital, and improve functioning of organizations.

2. Research Background and Hypotheses Development

2.1 Managers' coaching skills

During the 1980s and 90s, the application of coaching as a concept and set of techniques to the practice of management expanded quickly (McLean *et al.*, 2005). In 1981, the management consultancy firm, Personnel Decisions International, was the first to offer a coaching program that was both structured and personalized to help companies promote individual change and development. Two empirical studies found that this coaching program produced significant changes that lasted at least two years after personnel finished the program (Peterson, 1996). Other previous research has focused on subordinates' perceptions of coaching relationships (Graham *et al.*, 1993) and demonstrated the impact of coaching on important organizational outcomes (e.g., Ellinger, 2013; Gilley *et al.*, 2010; Huang and Hsieh, 2015; Hsieh and Huang, 2018; Tanskanen *et al.*, 2018).

The literature on leadership suggests that coaching is linked to effective management behaviors (Anderson *et al.*, 2009; Hagen, 2010; Kinicki *et al.*, 2011). Managers are increasingly expected to adopt coaching in their leadership practices, namely, to act as coaches focused on producing learning, behavioral change, and growth (Joo, 2005; She *et al.*, 2019). Thus, managers must develop a better understanding of coaching skills and behaviors.

Two approaches appear to be dominant in the coaching literature: a behavioral-based approach (Ellinger *et al.*, 2003), and a skills-based approach (McLean *et al.*, 2005). In the first, managerial coaching is seen exclusively as a behavioral indicator, identified through specific behaviors exhibited by managers (Hagen and Peterson, 2015). The skills-based approach (McLean *et al.*, 2005; Park *et al.*, 2008) conceives managers as coaches if they displayed not only behaviors, but also attitudes or beliefs that support a coaching mentality (Hagen and Peterson, 2015). Skills are more attitudinal, cognitive and affective in nature, and are related to aptitude and ability. Hence, skill-based measures include questions that require personal cognition regarding one's own, or a supervisor's tendencies, abilities, and other motivations (Hagen and Peterson, 2015). The present study adopted the later approach to managerial coaching skills conceptualization.

McLean *et al.* (2005) developed a four-dimensional framework of coaching that defines this as a set of managerial skills. These include effective coaching characteristics in terms of (1) maintaining effective and open communication, (2) focusing on teams, (3) valuing people over tasks, and (4) accepting the ambiguous nature of working environments in order to enhance employees' development and improve their performance. McLean *et al.* (2005) also validated a multidimensional measure of managerial coaching skills, later revised by Park *et al.* (2008), that has been extensively used to analyze managerial coaching skills and its consequents (Hagen and Peterson, 2015). According to McCarthy and Milner (2013), researchers have identified still other specific coaching behaviors as desirable in leaders. These are cultivating listening skills and communication that involves others, setting clear performance expectations, increasing self-awareness, providing constructive feedback, and having regular conversations between leaders or coaches and subordinates in which individual and organizational goals are discussed (Larsson and Vinberg, 2010; Sparks and Gentry, 2008). Interpersonal effectiveness, empathy, patience, adaptability, and problem solving are also examples of a specific set of skills that managers as coaches must have if they are to be successful in this area (Ladyshewsky, 2010).

In addition, empirical evidence has been found that managers who provide coaching are viewed more positively (Fry *et al.*, 1986). By listening, asking critical questions, and providing performance feedback (Ellinger *et al.*, 2003a), managers who act as coaches generate appreciation in subordinates and improve the quality of relationship with these employees (Hsieh and Huang, 2018). Employees "repay" these efforts by demonstrating work attitudes and behaviors desirable and valuable to their managers and organization, such as affective commitment to the organization and enhanced performance.

2.2 Managers' coaching skills and employees' affective commitment

Affective commitment is a component of the organizational commitment construct, which refers to employees' positive emotional attachment to their organization and which is characterized by an identification and involvement with their workplace (Allen and Meyer, 2000). The literature reveals that affective commitment has desirable impacts for organizations (Meyer *et al.*, 2002), so managers need to be able to develop this attachment in their employees (Meyer and Herscovitch, 2001).

Coaching has been found to promote organizational commitment. The principle of reciprocity evoked in social exchange theory (e.g., Blau, 1964) suggests that employees who receive coaching will show their appreciation by developing a sense of attachment to their organization (Onyemah, 2009). Previous studies have confirmed that, when managers coach employees, the latter's organizational commitment improves (Ellinger *et al.*, 2003a; Kim *et al.*, 2013; Woo, 2017). Managerial coaching can thus be regarded as a form of perceived organization support of workers. This theoretical framework (see Eisenberger *et al.* [2002]) explains that employees and their organizations reciprocate commitment (Kim, 2014) because managers with coaching skills act as agents of organizations (Kottke and Sharafinski, 1988).

Managers who adopt a coaching managerial style have empathy for and trust in others, less need for controlling and directing others, a desire to help others develop, openness to feedback and personalized learning, and a belief that most individuals want to learn (Berg and Karlsen, 2016; Ellinger and Bostrom, 2002a, 2002b). These managers establish trust and build good relationships with their employees and, consequently, enhance subordinates' positive feelings and work experiences, which, in turn, increase the latter's affective commitment.

These findings are consistent with prior research on leader-member exchange (LMX) theory. That is, mutual respect, good communication, and common goals promote higher quality leadermember relationships (e.g., Illies *et al.*, 2007), and the quality of these relationships influences leaders and subordinates' attitudes and behaviors (Gerstner and Day, 1997). According to the latter cited authors, exchanges between managers and subordinates influence satisfaction with managers and organizational commitment.

As per social cognitive theory, human functioning is a dynamic system comprising reciprocal relationships among three categories of determinants: behavior, cognition, and performance environment (Bandura, 1986). This theory suggests that leadership style is a critical external factor which does not directly influence individual behavior, yet indirectly influences psychological cognitive factor (Rauniyar *et al.*, 2017).

Therefore, managers with coaching skills help employees grow professionally while establishing good relationships with their subordinates. These leaders adopt a coaching managerial style that includes listening, helping, supporting, developing, removing obstacles, and empowering others (Berg and Karlsen, 2016), thus promoting more positive work experiences. Consistent with the foregoing research, the following hypothesis was proposed:

H1: Employees' perceptions of managers' coaching skills are positively related to these subordinates' affective commitment.

2.3 Managers' coaching skills and employees' individual performance

Employees' individual performance refers to a set of individual behaviors or actions that are relevant to achieving their organization's goals (Campbell, 1990). According to Campbell *et al.* (1993), employees' performance is the extent to which their job is done well, namely, accomplishing job-related responsibilities to a satisfactory extent or the level expected by their employer.

