

PHYSICIANS IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF PRIVATE
HEALTHCARE INSTITUTIONS IN PORTUGAL: PERCEPTIONS,
EXPERIENCE, AND ENGAGEMENT

Fei Liangchen

Dissertation submitted as partial requirement for the conferral of
Master of Science in Business Administration

Supervisor:

Prof. Nelson José dos Santos António, ISCTE Business School, Department of Marketing,
Operations and General Management

Co-supervisor:

Prof. Cátia Miriam Costa, CEI-IUL, Centre for International Studies

January 2019

PHYSICIANS IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF PRIVATE
HEALTHCARE INSTITUTIONS IN PORTUGAL:
PERCEPTIONS, EXPERIENCE, AND ENGAGEMENT

Fei Liangchen

Abstract

This dissertation examines how corporate governance is used with the engagement of medical professionals in the private healthcare entities in Portugal. Previous studies analyzed the relationship between healthcare organizations, administrations, and other stakeholders by using the principal-agent theory, the theory of “separation of ownership and control”, the theory of “stakeholder”, and the theory of “New Public Management”. In addition, the theories that connecting management and clinical work were explored, and the examples of medical manager in various countries were also presented in the literature review. Yet it is not well understood how these elements connect to foster the engagement of medical professionals in everyday workplaces under the private healthcare industry in the Portuguese context. Through interviewing 10 employees and clinicians at four private healthcare companies in Portugal, the analysis sheds light on the job characteristics, people’s perceptions and attitudes at the workplace. The analysis reveal that job perceptions bring advance in realization of patient-center care, meanwhile the managerial colleagues and even medical doctors themselves are (un)consciously reproducing management culture, especially lean-adapted culture, in private healthcare services. The findings suggest that lean initiatives sponsored at different organizational ladders have reinforced the “status quo”– in a few words, have helped to maintain the existing engagement.

Key words: Private healthcare, Corporate governance, Physicians’ engagement, Portugal

JEL Classification: L33

Resumo

Esta dissertação analisa a forma como a governança corporativa é utilizada com o envolvimento de profissionais médicos nas entidades de saúde privada em Portugal. Estudos anteriores analisaram a relação entre organizações de saúde, administrações e outras partes interessadas usando a teoria do agente principal, a teoria da “separação de propriedade e controle”, a teoria do “stakeholder” e a teoria de “New Public Management”. Além disso, as teorias que conectam gestão e trabalho clínico foram exploradas, e os exemplos de gestor médico em vários países também foram apresentados na revisão da literatura. No entanto, não é bem compreendido como esses elementos se conectam para fomentar o engajamento dos profissionais médicos nos locais de trabalho cotidianos sob o setor de saúde privada no contexto português. Através da entrevista de 10 funcionários e médicos em quatro empresas privadas de saúde em Portugal, a análise lança luz sobre as características do trabalho, percepções das pessoas e atitudes no local de trabalho. As análises revelam que as percepções de trabalho trazem avanços na realização dos cuidados com o paciente, enquanto os colegas gerentes e até os próprios médicos estão (des) conscientemente reproduzindo a cultura gerencial, especialmente a cultura adaptada ao Lean, nos serviços privados de saúde. Os resultados sugerem que as iniciativas lean patrocinadas em diferentes escadas organizacionais reforçaram o “status quo” - em poucas palavras, ajudaram a manter o engajamento existente.

Palavras-chave: Cuidados de saúde privados, Governança corporativa, Engajamento dos médicos, Portugal

JEL classificação: L33

Acknowledgement

The two years' study in Portugal develops me a broader eyesight to observe this world, and it would be a memorable experience in my life. As the final challenge in my master period, I tried my best and worked hardly to achieve this study. Also, the thesis was finished under my friends' and professors' help. I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to those who offered me enthusiastic supports.

My special gratitude will go to my dear friends who I met during my study in Portugal: Dr. Ricardo Vale de Andrade and Dr. Nuno Filipe Andrade de Oliveira. Their kindness, rigorous attitude and goal-oriented insistence inspired me not only during the elaboration of the thesis but also in my future life. From the preparation of the interview to its final collection, they are generous in sharing their unique opinions and provided me with helpful advice. Here, I want to show my sincerely thanks to the doctors who kept me accompany during my stay here.

Additionally, I would like to say thank you to my family. They are my strongest supports that always encourage and reach my potential.

Lastly, the special thanks also go to my portuguese boyfriend. The love you gave me is a great comfort and warm during the far-way-from-home period.

My sincere and grateful thanks!

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Literature review.....	5
2.1 The origin and application of corporate governance in the healthcare sector.....	5
2.2 Theories of linking management with clinical work	7
2.3 The role of medical managers in hospital settings	9
3. Methodology.....	12
3.1 Research Strategy	12
3.2 Settings	13
3.3 Interview participants	15
3.4 Data generation.....	16
3.5 Interpretation method.....	17
4. Findings	21
4.1 Perceptions towards working as medical doctors in private healthcare services	21
4.1.1 general perceptions towards private healthcare services	21
4.1.2 the perception as workers	22
4.1.2.1 the perception from general medical professionals.....	22
4.1.2.2 the perception from management levels	24
4.2 Medical professionals' engagement in management	25
4.2.1 Motivations for medical professionals' engagement in management.....	25
4.2.2 career pursuits and corporate value	26
4.3 Actual attempts to construct the management culture in healthcare	27
4.3.1 Lean thinking and lean-adapted culture	27
4.3.2 Management's strategy: Proving ourselves	28
4.3.3 Team integration.....	29
4.3.4 Clinicians' resistance	31
5. Discussion.....	33
5.1 Research questions	33
5.2 Theoretical and practical implications	36
5.3 Limitations and further research possibilities	36
6. Conclusion	38
Bibliography	39
Appendix	43

1. Introduction

Public healthcare institutions and private healthcare organizations coexist in Portugal, and the involvement of private sector has even increased in developing, financing and providing public health infrastructure and service delivery through public-private partnerships (PPPs) (Jens K. Roehrich, Michael A. Lewis, Gerard George, 2014). In 2004, the share of private inpatient hospital beds was 25% in Portugal (HOPE: European Hospital and Healthcare Federation, 2012). We can see from the table below; this number was increased steadily in the year 2009, 2010 and 2011.

Table1: Share of the public and private sector in the number of inpatient beds in three years

Items and indicators	Data		
	2009	2010	2011
Public inpatient hospital beds (% of all beds)	73,18%	73,06%	72,60%
Private inpatient hospital beds (% of all beds)	26,82%	26,94%	27,40%

Source: One report from the European Hospital and Healthcare Federation (HOPE), 2012

These figures also indicate that private healthcare in Portugal is attracting more interests from patients and medical professionals recently. Similarly, private healthcare service in Portugal is relatively pricier but the waiting time is typically shorter. Meanwhile, there is a more abundant availability to considerable amounts of services in this type of healthcare organizations (Bupa Global, 2017).

Despite the widespread debate about the appropriateness and effectiveness of private commercial model applied in healthcare organisations, there is also a growing discussion on the question about whether medical professionals, for example, doctors and nurses, should be part of the presidents or members of the boards. One of the news on *the Atlantic* (Viswanathan, 2014) reported that the number of medical schools which provide conjoint M.D./M.B.A. programs in America has increased from 6 to 65 till the year 2012. Thus, more and more doctors are adding three extra letters - M.B.A. after their names. One study (Giuliano Russo, Bruno de Sousa, Mohsin Sidat, Paulo Ferrinho, Gilles Dussault, 2014) also shows that more and more physicians are motivated to change their practice behaviors in a business-like way while considerable

numbers of healthcare delivery problems are gradually recognised as business issues. In 2015, the total average number of physicians on hospital boards were 2.7 with a median of 1 in 14 large hospital organisations in the US. In 2013, these numbers were 2.5 and one respectively (Peisert, 2015). Some studies have even found a strong positive correlation between the ranked quality of a hospital and whether the CEO is a physician (Goodall, 2011), and even showed that doctors representation is an indispensable factor in decent performance in healthcare governance (Eekloo K, L Delesie, A and Vleugels, 2007). Nevertheless, there exists enormous reluctance to increase physician representation on the boards (Satiani B, Prakash S., 2016). Veronesi and Keasey (Veronesi G., Keasey K., 2011) noted that the sufficient degree of medical involvement is highly variable, particularly when the financial issues are prioritised by the board.

Furthermore, the essential skills that physicians need to be on health care boards have been questioned since medical professionals, in general, have not been formally trained in management and leadership (Clark J and K Armit, 2008). The lack of management training and the limited supports from general non-medical managers have hampered clinical professionals' ability to make their voices heard on boards (Veronesi G., Keasey K., 2011).

Meanwhile, other studies have raised questions about whether the positive performance outcomes of medical involvement result from all medical professions' representation including nurses and other affiliated technical professions in boards or only doctors. It can also be argued that nursing is universally considered to be more systematised and team-based, which enable nurses to directly participate in boards, better together with doctors' partnership (Murphy, J., Quillinan, B., & Carolan, M., 2009). Some doctors, on the other hand, would highly advocate prioritising the interests of their specialities when the hospital boards make strategic decisions (Addicott, 2008).

Even though the private healthcare service has existed for a long time, the emergence of physician managers and medical officers in Portuguese healthcare sector is a relatively recent phenomenon which places more pressure on the general public healthcare performance in terms of service quality and reimbursement, proposes a threat to the public sector to compete for scarce

medical employees, and creates diverse opportunities for medical finance and economic development (Europe, 2002).

The ultimate question arises: What is the current status of medical professionals' engagement in management for the performance of private healthcare organizations which operate as legal entities under the corporate governance?

The main research question can be divided into the following sub-questions:

(1) What are motivations or drivers for physicians to work in private hospitals and clinics in Portugal? It would be better to know the reasons why they decided to become employees of private organizations and step into the business world from the real field of medicine.

(2) The engagement degree of medical doctors in the hospital management. Are medical professionals represented on governing bodies in private healthcare groups? What kind of managerial, financial, and operational decisions do medical professionals working in private healthcare organizations usually make? It would be vital to know the activities which managers daily take so that the involvement degree of physicians in the decision-making process can be measured since there might be a significant difference with the general medical professionals who have a far-reaching autonomy in the public healthcare organizations.

(3) To what extent are the medical professionals able to (re)construct the hospital governance in private market-oriented context? What are the barriers to healthcare professionals' being represented to these governing bodies? How did they prepare to address these barriers?

The objectives of this research include:

(1) Understand the main reasons and motivations that drive to work in private healthcare institutions, and even step into the business world in the healthcare industry.

(2) Have a knowledge of the engagement process of medical doctors in hospital management when working in private hospitals under corporate governance in Portugal.

(3) Explore the engagement level of medical professionals in the private healthcare groups and its impact.

There was a considerable amount of research introducing the healthcare industry's characteristics within European countries, explaining current management norms and problems. However, there is limited empirical research about physician managers and leaders of Portuguese healthcare. The aim of this research paper is designed to explore the association between physician leaders and outcomes of private hospitals, to provide insight for the impact of physician leadership on the decisions of corporate governance as well as to inform policy-makers, managers and investors who have no medical backgrounds to be aware of the managerial differences and reach consensus more easily.

2. Literature review

This chapter gives an overview of the literature and available research within the scope of this study which focuses on the involvement of medical professionals within the hospital management. Therefore, the following topics are discussed: the origin and application of the corporate governance in the healthcare sector, theories of linking management with clinical work, and the role of medical managers in hospital settings.

2.1 The origin and application of corporate governance in the healthcare sector

Corporate governance is a study focusing on the empowerment of the professional managers and the supervision of professional managers' behaviours from the ownership level of a corporation (L'huillier, 2014). One corporation has two separate rights: Shareholder proprietary right and Managerial right. Corporate governance is based on the first right and pays much attention to the authorization and supervision of professional managers (Secretary-General, 2015).

One model applied in Portugal private healthcare is corporate governance, of which the primary emphasizes are strategy development and market share maximisation (SM, 1989). Corporate governance from the private side has advantages of relatively smaller board size, limited range of membership, and firm focusing on the strategic and entrepreneurial activities through dynamic managerial participations on board (Alexander J. A., Morlock L., Gifford B., 1988). The most common form in corporate governance is the Agent theory, which is a contractual relationship between the principal and authorized agent (International Finance Corporation, 2015). The agent theory means the dissociation of the ownership and the control of capital, which can be found worldwide in the private health market (Bichanan, 1988). Take one study on the prescribing patterns of private healthcare providers in Vietnam for example (Nguyen, 2011), private providers, being the imperfect agents of patients, would be able to induce demand by prescribing more drugs than public providers for a similar illness and patient profile, which act to maximize their profits at the expense of the patients' interests when lacking of professional regulations and directly existing incentives to link providers' actions to their profits, such as a fee-for-service payment system.

Along with the agency theory, the Separation of Ownership and Control Theory arise and develop. This theory emphasizes the separation of corporate ownership of property with the management and administrative rights, which means the owner does not manage and operate his property but authorize others to do the management and operational work (Christos Pitelis, Roger Sugden, 1986). For example, there are four public hospital partnerships with private capitals in Portugal, which is believed to be more flexible and highly adaptable (Jens K. Roehrich, Michael A. Lewis, Gerard George, 2014). The government, as an owner, is pursuing the value of capital preservation and hopes to solve more medical problems by less investment; the private healthcare management companies are eager for-profit maximization, and desire to maximize their income. Information asymmetric between the government, medical staffs, and private healthcare management companies requires the principal to supervise the management and administrative side and ask for information disclosure. This partnership is considered as innovated and successful since PPPs, which was rarely applied to healthcare services, proves that corporate governance in the public healthcare services works even better, to some extents than public philanthropic governance model (Miranda Sarmiento, J; Renneboog, Luc, 2014).

Furthermore, Stakeholder theory appeared when coping with issues of morals and values in managing organizations in the healthcare and education field. Instead, this theory argues that except for the shareholders, there are other parties involved as stakeholders, including employees, customers, trading partners, financiers, communities, governmental bodies, political groups, trade associations or trade unions, even natural environment and human offspring, since these objects are influenced by business operations directly or indirectly (R. Edward Freeman, 1984). As for private hospitals, public health politics, pharmaceutical companies, patients, commercial health insurance corporates, medical equipment suppliers, medical professionals, doctor and nursing commissions, and so on, can be picked up as stakeholders. However, because of the evident existence of shareholder in the private healthcare organizations, the stakeholders are considered in supplementary positions during the primary decision-making process.

Moreover, being produced mainly from practices in private sectors, New Public Management (NPM) theory put much emphasis on customer satisfaction, entrepreneurial spirit, and the “Rules of the Game” (Barzelay, 2001). NPM theory is regarded as a more efficient way to obtain the

same product or service, in which citizens are viewed as customers, and public administrators are under the title of public managers. In terms of the healthcare sector, the health system has both public and private funding in Portugal. Similar with out-of-pocket payment and voluntary health insurance as the source of private funding, public funding also uses financial supports from the Serviço Nacional de Saúde (SNS), and special health insurance schemes for professions or particular sectors, including civil servants and employees at national banks and state-owned companies (JA Simões, Gonçalo Augusto, Inês Fronteira, Cristina Hernández-Quevedo, 2017).

2.2 Theories of linking management with clinical work

There has been little mutual understanding between clinicians and managers. Clinical practice and administrative work were thought to be mutually exclusive. Many observations (Ackroyd, Stephen, 1996) shows that clinical professionals have assumed a narrow focus, differentiating themselves both from senior executive management and routine operational management. Nevertheless, conflicts of interest between professionals and managers have been diffused around the differential areas of expertise associated with their work through boundary maintenance (Llewellyn, Sue, 1998). Clinicians and managers are working with different ideas in the separated 'communities of knowing' because of this differentiation. Thus, these differences have developed different logics; clinicians have been guided by the logic of healthcare service quality and appropriateness, while managers have been occupied by a logic of consequences (Jonsson, 1998). Meanwhile, a growing number of investigations have demonstrated that this could not improve the effectiveness of medical organizations to the maximum. Previous researchers have argued that the processes of financial management and resource allocation should consider both clinical and managerial work simultaneously (Abernethy Margaret, Johannes Stoelwinder, 1990).

At the same time, collaborative work between clinicians and managers has been developed and supported as a critical activity in healthcare organizations along with newly emerged cross-boundary tasks of budgeting, rationing, performance appraisal and risk management (Pettigrew, Andrew, Ewan Ferlie, Loma McKee, 1992). As for establishing collaborative work, the critical issue was information delivery since messages from clinicians to managers and from managers to clinicians were not easily transmitted (Lyotard, Jean-Francois, 1984). However, messages from

managers have tended only to make sense to other managers, and the same for clinicians, primarily due to their distinct sets of ideas and different thinking logic. Thus, such messages lacked communication transparency and led to varying frames for sense-making (Jonsson, 1998). When involving two groups of different expertise together in work, the more dominant group tends to have a higher opportunity for influencing the less powerful group (Mulder, Mauk, 1971). In this view, although working together involves possibilities for alignment between them, it can also result in a consequence that prioritizes one group of expertise over another. According to Borum Finn (Finn, 1995), there are three determinations of expertise: the access to the sets of ideas concerning the issue to be decided; the control over the means of interpreting information; and the ability to disseminate the interpretations to other groups. Clinical professionals have access to the sets of managerial ideas, gain more control over the interpretations of these ideas to other clinicians, and able to disseminate information from management. However, managers generally do not have easy access to, or control over, sets of ideas from clinical practice. This indicates clinical work is more likely to be privileged since managers usually have no authorities to make comments on clinical matters or professional behaviours (Thorne Marie, 1997).

Mintzberg's work (Mintzberg Henry, 1973) classified three main groups of activities for managers: interpersonal, informational and decisional activities. Interpersonal activities include the roles of representation, liaison and leadership; Informational tasks contain the ones of monitoring, disseminating and spokesperson; Decision-making work covers initiating change, handling disturbances, allocating resources and strategic negotiating. As previously mentioned, the clinician group encompasses all these aspects of managerial work. Clinical directors can embed administrative tasks in their work, while managers are unable to conduct clinical practices. It is the critical one that contributes to the unique advantage position of doctors with managerial responsibilities in medical organisations. This increased power disparity happens to reflect the nature of their 'two-way' role (Thorne Marie, 1997). Doctors with managerial responsibilities make up the field within which medicine and management are integrated. Thus, physician leaders have the power to act and direct the new understandings of the evolving medical organisations.

2.3 The role of medical managers in hospital settings

As being described in the past studies as either ‘medical management’ or ‘medical leadership’, these physicians have formal managerial roles. The participants who included in these studies had either administrator’s work at the executive level or clinical director’s work at the medical level. These positions were full-time occupations in which the physicians ceased to perform clinical practice, whereas in other cases, the positions were perceived as part-time jobs, meaning that they would first and foremost be a physician (Quinn JF, Perelli S, 2016). Another type of physicians had informal roles. These included participants who are described as physicians but also act as a leader in their daily clinical work, such as physicians who are responsible for quality improvement projects in departments of hospitals or clinics. One research (Ludwig Kuntz, Stefan Scholtes, 2008) directly shows that clinical role and managerial role have different mindsets, illustrated in table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1: clinical vs managerial role

Doctor	Manager
Decision making in the interest of individuals	Decision making in the interest of the organization
Accountable to profession (peers)	Accountable to multiple stakeholders
Decisions led by professional rules and norms	Decisions led by organizational goals
Normative and autonomous decisions	Group decisions, political environment, bargaining, compromise

Source: Ludwig Kuntz, Stefan Scholtes, 2008

Some leadership activities were concluded as ‘general management and leadership’, including finance, strategy, staff management, human resources, leading change, or administration. Meanwhile, additional activities mentioned include policy activities, business planning, coordination and delegation, advising committees, providing feedback, risk management, attending meetings, maintaining networks within and outside the hospitals, negotiation, contracting and making decisions (See table 2.2). Furthermore, some other duties and activities, performed exclusively to achieve organisational and patient objectives even if these activities

have conflicts with personal or department goals, were grouped as ‘balancing between management and medicine’. To be specific, ‘balancing between management and medicine’ belongs to ‘boundary work’, which emphasises more concerns with avoiding clinical errors and strengthening multidisciplinary collaboration to improve the quality of care. To achieve these, medical leaders were supposed to influence and empower peers, communicate information to medical practitioners as well as to managers, build a consensus and solve problems.