Coaching has been linked to job performance (Agarwal *et al.*, 2009; Ellinger *et al.*, 2003a; Gilley *et al.*, 2010; Hagen, 2010; Liu and Batt, 2010). Researchers have suggested that coaching improves the performance and/or effectiveness (Styhre, 2008) of both individuals and their organization (Ellinger *et al.*, 2003a; Hunt and Weintraub, 2002; Zuñiga-Collazos et al., 2020). According to Onyemah (2009), coaching has been cited by sales professionals as an important way that sales managers can motivate their employees and enhance their performance (e.g., Rich, 1998). Bowles *et al.* (2007) also confirmed the impact of coaching on middle managers and their subordinates' performance within a military recruiting organization.

However, as previously mentioned, few studies have investigated the relationship between managers with coaching skills and employees' job performance (Huang and Hsieh, 2015; Liu and Batt, 2010). More specifically, empirical research has rarely simultaneously examined the effects of managers' coaching on employees' performance via affective attachment as an important driver of workers' development and achievement.

The current study expected that managers with coaching skills improve their employees' performance. Managers who adopt coaching as part of their managerial practices truly care about their subordinates and want to help them thrive. According to social exchange theory, employees

have a perceived obligation to reciprocate high quality relationships with managers (Blau, 1964). This encourages employees to check whether they have done things correctly and solved the right problems and to evaluate their successes and failures (Schippers *et al.*, 2007), thereby improving their performance. As per social cognitive theory, employees will positively respond to the external environment by self-regulating their psychological cognitive factor (e.g. self-efficacy), which affects the employee's behaviors (Rauniyar *et al.*, 2017). This theory highlights that individuals with high self-efficacy will persistently put their effort to address uncertainties and potential challenges and this characteristic motivates employees to apply more efforts in the pursuit of the chosen goal (Rauniyar *et al.*, 2017).

According to Hirst *et al.* (2004), facilitative leadership behaviors such as promoting the expression of ideas and opinions, stimulating positive interpersonal relationships, and facilitating productive conflict resolution produces a positive environment in which individuals can reflect on their performance. The effects of feedback interventions on performance have been also demonstrated (Kluger and DeNisi, 1996). In fact, the provision of feedback is critical to individuals' learning and performance improvement in the context of their work (Mulder and Ellinger, 2013). Therefore, the behaviors that are related to a coaching managerial style create an atmosphere in which individual performance is enhanced. Withmore (2017) has demonstrated the relevance of coaching for performance. Many high-profile companies have declared they are getting free of the performance reviews and replacing them with ongoing coaching and feedback (Mueller-Hanson and Pulakos, 2018).

According to Ellinger *et al.* (2003b), managers' coaching behaviors improve employee performance at the individual and group levels. Ellinger *et al.* (2003a) further found that supervisory coaching is positively associated with employees' job satisfaction and good performance. Thus, managers' coaching skills help subordinates consider and discover how they might work and behave more effectively to promote better outcomes (Wakefield, 2006). In view of these considerations, the following hypothesis was proposed:

H2: Employees' perceptions of managers' coaching skills are positively related to these subordinates' performance.

2.4 Affective commitment and individual performance

The results of empirical studies measuring organizational commitment indicate that affective commitment repeatedly correlates more strongly with consequences such as turnover and performance (e.g., Solinger *et al.*, 2008; Stazyk *et al.*, 2011). For example, Solinger *et al.* (2008) confirmed previous meta-analyses' findings (Cooper-Hakim and Viswesvaran, 2005; Meyer *et al.*, 2002) that affective commitment correlates more directly with performance than do other components of organizational commitment. Employees who have a high level of affective commitment have a strong sense of identification and belonging within their organization. Thus, affectively committed workers tend to exhibit higher levels of satisfaction and better performance, in addition to lower rates of absenteeism and turnover intentions (Meyer *et al.*, 2002; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2020).

According to Lages and Piercy (2012, p. 4), employees who possess high levels of affective commitment are more willing to "go beyond job specification, [and] to share solutions to problems with coworkers, encouraging them to contribute with suggestions and ideas for service improvements." In other words, affective commitment predicts organizational citizenship behaviors (Liu, 2009; Meyer *et al.*, 2002; Vandenabeele, 2009). These behaviors are valuable to organizations because this conduct is associated with improved employee performance and more effective organizations (Podsakoff *et al.*, 2009).

In a more recent article, Delić *et al.* (2017) report that empirically robust research has found that affectively committed employees have a predisposition to achieve better performance. Ribeiro *et al.* (2018) have confirmed this predisposition. Therefore, the present study expected that a similar relationship would reveal itself in this research, which suggested the following hypothesis:

H3: *Employees' affective commitment is positively related to their performance.*

2.5 Affective commitment's mediation of the relationship between managers' coaching skills and individual performance

Ribeiro *et al.* (2018) found that transformational leaders promote employees' affective commitment which, in turn, increases their individual performance. This leadership style includes managerial coaching skills and behaviors discussed in the literature. On the other wise, House (1996, p. 327) defines supportive leadership as "behavior directed toward the satisfaction of subordinates' needs and preferences, such as displaying concern for subordinates' welfare and creating a friendly and psychologically supportive work environment." This kind of behavior is parallel to a coaching managerial style, which involves listening, asking critical questions, providing performance feedback (Ellinger *et al.*, 2003a), focusing on teamwork, and valuing people over tasks. In addition, managers with coaching skills understand that the more ambiguous qualities of workplaces can contribute to employee development (McLean *et al.*, 2005) and create a friendly and psychologically supportive work environment to the organization (Allen *et al.*, 2003; Battistelli *et al.*, 2016; Kim *et al.*, 2016; Sharma and Dhar, 2016). In turn, affectively committed workers tend to improve their performance (Leroy *et al.*, 2012; Meyer and Herscovitch, 2001; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2018; Riketta, 2002).

Managers who function as coaches foster appreciation in their employees and improve the quality of leader-follower relationships by building them around confidence in workers' capabilities (Ladyshewsky, 2010). This thus promotes higher quality leader-follower relationships (e.g., Illies *et al.*, 2007; Hsieh and Huang, 2018), to which employees respond by demonstrating affective commitment. Consequently, affectively committed employees are predisposed to achieving better performance (Delić *et al.*, 2017; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2018).