Table 2.2 Activities and roles of physicians with management responsibilities

Authors, year	General management and leadership work	Balancing between management and medicine
Buchanan D, Jordan S, Preston D, Smith A., 1997	Multidisciplinary collaboration, communication, responsible for performance assessment, finance, HR, problem-solving, administration, and meetings	Influencing multiple objectives, representing other medical staff
Hopkins MM, O’Neil DA, Stoller JK. , 2015	-	-
Kippist L, Fitzgerald A., 2009	-	-
Kuhlmann E, Rangnitt Y, von Knorring M., 2016	Administration, responsible for performance assessment, staff management (HR)	-
Llewellyn, 2001	Finance, consensus building, responsible for performance assessment, risk management, and negotiation	influencing multiple objectives, decision making
Mo TO, 2008	Staff management, strategy formation, responsible for performance assessment, leading changes, HR, administration	Bridging management and medicine, rule-making
A. M. Rotar, D. Botje, N. S. Klazinga, K. M. Lombarts, O. Groene, R. Sunol and T. Plochg, 2016	Advising, HR, teaching, staff management, decision-making, finance	Solving clinical issues with managers
Spehar I, Frich JC, Kjekshus LE, 2015	Finance, administration, advising	Influencing multiple objectives, role making, empowering others
Quinn JF, Perelli S, 2016	Administration, meetings, HR	Influencing multiple objectives, consensus building
Witman Y, Smid GAC, Meurs PL, Willems DL, 2010	Staff management, providing feedback, advising, responsible for performance assessment, influencing, meetings, communication	Affecting multiple objectives, consensus building

Source: Mathilde A. Berghout, Isabelle N. Fabbriotti, Martina Buljac-Samardžlić, Carina.J. M. Hilders, 2017

Therefore, physician leader is a somewhat rational profession in which medical professionals take more responsibilities for departmental performance regarding the outcomes (e.g., quality of care and costs control) and the smooth functions of other medical colleagues than the general doctors and clinicians.

3. Methodology

This chapter will explain in detail how the research was conducted. Firstly, the choice of qualitative researching approach will be discussed. Subsequently, it will explain how the data was generated and gathered, and, lastly, how it was analyzed.

3.1 Research Strategy

Building upon the aim for this research and the literature review performed, the methodology of the present research is based on inductive approach taking a qualitative angle since qualitative research has characteristics of understanding the meaning of ‘naturally occurring phenomena’ in the social world and can help to collaboratively generate changes and produce knowledge with people (Mark N.K. Saunders, Philip Lewis, Adrian Thornhill, 2015).

As for the qualitative approach, interviews, in which other people’s experiences and views are understood by asking questions and listening, would be my best choice. Interviews generally produce in-depth investigation because of quality and depth matter over quantity and breadth within my research. ‘Semi-structured’ interviews would be applied since closed questions enable me to screen the population, thus generate homogeneous interview, while open-ended questions invite free expressions and provide a chance to go deeper, therefore give more general flexibility.

The research is planned to conduct two phases. In phase 1, a screener is supposed to be used first (see appendix 1). The screener and confirmation letter (see appendix 2) are considered as my recruiting tools. My sampling strategies include web searches, electronic and mailed surveys with snowball sampling method, which means direct sampling first using the screener and email, then ask for a recommendation or referral.

In phase 2, interviews with administrators and executives, junior and senior medical professionals and medical managers are carried out. Potential participants are invited through emails. Potential participants are asked via emails that explained the purpose of the study. An officially-approved letter, signed by supervisors and stamped by the university academics to show the confidentiality and scientific purpose, is shown to the participants. All the interviewees need to complete an informed consent form (see appendix 3) that including a consent to be audio-recorded and transcribed without their names included.

3.2 Settings

In this study, four local Portuguese healthcare organizations with a corporate governed model inside were selected to be the research objects. Four local private healthcare organizations are labelled LM, LA, LZ and LJ, respectively.

From a small dental practice to an international brand, LM is one of the best dental clinics in Portugal. With more than 23 years of history, innovation, ingenuity, rigour and dedication, LM has gathered knowledge, developed techniques, formed new teams and cared for patients for more than two decades. Founded in 1995 by one local dentist, LM nowadays recognized worldwide for its know-how and innovation, and had established itself worldwide, with a presence in 6 continents and 22 countries. Its growing internationalization and training of foreign doctors have led the Portuguese Dental Medicine, particularly in the field of implantology, to high quality and recognition levels. The research and development, the creation of innovative surgical techniques, unique implants, components, surgical objects, the fixed prosthesis bridge, as well as the publication of numerous articles and scientific studies, have all contributed to an enormous visibility and notoriety of the LM, making it possible to be, now, a worldwide reference in oral rehabilitation and dental aesthetics. In Portugal, with the headquarters of the 100% Portuguese group, LM has been opening a set of clinics strategically located so that its presence covers the entire national territory from north to south and islands, thus being able to respond more broadly to the needs of the Portuguese population. Since its founding, LM has sustained its growth in this set of factors that differentiate it, and which have been decisive for it to assume an essential role in Oral Health internationally, taking into account the complexity of the cases treated, the number of patients and the size of their clinics.

The rest of the three are comprehensive medical care units and healthcare services' providers. First and foremost, with a commitment that we never lose sight of ensuring that the customers feel in good hands, not only for the excellence, rigor and innovation that define us but for the care in the smallest details, LA is a reference group in the health sector in Portugal. LA is a brand with hospitals and clinics from north to south of the country, which provides a service offering based on a network that covers the entire national territory, with over 6,000 health professionals. 4 private hospitals and 8 outpatients clinics across the country. Founded in 1998, LA has always

distinguished itself with an innovative vision, combined with a strong spirit of continuous improvement, excellence and rigor, as well as the sustained growth and expansion of the healthcare network throughout the country. Two of the company's significant milestones were the opening of the main hospital in 2008, and the opening, with more meanings in the management practice, of the Cascais Hospital (a hospital of the national health service operated under the Public-Private Partnership program) since 2009. In 2013, this demand and commitment to excellence and quality of health services had gained a new lease on the acquisition of the company by the one Brazilian private healthcare group. That is, LA, started to count on a business model based on long years of experience in the sector.

Being established in 2000, LZ is one of the largest health care groups in the Portuguese market. The group provides its services through 30 units (including 14 private hospitals, a hospital of the national health service operated under the Public-Private Partnership program, 13 private outpatient clinics and two senior residences), located in the North, Central and Central-South regions of Portugal Continental and Madeira. On February 2014, LZ became the first private company in the health sector publicly listed through an initial public offering, becoming the first private company in the health sector to be traded in Euronext Lisbon. On October 2014, the Portuguese private commercial insurer became the majority shareholder of the company, owning the majority of the shares of LZ. This acquisition intended to guarantee the continuity of the project, maintaining its identity using an autonomous brand. In 2018, they had three private hospitals located in Portugal starting their activities.

Lastly, being founded in 1945, LJ is a reference company in the provision of health care in Portugal, and a partner for the development of the country. In 1945, an innovative private hospital, with a strong social responsibility component, served 80,000 employees and family members of the LJ Group. In 1995, LJ provided the first experience of Public-Private Partnership in Portugal. In the year 2001, Leveraging its experience of more than 50 years and satisfying the needs of the market, LJ consolidates its image of reference in private hospitalization in Lisbon. In 2006, LJ acquiesced the participation of shareholder in a reference operator in Spain. Two years after, LJ ended the management contract of the first hospital under the Public Private Partnership. However, one year later, LJ signed another contract and started of the management

of one public hospital located in the north part of the country. In 2011, another two years after, LJ started the management of another public hospital located in the central region. LJ currently manages 9 hospitals (2 of them in public-private partnerships), 1 institute and 6 clinics in Portugal.

3.3 Interview participants

Ten interviewees including five medical professionals and five management personals are the main participants of the study. The ten people are all working or have worked in the four local private healthcare organizations but in different departments. They could be divided into three groups: the first group includes two clinician managers, who are initially medical professionals, but also held management responsibilities; the second group has two female doctors and one male surgeon, all having working experience in the private healthcare units; and, the third group is the managerial layer that contains four department leaders and one senior manager. Table 3.1 provides the basic information of all interviewees. The interviewees' backgrounds range from professional medicine to non-medical business majors, and their seniorities go from at least 3 to more than 15 years.

Table 3.1 Basic information of all interviewees in the research

Interviewee	Gender	Job Position	Background	Seniority (years)
1	Male	Regional manager and chief dental director of boards in the regional branch of LM	Medicine (dentistry)	14
2	Male	CEO and chief medical officer of one private pediatric clinic, used to work for LZ	medicine (pediatrics)	10+
3	Female	Work both in community healthcare center and emergency department of LZ	Medicine (family doctor)	4
4	Female	Work full-time in private hospital LJ	Medicine (gynecology)	6

5	Male	Surgeon in one private center of day surgery, used to work for LJ	Medicine (surgery)	15+
6	Female	Business development director of private healthcare group LM	Non-medicine	3
7	Female	Corporate HR development specialist in LJ	Non-medicine	9
8	Male	CFO and COO in private healthcare group LA	Non-medicine	5
9	Female	Healthcare program manager, responsible for general operation department in LZ	Medicine-related (bio-engineering)	11+
10	Male	Clinical information and business intelligence leader in LJ	Medicine-related (bio-engineering)	4

Source: the author

3.4 Data generation

The key method of data collection in this research work is the in-depth interviews with ten voluntary participants. They were all interviewed in person using a semi-structured interview format (see appendix 4 Interview guide). The interview questions were generated on the following aspects: job profile of clinical work; the obstacles and difficulties people might encounter during the integration; the role of physicians and doctors in the management team; the engagement of medical professionals with the management work and the decision-making; and managerial leaders' personal working experience. All the interviews were taken place from September to December in the year 2018. The interviewees were informed about the purpose of the interview, and their names and identities will not be exposed in the study report. The interviews lasted, on average, about thirty-two minutes. All interviews were conducted face-to-face in English and recorded. The interviews were undertaken in different places. Most doctors were invited to nearby public places like coffee shops to have a break during the interviews, while others took place in the meeting rooms of the healthcare enterprises.

3.5 Interpretation method

Three types of content analysis were described and identified by Shannon and Hsieh, which mainly differ in their coding schemes and origins of codes (Hsiu-Fang Hsieh, Sarah E. Shannon, 2005). In this study, I used summative content analysis, in which keywords are derived from the author's own interests, and often approached as single words or in relation to particular content, and findings from the study are hoped to build on the contextual meaning of specific terms or content (Hsiu-Fang Hsieh, Sarah E. Shannon, 2005).

In order to get a more detailed insight into how the interviews were transferred into analyzable contents for the study, the proceeded steps are described in the following. As a first step, categories including sub-categories were defined and explained in a coding agenda (see table 3.2).

Table 3.2 Coding Agenda

Category	Sub-category	Definition of the Category	Meaning and implications
Perceptions towards private healthcare services	a) general attitude	A belief or opinion, often held by many people and based on how things seem in private healthcare units	This sub-category gives a big picture of the industry and general reason why they decided to become employees of private healthcare organizations.
	b) as a worker	A belief or opinion held by medical professionals and administrates working in private healthcare units	This sub-category shows specific working routine and gives further details on the work in the private healthcare groups.
Engagement to management	a) motivations	The willingness, needs or enthusiasm for participating in the management	This sub-category is to answer the research question one completely and gives the further driver for the medical doctors in joining the private hospital management.
	b) career pursuit and corporate value	The meaningful things which valued by the medical personals in line with the benefits and environments given in the private healthcare groups	It would be vital to know the internal and external conditions when the involvement of physicians in the decision-making process happens because there might be a significant difference with the general medical professionals who have a far-reaching autonomy in the public healthcare organizations.

Construction management culture in healthcare services	a) lean thinking and lean-adapted culture	The methods, mindset to eliminate waste and increase care quality	The sub-category emphasizes the importance of applying the scientific, managerial methods to continually improve healthcare delivery while always focusing on the needs of patients.
	b) Management's strategy: Proving ourselves	The hard work and various means adopted by managerial people to improve the hospital performance and maximize the value of healthcare deliveries	The sub-category partially answered the research question two and three, showing the strong willing and robust power that the management could bring to revolutionize the healthcare delivery process.
	c) Team integrations	Attempts used to make medical professionals involved with management in providing solutions that will meet the high requirements in the care delivery process.	The sub-category partially answered the research question three, emphasizing the importance of everyone on the front line participating in creating solutions and continually modifying standardized work.
	d) Clinicians' resistance	Negative opinions or behaviours against managerial work expressed and showed from frontline clinical workers	The sub-category partially answered the research question three and gave a glance at the barriers to healthcare professionals' being represented to these governing bodies.

Source: the author

Subsequently, the researcher read the transcript and underlined every statement that seemed relevant at first glance. After reconsidering the defined categories, the content of the transcript was structured using a color scheme. Statements, opinions, narratives and quotes were taken out by order of their color; summarizing them into the category system. In case the contents did not fit in existing categories, new ones were developed. There are three categories and eight sub-categories being created in total, and moreover, the third category 'construction management culture in healthcare services' should draw more attention because it could explain and gives

more lights to the import answers of the research questions two and three in this study. After being allocated entirely to the categories system, a category agenda with the number of the interviewees has been developed (see table 3.3)

Table 3.3 category agenda

No. Interviewee job position	1 clinician manager	2 clinician manager	3 medical doctors	4 medical doctors	5 medical doctors	6 managerial staff	7 human resource	8 senior executive	9 managerial staff	10 managerial staff
Category 1: Perception towards private healthcare services										
1) general attitude	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Neutral	Neutral	Positive	Neutral	Neutral
2) as a worker	Positive	Positive	Neutral	Positive	Neutral (mixed)	Positive	Neutral	Positive	Neutral	Positive
Category 2: Engagement to management										
1) motivation	High	High	Low	Medium	High	High	-	-	High	-
2) career pursuits and corporate value	Extreme high	Extreme high	Medium	Medium	Extreme high	High	High	High	High	-
Category 3: Construction management culture in healthcare services										
1) Lean thinking and lean-adapted culture	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
2) Management's strategy: Proving ourselves	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	-
3) Team integration	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
4) Clinicians' resistance	Not applicable	Yes	-	Not applicable	-	-	Yes	-	-	-

In category 1, 'positive', 'neutral' and 'negative' were further labeled to show the feelings in the statements accordingly; while in category 2, 'extreme high', 'high', 'medium' and 'low' were used to describe the desires and goals in the contents; in the last category,

only 'yes', 'no', and 'not applicable' were applied to illustrate what attempts and reactions have happened in their organizations. The symbol '-' means this has not been discussed or asked by the researcher during the interview.

4. Findings

This chapter will gradually present some results following the interviews: perceptions towards working as doctors in private healthcare services, internal and external motivations for medical professionals' involvement to management, and different groups' reactions and attempts towards the involvement of medical professionals with the managerial personals under the privatization of healthcare services.

4.1 Perceptions towards working as medical doctors in private healthcare services

4.1.1 general perceptions towards private healthcare services

Most participants expressed positive impressions and open-up attitudes toward the privatization of healthcare services. The following two examples are shared by a junior and a senior medical person; they described how they rate the increasing privatization or commercialization in the healthcare industry in Portugal:

“These areas of personal needs and social needs intersect. It is always a mixed mode; it's not necessary that only one single mode can solve all problems effectively.... It is not a shame to make healthcare marketization or commercialization. However, the government should have the means to guarantee the basic needs.” (Interviewee 4)

“Currently, the demand for medical care is good medical care and good doctors. The best hospitals are not afraid of spending money. The needs for strong and high-end medical are reflected...It [privatization] is reasonable to make healthcare services more diverse because health is both the basic needs of people and the ultimate demand.” (Interviewee 1)

The two supportive opinions are presented through the two interviewees' statements. From the macro-level, society is composited by mixed types in everything, and so is healthcare. One single type cannot meet all the needs from different classes. Meanwhile, from the micro-level, high-end private healthcare services appeared due to the appearance of the available market along with the rapid rise of people's demands. According to the Maslow's hierarchy of needs (Maslow, 1943), a lower level must be wholly or partially satisfied and fulfilled before moving onto a higher

pursuit. After the basic needs have been guaranteed by the national public healthcare system, the higher demand for health care started to be highlighted in the market. At this point, the fast emergence of private healthcare groups and relevant companies is consistent with social development.

4.1.2 the perception as workers

4.1.2.1 the perception from general medical professionals

Doctors who are in the private hospitals work as main labour force and main value creator. They are in the center of the entire healthcare value chain. This chain connects all major players in the healthcare field, including pharmaceutical, biotech, and medical device companies as producers. Hospitals and other facilities, as well as healthcare service providers, are at the center of the value chain with the public as their consumers and producers as their suppliers. Unlike working in public hospitals, the main task is not only treating patients well, but also improving quality of healthcare services perceived by patients, which means that outstanding communicational skills, empathy capacity, safe hospital environment and optimal treatment process are indispensable when working in the private hospitals.

In this study, almost all medical candidates pointed out that their working concept inside is more 'patient-centered', respecting the interests of the patients. However, junior and senior medical professionals have different concepts and career goals, the interviewees' narratives appeared variedly. Senior doctors tend to focus on a deeper understanding of practising medicine and the meaning of treating patients. Some considered that the private healthcare service is a way to integrate personal ideas and dreams in a career. Moreover, the time spent in the private hospital allows them to develop business thinking and communicational skills that would be practical and easily applied to a new job position:

"... After my careful consideration, I decided to give up the job in the public hospital and joined the private hospital LJ. If you want to do the business of 'moving a knife', you must enter the private medical industry and learn from the best institutions.

Frankly speaking, I have completed the reshaping of my mind and initial establishment of commercial thinking in LJ... However, I felt that the excellent medical service concept runs through the daily operations in LJ, which means doctors treat patients as family members who need care.” (Interviewee 5)

“I was working before in the private hospital and made friends with some senior managers in private hospitals on purpose. I participated in some forum in a current observation about the healthcare industry in Portugal, EU and read research reports from McKinsey and IQVIA at my free time. I knew various private healthcare institutions with all kinds of different operational modes...” (Interviewee 2)

Yet, the junior medical staff working in private hospitals tends to have a positive experience and a superficial feeling. The nice working environment and more financial subsidies were often mentioned by the juniors. For instance, two medical practitioners expressed their impressions explicitly about working in private hospitals and clinics:

“...As an employee in a public hospital, the salary is limited. They [the private hospital LZ] offered the payment on an hour-basis so that I can make more money through my work.” (Interviewee 3)

“Furthermore, the working environment here is far better than the one in public hospital, like high-end atmospheric buildings, beautiful back gardens, spacious and independent wards, one office for doctors, and various gyms, study rooms, and cafes. Compared with public hospitals, private hospitals are obviously better. After half a year here, my insomnia was almost cured.” (Interviewee 4)

With regard to the better working environment, senior medical staff are more concentrated on the culture and belief of private healthcare institutions. They would give up the job when they found the value is not consistent with what they believed, no matter how high they are paid for.