In other words, if employees are stimulated by managers with coaching skills to be affectively committed to their organization, this contributes positively to enhancing their performance. Based on the above findings, the following hypothesis was proposed:

H4: Affective commitment mediates the relationship between employees' perceptions of managers' coaching skills and these subordinates' performance.

The research model is depicted in Figure 1.

Please insert Figure 1 here.

3. Methods

3.1 Procedures and sample

To test the research hypotheses, a self-report survey was administered to a non-probabilistic, convenience sample. A Google Docs-based survey was sent via e-mail and LinkedIn to individuals working in different organizations in Portugal. Information on research goals, the confidentiality of the data collected, and respondents' anonymity was provided in the questionnaire.

The final sample included 197 employees from various organizations, of which 59% were females. The respondents were quite mature, with nearly 78% between 36 and 55 years old. The surveyed individuals' level of education was as follows: 2.6% with nine years of schooling or less, 12.2% with 12 years, and 85.2% with a higher education degree. Regarding job tenure, 16.3% of the respondents had been employed in their organizations for less than one year, 34.7% from one to three years, 14.3% from four to six years, 20.9% from seven to ten years, and 13.8% more than ten years. The average contact time employees had had with their managers was slightly shorter on average, with 26% having less than one year, 41.3% from one to three years, 13.3% from four to six years, and 6.2% more than ten years.

3.2 Measures

The constructs were measured with validated scales adapted from the relevant literature. Using a 7-point Likert scale (1 = "Does not apply at all"; 7 = "Applies completely"), employees were asked to indicate to what extent each statement presented applied to them (i.e., affective commitment and individual performance) or to their managers (i.e., perceptions of managerial coaching skills).

Managerial coaching skills (predictor variable). This variable was measured with 20 items

adapted from McLean *et al.*'s (2005) work. The cited authors validated a multi-dimensional measure of managerial coaching skills with four dimensions: open communication, team approach, value given to people, and acceptance of ambiguity. This was adapted for measuring employees' perceptions of their managers' skills, which includes not only behaviors, but also attitudes and beliefs that support a coaching mentality (Hagen and Peterson, 2015).

The items were translated from English into Portuguese by one translator and then independently back-translated into English by another translator (Brislin, 1970). The translators discussed any discrepancies between the original and back-translated versions. The final version was examined once again by the translators and two bilingual Portuguese scholars.

Affective commitment (mediator variable). Three items adapted from Rego *et al.* (2011) were used to measure affective commitment.

Individual performance (criterion variable). We used four items developed by Staples *et al.* (1999) and used widely by other authors such as Rego and Cunha (2008), who have translated it into Portuguese, to access employees' individual performance. Thus, research used a self-rated measure and not an objective one. Although there are speculations that self-report measures have the susceptibility to inflate research outcomes, several authors, including Alzghoul *et al.* (2018) and Karatepe and Uludag (2008), argue that variables such as job performance can be measured using self-report since using a self-report measure does not inevitably result in systematic bias.

Demographic variables. We collected the information on respondents' demographics, such as age, gender, qualifications, and tenure as measured by years employed in the organization, and the contact time (years) with their managers.

4. Results

SPSS version 25 and AMOS version 25 software was used to carry out factor analyses and test the hypotheses. Structural equation modelling (SEM) was conducted to examine the mediation relationship. The use of SPSS and AMOS facilitated testing both direct and indirect relationships simultaneously. To test the hypotheses, a bootstrapping procedure with 500 re-samples was applied (Chin, 1998).

4.1 Preliminarily analysis to the measurement instruments

Before conducting the confirmatory factor analysis, sampling adequacy was analyzed by looking at the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) statistic. The value obtained for this statistic is 0.87, which is higher than the recommended cut-off point of 0.6. The significance of Barlett's test of sphericity further confirmed that the sample variances are equal to the population (Snedecor and Cochran, 1989). Normality was checked using skewness and kurtosis values. The observed skewness and kurtosis statistics are less than the cut-off value of 3.29, so the sample was considered to have a normal distribution.

In this study, the data were collected using a single source method, raising concerns about potential common-method variance (CMV). To assess the threat of this bias, Harman's single factor test was first conducted, showing that the first factor only accounted for 33.4% of the 67.4% explained variance of all items. We, second, added a common latent factor in our estimation and the squared unstandardized coefficients indicated a common variance of 8.4%, which together suggesting that CMV is not a serious problem (Podsakoff and Organ, 1986; Podsakoff *et al.*, 2003; Eichhorn, 2014).

4.2 Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to the measurement instruments

To test the structural model, individual CFA models were developed for each measurement. A higher order CFA model was also run for managerial coaching skills. In the first step, CFA models based on the existing and validated variables were developed and then modified to ensure the models' goodness of fit. While all the models exhibited a good fit with the data, the acceptance of ambiguity first order CFA model did not show good convergent validity since the average variance extracted (AVE) was less than the recommended cut-off point of 0.5. Thus, the item "... considers conflict in the workplace stimulating" which had the lowest loading coefficient, was removed. The re-run CFA model's results demonstrated a good fit, as shown in Table 1 below.

Please insert Table 1 here.

In the remaining first order CFA models, all items were kept because first these had already been validated by their authors and second, these models estimation showed a good fit with the data. For instance, all four items of the individual performance factor have loadings greater than 0.6 and the fit indices are in accepted range (Hair *et al.*, 2010). The higher order CFA model for managerial coaching skills was developed by combining four latent variables (i.e., dimensions). The CFA analyses are summarized in Table 1.

Regarding the models' goodness of fit, the factor loadings are all greater than 0.5, indicating good measures for the factors included in this study. In addition, as summarized in Table 1, the obtained fit indices of the six first order CFAs (i.e., comparative fit index [CFI], normed fit index [NFI], and root mean square error of approximation [RMSEA]) all indicated that the models fit well with the data. The higher order CFA model also shows a good fit ($\chi^2 = 145.036$; df = 126; p = 0.118; CFI = 0.990; NFI = 0.990; and RMSEA = 0.028).

4.3 Reliability, validity and correlations analysis

Convergent validity was assessed using AVE values, which show the amount of variance that is captured by constructs in relation to the amount of variance due to measurement error. The present study's models have AVE values above the cut-off point of 0.5 (Hair *et al.*, 2010), indicating adequate convergence (see Table 2).

Please insert Table 2 here.

Discriminant validity was checked by examining the correlations between factors. The correlations between the constructs included are not overly high (see Table 2 above) and less than the square root of AVE, suggesting discriminant validity is present (Hair *et al.*, 2010). All the scales were found to be reliable, with composite reliabilities varying from 0.86 to 0.96, which are above the cut-off point of 0.70 (Hair *et al.*, 2010). The Cronbach's alpha values also range from 0.78 to 0.94, indicating the scales' reliability (Kline, 2011; Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994) (see Table 2 above).