4.1.2.2 the perception from management levels

Most executives in private healthcare group view medical personals both as ‘employees’ and ‘cooperators’. Besides the medical levels and qualifications, humanistic cultivation is valued greatly and regarded as an essential element in developing a medical career in private healthcare units:

“We will have some tests on the languages and situation simulations to measure the personality, if he can handle some interpersonal problems that happen between parents and doctors...For juniors, we have a trainee program and various lectures about respecting and protection of patient privacy, some communication skills based on different cultural backgrounds to facilitate the process of integration. Further, we will judge the doctor's communication ability and the maintenance status of the patient's relationship through the patient's 6-month follow-up rate.”
(Interviewee 7)

“The most important thing is that doctors must have good communication skills, because one of the keys to winning the trust of the patient is how well you communicate with the patient. For example, once I brought my child to see a doctor. We went to the urgency center. The child is a few months old but what the doctor gave me was tablets. If I were a colleague of him, I would throw the pills on the doctor's face. There are so many kinds of syrup, and why not use syrup? Such doctors are very reluctant to communicate, and will not consider from the patient's perspective, how can patients be satisfied?” (Interviewee 8)

In private hospitals and clinics, medical professionals are encouraged to talk more with the patients, think from patients’ perspectives and make patients fully satisfied. From these statements, both diagnosis-treating abilities and the communication art with the patients are evaluated, and these are critical requirements for medical career advancement in private healthcare organizations. Therefore, the organizational goal of improving the perceived quality of healthcare services may create a suitable environment for medical professionals to engage with hospital governance and management.

4.2 Medical professionals' engagement in management

4.2.1 Motivations for medical professionals' engagement in management

There are various reasons causing the intervention from the management side. The economic causes were shown for the commercial management in the healthcare industry. Two healthcare providers said their opinions from the economic perspective respectively:

“The opposition to healthcare "marketization" comes mostly from the fear that patients will pay more for this, but in fact, it is not. In other words, the medical expenses that different groups of groups can bear are different. Free deprives consumers of the possibility of automating medical prices and maximizing profits, also deprives the opportunity of the rich to subsidize the poor.

Partly commercialized healthcare services show the law and the value of the market economy.”

(Interviewee 3)

“It [Commercial involvement] is also considered to be ‘of different quality and different price, or homogeneous but different price’. Therefore, we are subjectively maximizing profits and exposed the psychological bottom line of the public, but the objective reality is to ensure that more people can enjoy the service. This is the charm of marketization, and it is also the ethical justice of the market.” (Interviewee 2)

The above expressions realized that medicine is an art, but healthcare is a business. Private healthcare services can complete the health market through cost shifting and cost subsidizing. For different groups of patients or quick pathway of hospital administration, the charge might be different despite the treating outcomes and medications are the same. Moreover, the profitable service in some departments would subsidize the unprofitable service and haemorrhaging departments within the hospital, so that the public can be assured to have wholesome and comprehensive health care.

Obviously, there is no right or wrong answer towards the phenomenon of capital intervention in healthcare services. However, since the healthcare industry used to be public dominated in Portugal and the growth of private healthcare groups is prosperous in this industry, capital

interventions and business-style operations are naturally expected to happen. The interactions between medical personals and the managerial level are naturally regarded as proper, which might lead to easier acceptance and open-up attitudes from the medical side.

4.2.2 career pursuits and corporate value

Most doctors have thought themselves wearing a glorious shirt with hope and enthusiasm. They believe in what they understand for medical care. For example, one dentist working in private dental chain clinics commented:

“In the past, the value and the operational style of the former clinic did not meet my expectation. I have my understanding of dental services. And I saw too much outside of healthcare impacts about the medical service itself, including academic, commercial, official, and even the doctor's own, and the patient experience as the core of medicine is often overlooked. At our clinic, everything you see, hotel-like lobby, piano, running water, sunroof, the sunshine, and an old dean... This is entrepreneurship because no one else knows what Eden garden is like in your heart unless you build it yourself.” (Interviewee 1)

It could be seen that personal dream-like ‘garden in the heart’, ‘understanding of health care’ and ‘Eden garden’ are reinforced by doctors who worked outside the public healthcare system. Moreover, this participant suggests that these personal pursuits and sense of mission would influence the choice of the job position and career pathway. The words ‘build it yourself’, ‘entrepreneurship’ and ‘no one else knows’ indicate that previous work is regarded as inconsistent with personal belief and perceived value of health care, which implies that private healthcare group might be more willing to provide the working conditions that can be more suitable for the realization of personal value and sense of self-satisfaction.

Correctly, the leaders and managers working in the private healthcare groups showed needs of consultancy and help from the medical sides when they need to attract more patients and maximize the profit. Here is one example shared by a business director in one private Portuguese dentistry group respectively:

“The medical professionals can participate in the design of the service products... We want a person to have different products to solve oral problems at different ages from birth to old age. Thus, we asked the doctors to list all the oral disorders and problems that most likely to happen at different ages and stage. According to the suggestions, we recently designed and launched the Children’s Oral Health Program.” (Interviewee 6)

Like the example showed above, relevant doctors and medical personals would be automatedly invited to involve in discussing the managerial process with their professional suggestions. Everyone inside worked together and openly discussed the problems and correspondent solutions. In other words, the private healthcare service company established a culture of safety through mutual respect and transparency.

4.3 Actual attempts to construct the management culture in healthcare

4.3.1 Lean thinking and lean-adapted culture

The above positive attitudes and high personal perceptions have subjectively led to the visible result: there is a more substantial proportion of interaction and engagement between the medical professionals and the management in the private healthcare groups. One junior gynecologist said the necessity of eliminating unnecessary waste:

“Here we must control the expense. Because the insurance company would only pay a certain amount of money based on the diagnosis, we need to reduce the complications of surgery, the average length of hospital stays and unnecessary examinations. Therefore, we must minimize the inspection and medication, such as strict disinfection of the operating room, patients can go home even after the surgery without drugs. Also, it can reduce the medical costs, increased bed utilization rate. Thus, we are more motivated to improve medical quality and safety, streamline and lean the medical procedures.” (Interviewee 4)

In another interview, one administrator recalled an episode that suggested management staff try to gain more acceptance by helping to indeed improve physicians' work efficiency through experiencing the doctors' daily work:

“In the beginning, most hospitals are not designed with the idea of minimizing motion of the nurses, physicians, and other healthcare workers. Rather than being assigned to a single ward, these patients ended up being located on several different floors. the doctors wasted one hour per day walking from room to room. Then I came up with standardized work and value stream mapping. They are tools, which are classical in management, can dramatically reduce this form of waste.” (Interviewee 9)

From the narratives, it seems that they adopted lean thinking in order to socialize and integrate with their medical co-workers. With the application of the lean scientific methods to continually improve healthcare delivery while always focus on the needs of patients, administrative staffs seem to find a better way to communicate with medical doctors and optimize the operational process, regardless of individual career pursuits and motivations of engagement.

4.3.2 Management's strategy: Proving ourselves

Consequently, other behaviours can be observed as well. For instance, the managerial staffs referred that they must double the efforts on communicating with doctors and nurses, and to demonstrate a significant business performance to avoid themselves from the image of 'outsiders' in the mind of medical team:

“I have talked a lot with the medical professionals and told them that our dental company is not a personal institution. We hope that more like-minded doctors can join, absorb medical teams with more quality to cooperate with us, and each store has an independent doctor partner... I believe that this can help us to recruit more medical talents to join to expand team strength.... If I did not speak with doctors and no communication, this medical institution would not have enough vitality. While using educational institutions to do internal hematopoiesis and follow-up training, this is a deeper hiding system of doctor's management.” (Interviewee 6)

“I hope my work could change the ‘outsider’ views and gain more acceptance from the medical staff.” (Interviewee 9)

From the participants’ experiences, the ‘talk’ and ‘platform’ did by managerial directors were with cooperative expectation, like what was said ‘internal hematopoiesis’. Under this situation, only the exceeding efforts and outstanding managerial outcomes are vital and significant to prove themselves.

As Kanter (Kanter, Rosabeth Moss, 1993) argued, the minority would face performance pressure in a dominant group. The presence of non-clinical administrator in clinical healthcare units is easily noticed, but it does not mean that their performance could achieve the same attention and appraisal since their capacities tend to be eclipsed by the educational backgrounds and general stereotype of the professional role. It appears that performance pressures come from occupational expectation, which is the primary reason making managerial personals work hardly with doctors and nurses to prove their competence.

In fact, management’s strategy to prove themselves is a manifestation that they are standardizing working operations and improving the perceived quality of private healthcare services. With the goal of being accepted as a family and ‘insiders’, management’s strategy is to maximize the value of healthcare services by producing lean norms and constructing management culture.

4.3.3 Team integration

In this research, some physician leaders recalled narratives that showed the managers try to facilitate interactions and integration. Here is an example of a dental director’s experience in the evaluation meeting:

“However, later we found that doctors also have the pursuit of experience, such as doctors need a more optimized medical facilities and humanized management platform to achieve more optimized medical services. With the liberalization of doctors, we can provide such an online platform for doctors.” (Interviewee 1)

It could be seen from the above example that the administrators and managerial leaders are aware that medical professionals have problems during the engagement. However, he oversimplified this problem and used a straightforward way to solve it. According to a newly-published study (C. P. West, L. N. Dyrbye, T. D. Shanafelt, 2018), overuse of digital utilities and long-term using electronic medical records are significant contributions to physician burnout and decreased quality of healthcare.

While other leaders gave another method to convince those who are working on the frontlines to make as a whole:

“We used some data as key performance indicators, KPIs, which reflect we are doing it right or not. We have a white board which everyone can see in the office with those specific KPIs for each program. We created a graph every month, and we had a goal line. We can see the changes and constant remind ourselves to reach our goals... We will break the visiting process down to several steps and set corresponding indicators. And we will align owner for each indicator and analyze those data. Everybody within the team should be comfortable with those data, results and suggestions.” (Interviewee 10)

“We do not point fingers; we do not blame people. The first thing that we did was we got a true time study using time stamps and making sure we understood who came in and how long that they were there. For instance, we would make a process map of patient check-in for an operating room with doctors and nurses during their normal routine work. Here, the red one is shown when the patient is being transported from the admission office to the operating room since the distance is excessively long, and delays patient arrival to the OR [operation room]. Therefore, the hospital would need to consider if an admission office can be relocated to a site that is physically closer to the operating room.” (Interviewee 9)

From this example, we can see that process map is a visual tool which is easily created by and could actively engage the frontline staff with management task, allowing them to visualize the

workflow and create effective solutions. With identifying the proper sequencing of procedural steps, the managerial professionals no longer felt excluded from the highly specified medical complex. Moreover, the waste and workload of healthcare providers were reduced through the cooperative analysis. Performing this activity creates various buy-in by managerial leaders and those on the front lines because they understand why.

4.3.4 Clinicians' resistance

With such encouraging and active team building, some clinicians are reluctant to think about the senior management roles. Here it is another narrative introduced by a senior medical executive:

“To be honest, I have not finished the transformation [from being a doctor to be the owner and manager of an individual clinic] yet. In fact, I still hope that I can be free between the two. If a doctor becomes a pure operator, it is very... I think it is a very cruel thing; this is not what I wanted to do. I still hope that I have a doctor's feelings and some operational ideas. I will also explore the scale. If you are a professional manager and you are not a doctor, you may have been operating in a medical institution for ten years, and maybe change to other industries for another ten years.” (Interviewee 2)

It is observed that this physician interviewee is acutely aware of the risks of losing his clinical skills. Medical participants gave expression of conflicted feelings and a strong identification with the medical professional background. It could be seen that physician's acceptance to undertake senior management position is relatively higher, since it is easier for physicians to maintain their clinical practice than surgeons, where constant repetition of practical procedures is important (Hazim Sadideen, Abtin Alvand, Munir Saadeddin, Roger Kneebone, 2013). Though clinician's resistance is an obstacle making the integration, the healthcare quality improvement has brought management and medical leadership together because it became a quality issue instead of either a medical issue or a management issue.

To sum up, this chapter demonstrates different groups' perceptions and their reactions towards the engagement with medical professionals. Meanwhile, actual attempts and examples of private

healthcare groups were presented to promote integration and improve the quality of healthcare service. The lean thinking produced by their strategies, furthermore, was showed to be effective in tackling the issues encountered between senior management and clinicians.

5. Discussion

In this chapter, the research questions will be answered, while the results of the study will be discussed. Furthermore, the theoretical and practical implications will be explored. Last but not least, the limitations are described, and further recommendations for future research are made.

5.1 Research questions

The first sub-question is ‘what are motivations or drivers for physicians to work in private hospitals and clinics in Portugal?’ The answers from the interviews demonstrate several main concerns: the financial reimbursement, opportunities to study, freedom and autonomy, and elegant working environment. Those results also got confirmed from the previous study, which showed physicians predominantly turn to the private sector for economic reasons (Ferrinho P, Lerberghe WV, Julien MR, Fresta E, Gomes A, Dias F, Goncalves A, Backstrom B, 1998).

Other dissertations are showing contradictive conclusions, which held the hypothesis that increased earnings do not play such a predominant role in physicians’ decisions to shift from the public sector (Giuliano Russo, Bruno de Sousa, Mohsin Sidat, Paulo Ferrinho, Gilles Dussault, 2014). This interpretation is not consistent with what the author analyzed from the interview data, which might be caused because of the countries’ economic situations. The result was mainly generated in high-income countries, while the payment to doctors in Portugal is relatively lower in the European countries (Villanueva, 2012), which might be the primary explanation for this inconsistency.

Furthermore, the opportunities to further study and more autonomy to managerial functions in private healthcare sectors are important drivers as well. From the interviews, we can see that those are more valued by the senior doctors. This finding was also mentioned in existing studies, hypnotizing that senior physicians are less inclined to multiple clinical activities, opt for less demanding managerial work and shift towards leisure opportunities in the work-life balance as they passed the peak of their medical careers (EM, 2005). However, our data offers a different opinion on this point. Instead of passing the top of the medical career and avoiding demanding clinical work, the seniors put more value on management because they have a robust clinical experience and comprehensive understanding of healthcare delivery. They understand deeper

and have more awareness of the fact that medicine is an art, and healthcare is a business. Therefore, they pay more attention to the management, and they are keen to improve the overall quality of care service from the management side.

To answer the second sub-question concerning the engagement degree of medical doctors in the hospital management, content analysis of the interviews was done. It is certain that medical professionals are represented on governing bodies in private healthcare groups. However, they rarely appeared in the boards of directors. Instead, they usually represent in the management team. In our research, only one private dental group has one dentist in their boards due to the technology patents that the dentist invented. Nevertheless, there are no medical professionals shown on the boards in other three private healthcare service providers, which is consistent with the Separation of Ownership and Control theory mentioned in the literature review of corporate governance.

As for the managerial, financial, and operational decisions, medical professionals usually participate in the managerial, and operational aspects. Financial decisions have been rarely mentioned during the interviews. Some suggest that the absence of knowing the financial payments could lead to better clinical decisions by doctors and nurses, which are made according to the evidence-based medicine practice, rather than financial considerations or other non-clinical factors (FM Hajjaj, MS Salek, MKA Basra, AY Finlay, 2010). Another cause to this finding might be the properties of the private healthcare organizations since the majority of patients in these hospitals and clinics are covered by the commercial health insurances. In other words, the target of service in private healthcare companies is different from the common perception, which means they mainly service the patients who have certain financial abilities to pay for the better care they provide. Consequently, the perceived service quality, such as the waiting time, workers' attitudes and convenience, is prioritized and chosen as the main point which medical staff is usually discussing and levelling with other managerial personals.

The findings suggest that some attempts and solutions are adopted in a way that creates the managerial culture inside the clinical work, which can answer the third research question about clinicians' engagement level in management, existing barriers and solutions. With different job

requirements, invisible occupational prejudice was created unconsciously. Management's way to prove themselves is, actually, a process of seeking the 'sameness' as medical professionals. Another good example is the words: "*my work could change the 'outsider' views and gain more acceptance from the medical staff*" (Interviewee 9). It can be understood that applying managerial traits is a common strategy to integrate management into medical teams. Through lean norms and business lean thinking, they realized more common interests with their medical co-workers. With the goal of being accepted as 'insiders', consequently, management work is proved to maximize the patient-centred value of healthcare services. Through more teamwork and process standardization, medical professionals are gaining an insight into the managerial issues inside their clinical work, and the ground-breaking lean thinking are virtually reproducing management culture in the healthcare services.

Meanwhile, according to agent theory, there is a correlation between the size of "agency cost", the difficulty of supervision, and the interest homogeneity between the principal and the agent (Bichanan, 1988). The easier the supervision and the more similar the interest is, the lower the "agency cost" is. With the unification of the goal that is improving patient-perceived quality of healthcare service, issues like physicians' autonomy and communication problems are becoming less and less complicated to cope with. Nevertheless, in this study, there is no finding suggesting the apparent willingness or tendency for medical professionals to participate in the decision-making managerial layer of the private healthcare entities in Portugal.

The ultimate question about the current status of medical professionals' engagement in management in private healthcare organizations which operate as legal entities under the corporate governance can be answered after discussing the sub-questions. It can be certain that medical professionals' engagement in management is somewhat active in Portuguese private healthcare organizations. Rather than financial decisions, managerial and operational decisions are the main activities in which medical persons show greater interests and participate actively. In addition, during the interactions and integration, the management culture, especially the lean-adapted culture, is penetrating in the clinical processes and daily work. This, in turn, reinforces the engagement of medical professionals with the management inside the group, regardless of the personal pursuit, attitudes and motivations.

5.2 Theoretical and practical implications

This study contributes to the body of knowledge regarding corporate governance in healthcare. To be more precise, corporate governance used with the engagement of medical professionals in the private healthcare entities. The study presents evidence that business governance model is used for internal quality improvement and management in the healthcare industry and what types of methods that organizations in the private healthcare industry disseminate through their daily practice. Consequently, researchers might use this study as a start and then focus on another particular sector in this industry, for example, public hospitals under public-private partnerships. As a result, more specific results could be generated and might improve the communication between administrators and healthcare professionals in that sector.

Besides plenty of data was collected during the content analysis, like the theme of lean-thinking and advanced lean-adapted culture, this information might be valuable in theoretical frameworks.

Furthermore, this study helps healthcare professionals better understand the corporate governance and the role of corporate governance used with the engagement of medical professionals. Consequently, they might optimize the use of these lean managerial thinking in the healthcare industry and improve communication between healthcare professionals and health administrators. In addition, it might improve communication between healthcare professionals themselves and between health administrators as well. As a result, this study might ultimately contribute to improving patient healthcare.

5.3 Limitations and further research possibilities

Even though some findings were found through this research, it should be informed that this study has several limitations. Nevertheless, these shortages would give some suggestions on the future research of healthcare management.

First and foremost, the number of interviewees in the study is small. There were a few medical professional participants in the managerial layer, which makes a pity on medical leaders' barriers

in management and current situation. Future research could make further investigation to explore clinicians' perception on the upper level. To gain a complete understanding of medical workers in private healthcare industry, clinician's promotion, career development and managerial co-worker's acceptance towards clinicians as decision-makers in a commercial market also provide a noticeable direction for future researchers to examine.

On the other hand, this study only focuses on one type of healthcare organizations. The data could not adequately represent the whole Portuguese situation. The four healthcare organizations are all private, for-profit joint venture enterprises. However, the details of multinationals, state-owned enterprises and private, non-for-profit healthcare groups are unknown. The healthcare organizations with different ownership structures could be chosen for future studies. It would be relevant and necessary to extend the study if this phenomenon also persists in other types of healthcare organizations.

Since content analysis qualitative method has been applied to this thesis, the researcher's subjectivity is most concerned. Although in-depth interviews and qualitative analysis give opportunities for the author to observe a natural phenomenon and experience the reality, the author herself described what she saw and could selectively choose what contents to be contained in the study. Therefore, the study is risked being on a biased view as researcher's individual views and perspectives may be unrepresentative and non-holistic.