Regarding the correlation matrices for variables used in the hypotheses testing, the results show that managerial coaching skills, affective commitment and individual performance are positively correlated with each other (Table 2 above). All correlations are significant at the 1% level. None of the sociodemographic variables correlate significantly with individual performance, so none of these variables were included in subsequent analyses.

4.4 Hypothesis testing

The estimation of direct and mediating effects is presented in Table 3. The results show that managerial coaching skills positively influences affective commitment and individual performance. These relationships are statistically significant (p < 0.01) (see Model 1 and Model 2 in Table 3). Therefore, the hypotheses 1 and 2 were confirmed.

Please insert Table 3 here.

Regarding the relationship between affective commitment and individual performance, the results reveal a statistically significant positive link (p < 0.001), so hypothesis 3 was also supported by the results. In addition, the fit of all direct effect models was confirmed as acceptable.

A test was also conducted using SEM analysis of the mediation effect of affective commitment on the relationship between managerial coaching skills and individual performance. As shown in Figure 2, managerial coaching influences individual performance ($\beta = 0.130$). Managerial coaching skills, in turn, have a significantly positive relationship with affective commitment (i.e., the mediator), and affective commitment influences individual performance. In addition, the results show a change in the path coefficient from 0.130 to 0.081, thus indicating a mediation effect (MacKinnon et al., 2007).

Please insert Figure 2

Our results further reveal a higher R squared effect-size for the mediation model (0.124) than for the direct model (0.042), implying that the mediation model can explain more of the variance in the criterion variable. In other words, the increase in R squared confirms the presence of a mediation effect of affective commitment on the relationship between managerial coaching skills and individual performance. In addition, this is a partial mediation effect because the direct and indirect effects are all significant. We tested the significance of this partial mediation effect using the bootstrapping technique, which provided evidence of a significant mediation effect (p = 0.001). The mediation model's fit indices also demonstrate a good fit. These results taken together confirm hypothesis 4.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

5.1 Main findings

The results indicate that employees' perceptions of their managers' coaching skills stimulate these workers' affective commitment to their organization. LMX theory and social cognitive theory offer some insights into how coaching can have an impact on attitudes such as affective commitment (Onyemah, 2009). Managers who adopt a coaching managerial style help subordinates grow professionally, as well as establishing and developing relationships built on trust with their subordinates (Hsieh and Huang, 2018). Consequently, employees experience enhanced positive feelings and work experiences, which, in turn, increase their affective commitment.

The present results also show that employees' positive perceptions of managers' coaching skills promote these subordinates' individual performance. This finding coincides with Ellinger *et al.*'s (2003b) conclusion, that managers' coaching behaviors improve employee performance. According to the principle of reciprocity and social exchange theory (e.g., Blau, 1964), and social cognitive theory (Bandura, 1986), workers who perceive their managers' coaching skills as good develop more effective behaviors that promote better outcomes (Wakefield, 2006), thereby improving these employees' performance.

The current results further confirm that affective commitment explains employees' individual performance, suggesting that, when workers have an affective attachment to their organization, they develop a stronger focus on achieving objectives, tend to perform their jobs better and become more productive (Delić *et al.*, 2017; Leroy *et al.*, 2012; Meyer and Herscovitch, 2001; Meyer *et al.*, 2002;

Ribeiro et al., 2018; Riketta, 2002).

Finally, the present study's results suggest that the relationship between employees' perceptions of their managers' coaching skills and their own individual performance is mediated by affective commitment. In other words, managerial coaching promotes affective commitment, which in turn, increases workers' individual performance. This effect may occur because managers with coaching skills build trust relationships with their employees and believe in their capabilities (Ladyshewsky, 2010; Hsieh and Huang, 2018). Therefore, managerial coaching skills contribute to friendly, supportive workplaces, and employees' perceptions of being valued and cared for influence their emotional attachment to their organization (Battistelli *et al.*, 2016; Kim *et al.*, 2016; Sharma and Dhar, 2016). In turn, affectively committed employees tend to "repay" managerial coaching by improving their performance (Leroy *et al.*, 2012; Meyer and Herscovitch, 2001; Ribeiro et al., 2018; Riketta, 2002).

These findings are consistent with research on transformational leadership which core focus is development. For instance, Ribeiro *et al.* (2018) found that transformational leaders promote employees' affective commitment which, in turn, increases their individual performance. The traditional conceptualization of transformational leadership (Burns, 1978) associated a "moral" significance with this style of leadership, because leaders are responsible for their employees' growth and the ethical dimension strongly characterizes a coaching-managerial style, so that a "leader-coach" acts according to moral principles (Dello Russo *et al.*, 2017). As such, the quality of relationships between managers and subordinates may play an important role in the extent to which employees develop positive attitudes and behaviors. According to the results of the present study, managerial coaching has also emerged as an integral element for the success of an organization (Ellinger *et al.*, 2011). In sum, a meaningful coaching culture has the potential to transform the relationship between organizations and employees and to put both on the path to long-term success (Withmore, 2017).

5.2 Theoretical contributions and implications for management

The findings of this study have practical implications for the business world and can contribute to the development of the theory of coaching at the workplace.

The existing literature reports that managers are increasingly expected to coach their employees (McCarthy and Milner, 2013) but research on the relationship between managers' coaching skills and employees' attitudes and behaviors remains scarce (Hsieh and Huang, 2018; Tanskanen *et al.*, 2018).

The current study's findings are consistent with theoretical research on transformational leadership which core focus is development, as well as situational leadership where one of the leaders' behavior is coaching, thus these findings contribute to the development of managerial theory. This research answered the call for empirical research from those who have argued that more empirical studies need to be done on the links between managers' coaching behaviors and employees' attitudes and behaviors (e.g., Dello Russo *et al.*, 2017; Ellinger *et al.*, 2003a; Huang and Hsieh, 2015; Hsieh and Huang, 2018; Tanskanen *et al.*, 2018). Tanskanen *et al.* (2018) report that the relationships between managers and employees do not yet feature strongly in studies of managerial coaching. Therefore, from a theoretical perspective, the present study is innovative, contributing to a deeper understanding of the psychosocial mechanisms through which managers' coaching skills influence employees' outcomes, specifically individual performance in the business context. This study also expanded the understanding of managerial coaching practices through the LMX theory, social exchange theory and social cognitive theory.