Because of the breadth in the field of healthcare and inter-subject contact, as well as the exploratory character of this thesis, it intended to, and could, only contribute with a small insight. To truly understand how physicians in general, and different groups of the healthcare market in particular, define the integration as well as to develop useful insights for governments and private healthcare companies in practice, the area would need to be studied more in-depth and from different angles. Additionally, since majorities of present dissertations are largely quantitative-based, further qualitative-based research is needed.

6. Conclusion

By analyzing and reflecting on interviewees' narratives and connecting qualitative data to the topic of physicians' role in the management of health care, the researcher has examined medical professionals' engagement with corporate governance and management in private healthcare industry in Portugal for the first time. In the meantime, Agent theory and Separation of Ownership and Control theory were mainly applied in this study, extending this theory to the healthcare administration context. Focusing on both frontline clinicians' and managers' perspectives, empirical evidence suggests that most participants expressed positive impressions and open-up attitudes towards private healthcare services; both, medical professionals and management staffs have similar job perceptions about hospital clinical work; and medical workers expressed more motivations to involve in hospital management based on the career trajectories in their personal developments and social-economic environment in Portugal. Through top management's promotions and interactions, the strategies are effective, and to some extent, they even reproduce management culture, especially lean-adapted culture, in private healthcare services. The lean managerial thinking is the biggest facilitator for the engagement since it reinforced 'patient-centered' thinking and maximized the value of healthcare services.

Bibliography

A. Alty, K. Rodham, 1998. The Ouch! Factor: Problems in Conducting Sensitive Research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 8(2), pp. 275-282.

Abernethy Margaret, Johannes Stoelwinder, 1990. The relationship between organizational structure and management control in hospitals. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Volume 3, pp. 18-33.

Ackroyd, Stephen, 1996. 'Organization contra organizations: professions and organizational change in the United Kingdom. *Organization Studies*, 17(4), pp. 599- 621.

ACSS- Administration centre of healthcare system, 2018. *Parcerias Público-Privadas no setor da Saúde*. [Online] Available at: <http://www2.acss.min-saude.pt/Default.aspx?TabId=1222&language=en-US> [Accessed 17 7 2018].

Addicott, R., 2008. Models of governance and the changing role of the board in the modernised' UK health sector.. *Journal of Health Organisation and Management*, Volume 22, pp. 147-163.

Alexander J. A., Morlock L., Gifford B., 1988. The Effects of Corporate Restructuring on Hospital Policymaking. *Health Services Research*, 23(2), pp. 311-327.

Barzelay, M., 2001. *The New Public Management: Improving Research and Policy Dialogue*. 1 ed. s.l.:University of California Press.

Bichanan, A., 1988. Principal/agent theory and decision making in health care. *Bioethics*, 2(4), pp. 317-333.

Bupa Global, 2017. *Healthcare in Portugal*. [Online] Available at: https://www.expatica.com/pt/healthcare/Healthcare-in-Portugal_106770.html [Accessed 16 11 2017].

C. P. West, L. N. Dyrbye, T. D. Shanafelt, 2018. Physician burnout: contributors, consequences and solutions. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 283(6), pp. 516-529.

Christos Pitelis, Roger Sugden, 1986. The separation of ownership and control in the theory of the firm: A reappraisal. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 4(1), pp. 69-86.

Clark J and K Armit, 2008. Attainment of competency in management and leadership. *Clinical Governance: An International Journal*, 13(1), pp. 35-42.

Eekloo K, L Delesie, A and Vleugels, 2007. Where is the pilot The changing shapes of governance in the European hospital sector. *The Journal of The Royal Society for the Promotion of Health*, 127(2), pp. 78-86.

EM, S., 2005. Physicians' labour supply: the wage impact on hours and practice combinations. *LABOUR*, 19(4), pp. 673-703.

Enthoven, A. C., 2004. Market Forces And Efficient Health Care Systems. *Health Affairs*, 23(2), pp. 25-27.

Europe, W. H. O. R. O. f., 2002. Regulating Entrepreneurial Behaviour in hopistals. In: *Regulating Entrepreneurial Behaviour in European Healthcare systems*. s.l.:Open University Press, p. 136.

Federation, H. E. H. a. H., 2012. *The hospitals in the 27 European countries*, s.l.: s.n.

- Ferrinho P, Lerberghe WV, Julien MR, Fresta E, Gomes A, Dias F, Goncalves A, Backstrom B, 1998. How and why public sector doctors engage in private practice in Portuguese-speaking African countries. *Health Policy Plan*, 13(3), pp. 332-338.
- Finn, B., 1995. *Organization, power and change*, Copenhagen: s.n.
- FM Hajjaj, MS Salek, MKA Basra, AY Finlay, 2010. Non-clinical influences on clinical decision-making: a major challenge to evidence-based. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, Volume 103, p. 178–187.
- Giuliano Russo, Bruno de Sousa, Mohsin Sidat, Paulo Ferrinho, Gilles Dussault, 2014. Why do some physicians in Portuguese-speaking African countries work exclusively for the private sector? Findings from a mixed-methods study. *Human Resources for Health*, Issue 12, pp. 51-59.
- Goodall, A. H., 2011. *Physician-Leaders and Hospital Performance: Is There an Association?*, s.l.: Discussion Paper.
- Hazim Sadideen, Abtin Alvand, Munir Saadeddin, Roger Kneebone, 2013. Surgical experts: Born or made?. *International Journal of Surgery*, 11(9), pp. 773-778.
- Hoangmai H. Pham, Kelly J. Devers, Jessica H. May and Robert Berenson, 2004. Financial Pressures Spur Physician Entrepreneurialism. *Health Affairs*, 23(2), pp. 70-81.
- HOPE: European Hospital and Healthcare Federation, 2012. *Hospitals in Europe healthcare data*. [Online] Available at: http://www.hope.be/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/portugal-eu_hospitals-profile1.pdf [Accessed 16 11 2017].
- Hsiu-Fang Hsieh, Sarah E. Shannon, 2005. Three Approaches to Qualitative Content Analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(9), p. 1277–1288.
- International Finance Corporation, 2015. *A Guide to Corporate Governance Practices in the European Union*, Washington DC: International Finance Corporation and world bank group.
- JA Simões, Gonçalo Augusto, Inês Fronteira, Cristina Hernández-Quevedo, 2017. Portugal, Health system review. *Health Systems in Transition*, 19(2).
- Jens K. Roehrich, Michael A. Lewis, Gerard George, 2014. Are public-private partnerships a healthy option? A systematic literature review. *Social Science & Medicine*, Volume 113, pp. 110-119.
- Jonsson, S., 1998. Relate management accounting research to managerial work!. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Volume 23, pp. 411-434.
- José António Figueiredo, Vasco Eiriz, 2004. *Public and private partnerships in the hospital sector – New challenges for Portugal*. [Online] [Accessed 16 7 2018].
- Juliet Corbin, Anselm Strauss, 1990. Grounded Theory Research: Procedures, Canons, and Evaluative Criteria. *Qualitative Sociology*, 13(1).
- Kanter, Rosabeth Moss, 1993. *Men and Women of the Corporation*. New York: Basic Books.

- Kovner, A. R., 1990. Improving hospital board effectiveness: an update.. *Frontiers of Health Services Management*, Volume 6, pp. 3-27.
- L'huillier, B., 2014. What does "corporate governance" actually mean?. *Corporate Governance International Journal of Business in Society*, 14(3).
- Llewellyn, Sue, 1998. Boundary work: costing and caring in the UK social services. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Volume 23, pp. 23-48.
- Ludwig Kuntz, Stefan Scholtes, 2008. *The Role of Medical Professionals in Top Management Teams of Healthcare Organisations: An Economic Model*, Cambridge: Judge Business School, University of Cambridge..
- Lyotard, Jean-Francois, 1984. *The postmodern condition: a report on knowledge*. Trans!, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Mark N.K. Saunders, Philip Lewis, Adrian Thornhill, 2015. Chapter 5: Formulating the research design; Chapter 6: Negotiating access and research ethics. In: *Research Methods for Business Student*. s.l.:s.n.
- Maslow, A. H., 1943. A Theory of Human Motivation. *Psychological Review*, Volume 50, pp. 370-396.
- Mathilde A. Berghout, Isabelle N. Fabbriotti, Martina Buljac-Samardžić, Carina G.J.M. Hilders, 2017. Medical leaders or masters? A systematic review of medical leadership in hospital settings. *PLoS ONE*, 12(9).
- Mintzberg Henry, 1973. In: *The nature of managerial work*. New York: Harper & Row, p. 56.
- Miranda Sarmiento, J; Renneboog, Luc, 2014. *The Portuguese Experience with Public-Private Partnerships*, s.l.: Tilburg University.
- Mulder, Mauk, 1971. Power equalization through participation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Volume 16, pp. 31-38.
- Murphy, J., Quillinan, B., & Carolan, M., 2009. Role of clinical nurse leadership in improving patient care. *Nursing management*, Volume 16, pp. 26-28.
- Neves, R. E., 2015. *Effectiveness of Health Services in Portuguese Hospitals under Public-Private Partnerships Regimes*. [Online] [Accessed 16 7 2018].
- Nguyen, H., 2011. The principal-agent problems in health care: evidence from prescribing patterns of private providers in Vietnam. *Health Policy and Planning*, 26(1), p. i53–i62.
- Peisert, K., 2015. *21st-Century Care Delivery: Governing in the New Healthcare Industry, 2015 Biennial Survey of Hospitals*, s.l.: The Governance Institute.
- Pettigrew, Andrew, Ewan Ferlie, Loma McKee, 1992. *Shaping strategic change*, Sage: Newbury Park.
- Quinn JF, Perelli S, 2016. First and foremost, physicians: the clinical versus leadership identities of physician leaders.. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, Volume 30, pp. 771-728.
- R. Edward Freeman, 1984. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Royston Greenwood, C.R. Hinings, 1996. Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing Together the Old and the New Institutionalism. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(4), pp. 1022-1054.

Satiani B, Prakash S., 2016. It is Time for More Physician and Nursing Representation on Hospital Boards in the US. *Journal of Hospital & Medical Management*, 2(1).

Secretary-General, O., 2015. *Principles of Corporate Governance*, Ankara: OECD.

SM, S., 1989. New directions in hospital governance. *Hospital Health Service Admin*, Volume 34, pp. 7-23.

Thorne Marie, 1997. Being a clinical director: first among equals or just a go between ?. *Health Services Management Journal*, Volume 10, pp. 205-215.

Veronesi G., Keasey K., 2011. National health service boards of directors and governance models. *Public Management Review*, Volume 13, pp. 861-885.

Villanueva, T., 2012. *the BMJ opinion*. [Online]

Available at: <https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2012/01/31/tiago-villanueva-do-young-portuguese-doctors-still-want-to-work-in-portugal/>

[Accessed 11 1 2019].

Viswanathan, V., 2014. *The atlantic: The rise of the M.D./M.B.A. Degree*. [Online]

Available at: <https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2014/09/the-rise-of-the-mdmba-degree/380683/>

[Accessed 16 11 2017].

Appendix

1. Recruiting Screener

Hello,

My name is Liangchen Fei. I am a Master student whose research topic is about the role of the physician in the Portugal hospital administration. I am writing this to recruit participants for one-on-one interviews that will be recorded and studies for the influence of medical professionals in private hospital governance. This is not for sales and is for only research purposes. This is not for commercial sales and is only for research purposes.

1. Are you a medical professional (has been trained in medicine and obtained a medical degree such as M.D. or MBBS): YES/ NO

2. Have you ever been trained in business or obtained certification in administration/management: YES/ NO

3. We will record this interview, are you willing to be audiotaped and sign a release form?

YES/ NO

4. What job title you had or have in the healthcare organizations: _____

When a report is written, there will not be any identifying factors in the report; your name and the name of your working institutions will not be used.

Thank you for your interest in taking part in this critical research. I will be sending you a confirmation letter with all the details of the interviews by email.

Liangchen Fei

2. Confirmation Letter

Dear XXX,

This letter will serve as a confirmation for your participation in the interview meeting that will be held at the (scheduled location) between (scheduled time) on (planned date).

As I mentioned in the last interview, the subject for the interview is the attitudes and opinions in the corporate governance applied in the private healthcare institutions. This interview is not for sales and is for research purposes only. All responses will remain anonymous. However, the interview will be recorded and used for instructional purposes in the research.

As a thank-you for your participation, you will receive a copy of my final research report for this 30 minutes interview. Please arrive on time, so that we can begin without disturbing your scheme.

Thank you for your offer and great willing to take part in this critical research. Please let me know as soon as possible if you will not be able to attend the interview on the date.

See you.

Best regards,

Liangchen Fei

3. Consent Form



ISCTE Business School

Avenida das Forças Armadas,
1649-026, Lisboa

Tel: +351 217903004
E-mail: ibs@iscte-iul.pt

www.linkedin.com/in/liangchen-fei

September 2018

LETTER TO RESEARCH INTERVIEWEES

Dear Sir/Madam/Dr(a),

This letter is to introduce Liangchen Fei, who is a full-time master student in Business Administration at ISCTE Business school. She has a student card, which carries a photograph, as proof of identity. She is undertaking research leading to the production of a thesis on the subject of 'Physicians in Corporate Governance of Private healthcare institutions in Portugal: role, experience, and engagement'. The research aims to know the current status of medical professionals' engagement in management for the performance of private healthcare organizations.

She would like to invite you to assist with this research by agreeing to be involved in an interview which covers certain aspects of this research topic. No more than one hour would be required. Meanwhile, she will be assured that any information provided will be treated in the strictest confidence and none of the participants will be individually identifiable in the resulting thesis, report or other publications. You are entirely free to discontinue your participation at any time, or particularly, to decline to answer some questions.

Since she needs to make an audio recording of the interview, she will seek your consent to record the answer, to use the recording in preparing the thesis, on the condition that your name or identity is not revealed, and to make the recording unavailable to any other person.

Any enquiries you may have concerning this research should be directed to me at the address given above or by telephone on +351 962437893 or e-mail: flnie1@iscte-iul.pt.

Thank you for your attention and assistance!

Yours sincerely,

Helena Sofia Santos
Professor at Iscte - IUL
Coste Helena Santos
Researcher at ISCTE-IUL



4. Interview Guide

I. Background:

Thank you for accepting the interview today. I am Liangchen Fei, a master student in the ISCTE-IUL. I was researching the influence, attitudes and opinions in the corporate governance applied in private healthcare institutions.

Today, I want to get your answers about the most important reasons and motivations that drive you to become work in private healthcare institutions, or even step into the business world in the healthcare industry. Furthermore, we can talk about the general work, activities and the challenges faced when working in private hospitals under corporate governance in Portugal. Meanwhile, we can together explore the extent and different impacts of medical professionals on the managerial, financial, and operational decision-making processes.

Thus, we can provide insight into the impact of physician leadership as well as suggestions to make it easier for policy-makers, managers and investors who have no medical backgrounds to reach consensus with physicians and medical professionals.

II. Rules and confidentiality of Interview

The interview should last no longer than 30 minutes. Feel free to drink during our meeting and please mute your cell phone before we begin. Please talk freely about your opinions today. There are no right or wrong answers so please share openly.

The consent forms you signed allows us to use audio segments from this interview for research purposes only.

III. Introductions

As you know, I am Liangchen Fei, the interviewer for the research and the one who recruited you.

IV. Questions on motivations

For administrators and executives

Q1. How long have you run and take charge of the department/ team in this healthcare institutions?

Probe: What has made you decide to take this kind of job in the first place?

Probe: Did you switch from another job? What made you decide to work in this one over that one?

Q2. Why do you like to step into the healthcare industry?

For junior medical professionals

Q1. Why did you want to be a doctor/nurse/ dentist in this private healthcare institutions?

Probe: What are things you do not like about your former work in a public hospital?

Q2. How long have you been working for the LA/LZ/LJ?

Probe: Did you switch from another private healthcare group? What made you decide to work in this one over that one?

Q3. How do you feel and think of the culture of the LA/LZ/LJ? Moreover, the relations between your colleagues?

Q4. I have heard that healthcare services should be free access rather than commercialized business. Do you think of that? Is it right and reasonable for private healthcare institutions to make healthcare services to become like commercial for-profit products?

For senior medical professionals

Q1. Have you worked for any private hospitals like LA/LZ/LJ? How long have you been working for the LA/LZ/LJ?

Probe: Will you recommend, or will you continue to work for private healthcare intuitions or hospital?

Probe: Why you leave this private/public healthcare group?

Probe: Why do you want to have your own clinics?

Q2. How do you feel and think of the culture of the LA/LZ/LJ? Moreover, the relations between your colleagues?

Q3. I have heard that healthcare services should be free access rather than commercialized business. Do you think of that? What do you think is the future direction of public medical and private medical care in Portugal?

V. Questions on activities

For administrators and executives

Q1. What kind of managerial, financial, and operational decisions do you usually do in LA/LZ/LJ? (write down on the notebook)

Probe: Some research shows that the hospital's performance will be better if having the doctors involving in the hospital management. Do you ever talk with doctors when you are doing the managerial job?

Q2. What qualities do you think that the medical professionals have in the LA/LZ/LJ?

Q3. Do you think that the internet or artificial intelligence (AI) will replace most doctors in the future?

For junior medical professionals

Q1. Do you have any working experience before joining the LA/LZ/LJ? What are the difficulties and challenges of your job position?

Probe: Are the working experiences different from the ones in the public hospitals?

Probe: Would some of those challenges or difficulties become barriers that impede the development of your career?

Q2. Do you have a chance to communicate with the management people in the LA/LZ/LJ?

Probe: Do you feel your voice being heard?

Probe: Would you work at the position in the LA/LZ/LJ in the future?

For senior medical professionals

Q1. What do you like the most during you practice medicine as your career?

Probe: what do you do most now besides seeing patients/doing the operations?

Q2. Have you meet some of those challenges or difficulties that impede the development of your career?

Probe: Do you have a chance to communicate about the difficulties with the non-medical management staff in LA/LZ/LJ?

VI. Questions on attitudes /emotions /impacts

For administrators and executives

Q1. What are the criteria that you use to evaluate the medical staff working here? Do you think that the medical professionals behave differently when they work in the private LA/LZ/LJ?

Q2. Some research shows that the hospital's performance will be better if having the doctors involving in the hospital management. Do you have any chance/necessities to discuss with the medical/nursing directors in each department during the decision-making process? Or just get some feedback after the decisions were made?

Probe: What kind of impacts and results do medical professionals have reached successfully and meaningfully in the LA/LZ/LJ?

Probe: To what extent do you think that medical professionals can influence the managerial/ financial/ operational decision-making processes?

For junior medical professionals

Q1. Have you ever talked about the problems found during the work with the administrators or executives of the LA/LZ/LJ?

Probe: What do you think of this kind of conversation? To what extent do you think that medical professionals can influence the managerial/ financial/ operational decision-making processes?

Probe: What kind of impacts and results do medical professionals have reached successfully and meaningfully in the LA/LZ/LJ?

Q2. How do you feel and think about the culture of the LA/LZ/LJ?

Probe: How is the relationship between you and the management staff in the LA/LZ/LJ?

Q3. What is your opinion about the business-oriented corporate governance that is applied in the LA/LZ/LJ?

Probe: Are you willing to let someone who has no medical background to take charge of you and the healthcare services?

Q4. Will you continue to work for private healthcare institutions like LA/LZ/LJ in the future?

Probe: Is there a chance for medical professionals to be a member of the management team/board in a healthcare institution like LA/LZ/LJ?

For senior medical professionals

Q1. Do you have a chance to communicate with the non-medical management staff?

Probe: To what extent do you think that medical professionals can influence the managerial/ financial/ operational decision-making processes in the LA/LZ/LJ?

Q2. Would you like to let someone who has no medical background to take charge of you and the business of healthcare services?

Probe: Do you want to have your own clinics that operate under the corporate governance model?

X. Wrap up

We have shared some valuable information today. We all have interesting stories when it comes to our healthcare practice. Once again, I want to thank you for taking the time out of your busy schedule to come to the interview today. I hope you had a good time.