From a practical perspective, this study's findings provide potential benefits to managers and organizations through insights into the impact of managerial coaching on employees' affective commitment and the ways these two factors can increase their individual performance. Therefore, managers should develop coaching skills such as maintaining open communication, adopting a team approach, giving value to people, and accepting ambiguity. More specifically, managerial coaching includes listening, asking critical questions, setting clear performance expectations, cultivating self-

awareness, giving constructive feedback, solving problems, and being empathetic, patient, and flexible.

From the perspective of human resource (HR) management, this study's findings also suggest that organizations need to focus on selecting managers with skills that facilitate the development of coaching skills. Organizations should implement appropriate training activities, executive coaching, and mentoring programs for managers to strengthen their coaching skills. For instance, the HR department could design managerial training programs to spread the coaching managerial style throughout the organization and provide executive coaching sessions that prepare managers at different positions to adopt the role of coach toward their subordinates. The establishment of a supportive climate to managerial coaching in the organization is important to foster managers' feelings of personal accomplishment and prevent their' feelings of role overload (She *et al.*, 2019), thus facilitating the adherence to this managerial style. This will have a positive impact on managers' relationships with employees and, ultimately, employees' performance at work.

5.2 Limitations and future research

The present study's limitations indicate that further research is needed to understand better the impact of managers' coaching skills on employees' responses. The first limitation is convenience sampling, which, among other factors, limits the generalizability of the findings.

Second, the data were collected at a single moment in time and through the same source, which may imply bias due to CMV (Podsakoff *et al.*, 2003). Certain preventative procedures were undertaken, as suggested by Podsakoff *et al.* (2003), and Harman's test (Podsakoff and Organ, 1986) was also performed, and the results suggest that common-method bias is not a serious problem for the data collected and thus not an important threat to the present findings' validity. Nonetheless, future studies could avoid cross-sectional designs and collect data at different points in time or via a double-source method. For example, employees' performance could be reported by their managers or measured using performance appraisal records.

In addition, the study's cross-sectional design further limits solid conclusions about the causality nexus between the variables here analyzed. While the model tested received support, there is no way to be sure that the temporal explanation explains the true relationship between these variables and alternative explanations might exist. Future studies can use longitudinal design to scrutinize possible causal relationships amongst variables.

Given that research on managerial coaching skills outcomes at the employee level is still scarce, various possible avenues are available for future research. For instance, other mediation variables (e.g., affective wellbeing, empowerment, and work engagement) could be included in additional studies to analyze the impact of managers' coaching skills on employees' responses. Also, subordinates' characteristics and preferences (e.g. need for achievement) might be examined as potential moderators of coaching skills' effect on job performance. In addition, also contextual variables could be considered in future research to assess the conditions where managerial coaching skills can better promote employee's development and improved performance (e.g. learning organization culture, innovation culture).

References

Agarwal, R., Angst, C. and Magni, M. (2009), "The performance effects of coaching: a multilevel analysis using hierarchical linear modeling", *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, Vol. 20 No. 10, pp. 2110-34.

Allen, D.G., Shore, L.M. and Griffeth, R.W. (2003), "The role of perceived organizational support and supportive human resource practices in the turnover process", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 99-118.

Allen, N.J. and Meyer, J.P. (2000), "Construct validation in organizational behavior research: the case of organizational commitment", in Goffin, R.D. and Helmes, E. (Eds.), *Problems and Solutions in Human Assessment*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA, pp. 285-314.

Alzghoul, A., Elrehail, H., Emeagwali, O.L. and Al Shboul, M.K. (2018), "Knowledge management, workplace climate, creativity and performance: The role of authentic leadership", *Journal of Workplace Learning*, https://doi.org/10.1108/JWL-12-2017-0111

Anderson, V., Rayner, C. and Schyns, B. (2009), *Coaching at the Sharp End: the Role of Line Managers in Coaching at Work*, CIPD, London.

Battistelli, A., Galletta, M., Vandenberghe, C. and Odoardi, C. (2016), "Perceived organisational support, organisational commitment and self-competence among nurses: a study in two Italian hospitals", *Journal of Nursing Management*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 44-51.

Berg, M.E. and Karlsen, J.T. (2016), "A study of coaching leadership style practice in projects", *Management Research Review*, Vol. 39 No. 9, pp. 1122-42

Blau, P.M. (1964), Exchange and Power in Social Life, Wiley, New York, NY.

Bowles, S., Cunningham, C.J.L., Rosa, G.M.D.L. and Picano, J. (2007), "Coaching leaders in middle and executive management: goals, performance, buy-in", *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, Vol. 28 No. 5, pp. 388-408.

Boyatzis, R.E., Smith, M.L. and Blaize, N. (2006), "Developing sustainable leaders through coaching and compassion", *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, Vol. 5, pp. 8-24.

Bresser, F. (2011), "Suits you", Coaching at Work, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 42-5.

Brislin, R.W. (1970), "Back-translation for cross-cultural research", *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, Vol. 1 No.3, pp.185-216.

Campbell, J. (1990), "Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology", in Dunnette, M. and Hough, L. (Eds.), *Handbook of Organizational and Industrial Psychology*, Consulting Psychologists Press, Palo Alto, CA, pp. 687-732.

Campbell, J.P., McCloy, R.A., Oppler, S.H. and Sager, C.E. (1993), "A theory of performance", in Schmitt, N. and Borman, W. (Eds.), *Personnel Selection in Organizations*, Jossey-Bass, San

Francisco, CA, pp. 35-70.

Chin, W.W. (1998), "The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling", *Modern Methods for Business Research*, Vol. 295 No. 2, pp. 295-336.

Cooper-Hakim, A. and Viswesvaran, C. (2005), "The construct of work commitment: testing an integrative framework", *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 131 No. 2, pp. 241-59.

Cox, E., Bachkirova, T. and Clutterbuck, D. (Eds.) (2010), *The Complete Handbook of Coaching*, Sage, London.

Delić, M., Delić, M., Slåtten, T., Slåtten, T., Milić, B., Marjanovic, U. and Vulanović, S. (2017),
"Fostering learning organisation in transitional economy—the role of authentic leadership and
employee affective commitment", *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, Vol. 9 No.
3-4, pp. 441-55.

Dello Russo, S., Miraglia, M. and Borgogni, L. (2017), "Reducing organizational politics in performance appraisal: the role of coaching leaders in appraising age-diverse employees", *Human Resource Management*, Vol. 56 No. 5, pp. 769-83.

Eichhorn, B.R. (2014), *Common method variance techniques*. Cleveland State University, Department of Operations & Supply Chain Management, SAS Institute Inc, Cleveland, OH. Eisenberger, R., Stinglhamber, F., Vandenberghe, C., Sucharski, I. and Rhoades, L. (2002), "Perceived supervisormanager support: contributions to perceived organisational support and employee retention", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 87 No. 3, pp. 565-73.

Ellinger, A.D. (2013), "Supportive supervisor and managerial coaching: exploring their intersections", *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 86 No. 3, pp. 310-6.

Ellinger, A.D. and Bostrom, R.P. (2002a), "An examination of managers' beliefs about their roles as facilitators of learning", *Management Learning*, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 147-79.

Ellinger, A.D. and Bostrom, R.P. (2002b), "Managerial coaching behaviors in learning

organizations", Journal of Management Development, Vol. 18 No. 9, pp. 752-71.

Ellinger, A.D., Ellinger, A.E. and Keller, S.B. (2003a), "Supervisory coaching behavior, employee satisfaction, and warehouse employee performance: a dyadic perspective in the distribution industry", *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 435-58.

Ellinger, A.D., Ellinger, A.E. and Keller, S.B. (2003b), "Supervisory coaching behavior: is there a payoff?", paper presented at International Conference of the Academy of Human Resource Development, February 27-March 3, Minneapolis, MN.

Ellinger, A.D., Ellinger, A.E., Bachrach, D.G., Wang, Y.L. and Elmadagbas, A.B. (2011), "Organizational investments in social capital, managerial coaching, and employee work related performance", *Management Learning*, Vol. 42 No.1, pp. 67-85.

Fry, L.W., Futrell, C.M., Parasuraman, A. and Chmielewski, M.A. (1986), "An analysis of alternative causal models of salesperson role perceptions and work-related attitudes", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 153-63.

Gerstner, C.R. and Day, D.V. (1997), "Meta-analytic review of leader-member exchange theory: correlates and construct issues", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 82 No. 6, 827-44.

Gilley, A., Gilley, J.W. and Kouider, E. (2010), "Characteristics of managerial coaching", *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 53-70.

Graham, S., Wedman, J.F. and Garvin-Kester, B. (1993), "Manager coaching skills: development and application", *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 2-13.

Hagen, M.S. (2010), "The wisdom of the coach: a review of managerial coaching in the Six Sigma context", *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, Vol. 2 No. 8, pp. 791-8.

Hagen, M.S. (2012), "Managerial coaching: a review of the literature", *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, Vol. 24 No. 4, pp. 17-39.

Hagen, M.S. and Peterson, S.L. (2015), "Measuring coaching: behavioral and skill-based

managerial coaching scales", Journal of Management Development, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 114-33.

Hair, J.F., Black., W.C., Babin, B.J. and Anderson, R.E. (2010), *Multivariate Data Analysis, a Global Perspective*, Pearson Education, London.

Hirst, G., Mann, L., Bain, P., Pirola-Merlo, A. and Richver, A. (2004), "Learning to lead: the development and testing of a model of leadership learning", *The Leadership Quarterly*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 311-27.

House, R.J. (1996), "Path-goal theory of leadership: lessons, legacy, a reformulated theory", *Leadership Quarterly*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 323-53.

Hsieh, H. H. and Huang, J. T. (2018), "Exploring factors influencing employees' impressionmanagement feedback-seeking behavior: The role of managerial coaching skills and affective trust",*Human Resource Development Quarterly*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 163-180.

Huang, J.T and Hsieh, H.-H. (2015), "Supervisors as good coaches: influences of coaching on employees' in-role behaviors and proactive career behaviors", *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, Vol. 26 No.1, pp. 42-58.

Hunt, J.M. and Weintraub, J.R. (2002), "How coaching can enhance your brand as a manager", *Journal of Organizational Excellence*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 39-44.

Illies, R., Nahrgang, J.D. and Morgeson, F.P. (2007), "Leader-member exchange and citizenship behaviors: a meta-analysis", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 92 No. 1, pp. 269-77.

Joo, B.-K.B. (2005), "Executive coaching: a conceptual framework from an integrative review of practice and research", *Human Resource Development Review*, Vol. 4 No. 4, pp. 462-88.

Karatepe, O.M. and Uludag, O. (2008), "Role stress, burnout and their effects on frontline hotel employees' job performance: evidence from Northern Cyprus", *International Journal of Tourism Research*, Vol.10 No.2, pp. 111-126.

Kim, S. (2014), "Assessing the influence of managerial coaching on employee outcomes", *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 59-86.

Kim, S., Egan, T. M., Kim, W. and Kim, J. (2013), "The impact of managerial coaching behavior on employee work-related reactions", *Journal of Business and Psychology*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 315-330.

Kim, S.-M., Um, K.-H., Kim, H.Y. and Kim, Y.-H. (2016), "Hospital career management system sand their effects on the psychological state and career attitudes of nurses", *Service Business*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 87-112.

Kinicki, A.J., Jacobson, K.J.L., Galvin, B.M. and Prussia, G.E. (2011), "A multilevel systems model of leadership", *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 133-49.

Kline, R.B. (2011), Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling, Guilford, New York.

Kluger, A.N. and DeNisi, A. (1996), "The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory," *Psychological bulletin*, Vol. 119 No. 2, pp. 254-284.

Kottke, J. and Sharafinski, C. (1988), "Measuring perceived supervisory and organizational support", *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, Vol. 48 No. 4, pp. 1075-9.

Kuo, M. H. C., Chang, Y. and Chang, W. C. (2014), "Perceived organizational support as a mediator of managerial coaching skills and occupational commitment", *Public Administration Research*, Vol. 3 no.1, pp. 17-.

Ladyshewsky, R.K. (2010), "The manager as coach as a driver of organizational development", *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 292-306.

Lages, C.R. and Piercy, N.F. (2012), "Key drivers of frontline employee generation of ideas for customer service improvement", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 1-16.

Larsson, J. and Vinberg, S. (2010), "Leadership behaviour in successful organisations: universal or

situation-dependent?", *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, Vol. 21 No. 3, pp. 317-34.

Leroy, H., Palanski, M. and Simons, T. (2012), "Authentic leadership and behavioral integrity as drivers of follower commitment and performance", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 107 No. 3, pp. 255 - 64

Liu, X. and Batt, R. (2010), "How supervisors influence performance: a multi-level study of coaching and group management in technology-mediated services", *Personnel Psychology*, Vol. 63 No. 2, pp. 265-98.

Liu, Y. (2009), "Perceived organizational support and expatriate organizational citizenship behavior: the mediating role of affective commitment towards the parent company", *Personnel Review*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp.307-19.

MacKinnon, D. P., Fairchild, A. J. and Fritz, M. S. (2007), "Mediation analysis", *Annual Review of Psychology*, Vol. 58, pp. 593-614.

McCarthy, G. and Milner, J. (2013), "Managerial coaching: challenges, opportunities and training", *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 32 No.7, pp. 768-79.

McLean, G., Yang, B., Min-Hsun, C., Tolbert, A. and Larkin, C. (2005), "Development and initial validation of an instrument measuring managerial coaching skill", *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 157-78.

Meyer, J.P. and Herscovitch, L. (2001), "Commitment in the workplace—toward a general model", *Human Resource Management Review*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 299-326.

Meyer, J.P., Stanley, D.J., Herscovich, L. and Topolnytsky, L. (2002), "Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: a meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol. 61 No.1, pp. 20-52.

Milner, J., McCarthy, G. and Milner, T. (2018), "Training for the coaching leader: how

organizations can support managers", *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp.188-200.

Mueller-Hanson, R.A. and Pulakos, E.D. (2018), *Transforming Performance Management to Drive Performance: An Evidence-based Roadmap*, Taylor and Francis, London.

Mulder, R.H. and Ellinger, A.D. (2013), "Perceptions of quality of feedback in organizations: Characteristics, determinants, outcomes of feedback, and possibilities for improvement: introduction to a special issue", *European Journal of Training and Development*, Vol. 37 No. 1, pp.4-23

Nunnally, J.C. and Bernstein, I.H. (1994), *Psychometric Theory (McGraw-Hill Series in Psychology)* (Vol. 3), McGraw-Hill, New York.

Onyemah, V. (2009), "The effects of coaching on salespeople's attitudes and behaviors: a contingency approach", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 43 No. 7/8, pp. 938-60.

Park, S., McLean, G.N. and Yang, B. (2008), "Revision and validation of an instrument measuring managerial coaching skills in organizations", *Proceedings of the Academy of Human Resource Development Annual Conference*, Panama City, FL.

Peltier, B. (2001), The Psychology of Executive Coaching, Brunner-Routledge, Hove, UK.

Peterson, D.B. (1996), "Executive coaching at work: the art of one-on-one change", *Consulting Psychology Journal*, Vol. 48 No. 2, pp. 78-86.

Podsakoff, N.P., Whiting, S.W., Podsakoff, P.M. and Blume, B.D. (2009), "Individual- and organizational-level consequences of organizational citizenship behaviors: a meta-analysis", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 94 No. 1, pp. 122-41.

Podsakoff, P., MacKenzie, S., Lee, J. and Podsakoff, N. (2003), "Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies", Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. 88 No. 5, pp. 879-903. Podsakoff, P.M. and Organ, D.W. (1986), "Self-reports in organizational research: problems and prospects", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 531-44.

Rauniyar, K., Ding, D.H. and Rauniyar, N. (2017), "Understanding the Role of Creative Self-Efficacy and Power Distance Orientation for Examining the Consequences of Abusive Supervision on Employee Creativity: A Case Study from Nepal", *Open Journal of Leadership*, Vol. 6, pp. 61-81.

Rego, A. and Cunha, M.P. (2008), "Perceptions of authentizotic climates and employee happiness: pathways to individual performance?", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 61 No. 7, pp. 739-52.

Rego, A., Ribeiro, N., Cunha, M.P. and Jesuíno, J.C. (2011), "How happiness mediates the organizational virtuousness and affective commitment relationship", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 54 No. 5, pp. 524-32.

Ribeiro, N., Yücel, I. & Gomes, D. (2018). "How transformational leadership predicts employees' affective commitment and performance", *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, Vol. 67 No. 9, pp.1901-1917.

Rich, G.A. (1998), "The constructs of sales coaching: supervisory feedback, role modeling and trust", *Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 53-63.

Riketta, M. (2002), "Attitudinal organizational commitment and job performance: a meta-analysis", *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 257-66.

Schippers, M.C., Den Hartog, D.N. and Koopman, P.L. (2007), "Reflexivity in teams: a measure and correlates", *Applied Psychology*, Vol. 56 No. 2, pp. 189-211.

Sharma, J. and Dhar, R.L. (2016), "Factors influencing job performance of nursing staff: mediating role of affective commitment", *Personnel Review*, Vol. 45 No. 1, pp.161-82.

She, Z., Li, B., Li, Q., London, M. andYang, B. (2019), "The double-edged sword of coaching: Relationships between managers' coaching and their feelings of personal accomplishment and role overload", *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 245-266. Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1989), *Statistical Methods* (8th edn.), Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA.

Solinger, O.N., Olffen, W.V. and Roe, R.A. (2008), "Beyond the three-component model of organizational commitment", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 93 No. 1, pp. 70-83.

Sparks, T.E. and Gentry, W.A. (2008), "Leadership competencies: an exploratory study of what is important now and what has changed since the terrorist attacks of 9/11", *Journal of Leadership Studies*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 22-35.

Staples, D.S., Hulland, J.S. and Higgins, C.A. (1999), "A self-efficacy theory explanation for the management of remote workers in virtual organizations", *Organization Science*, Vol. 10 No. 6, pp. 758-76.

Stazyk, E.C., Pandey, S.K. and Wright, B.E. (2011), "Understanding affective organizational commitment: the importance of institutional context", *The American Review of Public Administration*, Vol. 41 No. 6, pp. 603-24.

Styhre, A. (2008), "Coaching as second-order observations: learning from site managers in the construction industry", *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 275-90.

Tanskanen, J., Mäkelä, L. and Viitala, R. (2018), "Linking managerial coaching and leader-member exchange on work engagement and performance", *Journal of Happiness Studies*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp.1217-1240.

Vandenabeele, W. (2009), "The mediating effect of job satisfaction and organizational commitment on self-reported performance: more robust evidence of the PSM performance relationship", *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 75, pp. 11-34.

Wakefield, M. (2006), "New views on leadership coaching", *The Journal for Quality and Participation*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 9-12.

Weer, C.H., DiRenzo, M.S. and Shipper, F.M. (2015), "A holistic view of employee coaching: longitudinal investigation of the impact of facilitative and pressure-based coaching on team effectiveness", *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, Vol. 52 No.2, pp. 187 – 214.

Wheeler, L. (2011), "How does the adoption of coaching behaviours by line managers contribute to the achievement of organisational goals?", *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 1-15.

Whitmore, J. (2017), *Coaching for Performance: Growing Human Potential and Purpose—The Principles and Practice of Coaching and Leadership.* 5th Edition, Nicholas Brealey Publishing, London.

Woo, H. R. (2017), "Exploratory study examining the joint impacts of mentoring and managerial coaching on organizational commitment", *Sustainability*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 181-196.

Zuñiga-Collazos, A., Castillo-Palacio, M., Montaña-Narváez, E. and Castillo-Arévalo, G. (2020), "Influence of managerial coaching on organisational performance", *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, Vo. 13 No. 1, pp. 30-44.

| | Items in factor analysis | Loadings | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
| Open communication | When asked to share feelings, my manager feels free to do | 0.781 | | | |
| (McLean <i>et al.</i> , 2005) | so. | | | | |
| | In difficult job-related situations, my manager reveals | 0.735 | | | |
| | his/her opinions openly and frankly. | | | | |
| | When talking to other people, my manager shares his/her | 0.800 | | | |
| | feelings openly. | | | | |
| | When developing relationships, my manager openly shares | 0.831 | | | |
| | his/her personal values. | | | | |
| | When questioned about his/her professional experiences, my | 0.796 | | | |
| | manager willingly shares details. | | | | |
| Fit indexes | $\chi 2 = 4.754$, p = 0.191, CFI = 0.996, NFI = 0.988, RMSEA = 0.055 | | | | |
| Team approach | When asked to volunteer for work-related projects, my | 0.801 | | | |
| (McLean <i>et al.</i> , 2005) | manager chooses to do these with teams. | | | | |
| | In general, my manager enjoys performing tasks in which | 0.840 | | | |
| | my manager works with others. | | | | |
| | As part of a working group, my manager prefers to foster | 0.841 | | | |
| | group consensus. | | | | |
| | When a decision has to be made, my manager prefers to | 0.743 | | | |
| | consult other people. | | | | |
| | When my manager thinks of ways to achieve goals, my | 0.834 | | | |
| | manager seeks information from other people. | | | | |
| Fit indexes | $\chi 2 = 3.446$, p = 0.179, CFI = 0.997, NFI = 0.993, RMSEA = 0.061 | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| (McLean <i>et al.</i> , 2005) | feelings with logic. | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------|--|
| | In discussions with others, my manager focuses on the | 0.841 | |
| | individual needs of each person. | | |
| | When my manager seeks to make work meetings dynamic, | 0.816 | |
| | my manager still allows time for building relationships. | | |
| | In the workplace, my manager finds ways to establish links | 0.818 | |
| | with others. | | |
| | At work, my manager tends to focus more on people. | 0.884 | |
| Fit indexes | $\chi 2 = 5.634$, p = 0.131, CFI = 0.994, NFI = 0.987, RMSEA = | = 0.067 | |
| Acceptance of | When others are making career decisions, my manager | 0.574 | |
| ambiguity | emphasizes risk-taking. | | |
| (McLean <i>et al.</i> , 2005) | When my manager is looking for solutions to problems, my | 0.738 | |
| | manager may want to try new solutions. | | |
| | My manager views conflict as constructive. | 0.670 | |
| | When my manager works with others, my manager poses | 0.839 | |
| | questions with many possible answers. | | |
| Fit indexes | $\chi 2 = 0.283$, p = 0.868, CFI = 1.000, NFI = 0.998, RMSEA = 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000 | .0001 | |
| Managerial coaching | Open communication | 0.829 | |
| skills | Team approach | 0.898 | |
| (McLean <i>et al.</i> , 2005) | Value given to people | 0.836 | |
| | Acceptance of ambiguity | 0.739 | |
| Fit indexes | χ2 = 145.036, p = 0.118, CFI = 0.990, NFI = 0.931, RMSEA = 0.024 | | |
| Individual | I'm an effective employee. | 0.781 | |
| performance | My colleagues see me as a very productive employee. | 0.817 | |
| (Rego and Cunha, | I am satisfied with the quality of my work. | 0.689 | |

| 2008; Staples et al., | My superior sees me as an effective employee. | 0.818 |
|-----------------------|--|-------|
| 1999) | | |
| Fit indexes | $\chi 2 = 0.002$, p = 0.962, CFI = 1.000, NFI = 1.000, RMSEA = 0 | .0001 |
| Affective | I am proud to tell other people that I am part of this | 0.928 |
| Commitment | organization. | |
| (Rego et al., 2011) | I feel "part of the family" in my organization. | 0.928 |
| | I have a strong bond of sympathy for this organization. | 0.976 |
| Fit indexes | $\chi 2 = 0.1$, p = 0.919, CFI = 1.000, NFI = 1.000, RMSEA = 0.00 | 001 |

| | Table 2: Reliability, validity, descriptive statistics, and correlation matrix | 2 |
|--|--|---|
|--|--|---|

| Measurement | Mean | SD | 1 | 2 | 3 | Cronbach's alpha | CR | AVE |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|------|------|
| 1. Individual performance | 5.228 | 0.815 | (0.765) | | | 0.778 | 0.86 | 0.60 |
| 2. Affective Commitment | 5.173 | 1.283 | 0.385** | (0.943) | | 0.938 | 0.96 | 0.89 |
| 3. Managerial coaching skills | 4.327 | 0.902 | 0.262** | .305** | (0.825) | 0.833 | 0.90 | 0.68 |

Notes: SD = standard deviation; ** p < 0.01; square root of AVE in parenthesis; CR = composite reliability.

| | Direct | Direct | Direct | Mediation |
|--|----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| | effect | effect | effect | effect |
| Parameters | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 |
| Hypothesized paths | | | | |
| Managerial coaching skills=>Affective | | | | |
| commitment | 0.426*** | _ | _ | 0.411*** |
| Managerial coaching skills=>Individual | | | | |
| performance | _ | 0.130** | _ | 0.081 |
| Affective commitment=>Individual | | | | |
| performance | _ | _ | 0.158*** | 0.139*** |
| R squared | 0.11 | 0.042 | 0.112 | 0.124 |
| Goodness of fit statistics | | | | |
| χ2 | 196.818 | 215.393 | 15.956 | 279.459 |
| df | 181 | 189 | 9 | 249 |
| p-value | 0.200 | 0.091 | 0.068 | 0.009 |
| CFI | 0.994 | 0.988 | 0.992 | 0.989 |
| TLI | 0.992 | 0.984 | 0.981 | 0.986 |
| IFI | 0.994 | 0.899 | 0.992 | 0.99 |
| RMSEA | 0.021 | 0.027 | 0.063 | 0.025 |

| Table 3: Test result | lts for direct | and mediation | effects |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|

Note: * p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001; IFI = incremental fit index.