PHYSICAL REVIEW E 92, 021101(R) (2015)

Transverse electron-scale instability in relativistic shear flows

E. P. Alves,^{1,*} T. Grismayer,¹ R. A. Fonseca,^{1,2} and L. O. Silva^{1,†}

¹GoLP/Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal

²DCTI/ISCTE-Instituto Universitário de Lisboa, 1649-026 Lisbon, Portugal

(Received 14 October 2014; published 19 August 2015)

Electron-scale surface waves are shown to be unstable in the transverse plane of a sheared flow in an initially unmagnetized collisionless plasma, not captured by (magneto)hydrodynamics. It is found that these unstable modes have a higher growth rate than the closely related electron-scale Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in relativistic shears. Multidimensional particle-in-cell simulations verify the analytic results and further reveal the emergence of mushroomlike electron density structures in the nonlinear phase of the instability, similar to those observed in the Rayleigh Taylor instability despite the great disparity in scales and different underlying physics. This transverse electron-scale instability may play an important role in relativistic and supersonic sheared flow scenarios, which are stable at the (magneto)hydrodynamic level. Macroscopic ($\gg c/\omega_{pe}$) fields are shown to be generated by this microscopic shear instability, which are relevant for particle acceleration, radiation emission, and to seed magnetohydrodynamic processes at long time scales.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.92.021101

PACS number(s): 52.35.-g, 52.65.Rr, 47.20.Ft, 95.30.Sf

A fundamental question in plasma physics concerns the stability of a given plasma configuration. Unstable plasma configurations are ubiquitous and constitute important dissipation sites via the operation of plasma instabilities, which typically convert plasma kinetic energy into thermal and electric or magnetic field energy. Plasma instabilities can occur at microscopic (particle kinetic) and macroscopic [magnetohydrodynamic (MHD)] scales, and are generally studied separately using simplified frameworks that focus on a particular scale and neglect the other. This approach conceals the role that microscopic processes may have on the macroscopic plasma dynamics, which in many scenarios cannot be disregarded. It is now recognized, for instance, that collisionless plasma instabilities operating on the electron scale in unmagnetized plasmas, such as the Weibel [1] and streaming instabilities [2], play a crucial role in the formation of (macroscopic) collisionless shocks in astrophysical [3–7] and laboratory conditions [8,9]. These microscopic instabilities result from the bulk interpenetration between plasmas and are believed to be intimately connected to important questions such as particle acceleration and radiation emission in astrophysical scenarios [10,11].

Sheared plasma flow configurations can host both microscopic and macroscopic instabilities simultaneously, although the former have been largely overlooked. Sheared flow settings have been traditionally studied using the MHD framework [12–14], where the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (KHI) is the only instability known to develop [15]. Only very recently have collisionless unmagnetized sheared plasma flows been addressed experimentally [16] and using particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations, revealing a rich variety of electron-scale processes, such as the electron-scale KHI (ESKHI), dc magnetic field generation, and unstable transverse dynamics [17–22]. The generated fields and modified particle distributions due to these microscopic processes can strongly impact succeeding macroscopic dynamics of the sheared flow. However, while both the ESKHI and the dc magnetic

field formation mechanism have been treated theoretically, an analytical description of the transverse shear instability, observed in kinetic simulations, is still lacking. An established analytical description is crucial in order to assess its relevance in different physical scenarios.

This Rapid Communication focuses on the shear surface instability that occurs in the plane perpendicular to that of the ESKHI. These new unstable modes explain the transverse dynamics and structures observed in PIC simulations in [17,19,20,22]. We label this effect the mushroom instability (MI) due to the mushroomlike structures that emerge in the electron density.

We analyze the stability of electromagnetic perturbations in the transverse xy plane of a collisionless plasma sheared flow with velocity profile $\vec{v_0} = v_0(x)\vec{e}_z$. We assume a cold $(v_{\rm th} \ll v_0)$, where $v_{\rm th}$ is the thermal velocity) unmagnetized plasma, and impose charge and current neutrality, $n_{e0} = n_{i0} =$ n_0 , $v_{e0} = v_{i0}$ (subscripts e and i refer to electron and ion quantities, respectively) to guarantee initial equilibrium. We use a two-fluid model where the relativistic equations of motion and continuity equations for the electron and ion fluids are coupled to Maxwell's equations. We assume linear perturbations in the fluid quantities of the form $f = f_0 + \delta f$ with $\delta f = \overline{\delta f}(x)e^{iky-i\omega t}$ ($\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$ are frequency and wave number, respectively) with all zeroth order quantities being zero except for $n_0(x)$ and $v_0(x)$. We find for the perturbed current densities, $\delta j_x = -e(1 + m_e/m_i)n_0\delta v_{ex}, \ \delta j_y = -e(1 + m_e/m_i)n_0\delta v_{ex}$ $m_e/m_i)n_0\delta v_{ey}, \ \delta j_z = -e(1+m_e/m_i)(n_0\delta v_{ez}+\delta nv_0).$ Substituting in Maxwell's equations, and after some manipulation, one can write a reduced 2×2 system of the form $\bar{\epsilon} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$, where the coefficients of the tensor ϵ_{ij} are operators of the form $\epsilon_{ij} = \sum_{m=0}^{2} C_{ij,m}[\omega, k_y, v_0(x), n_0(x)]\partial_{x^m}$ and $\delta \mathbf{E} = (\delta E_y, \delta E_z)$. In order to obtain analytical results, we use a step velocity shear and density profile of the form $v_0(x) = v^- + (v^+ - v^-)\mathcal{H}(x)$ and $n_0(x) = n^- + (n^+ - v^-)\mathcal{H}(x)$ $n^{-}\mathcal{H}(x)$ where \mathcal{H} is the Heaviside function. Integrating $\overline{\epsilon} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$ for $x \neq 0$ and using the continuity of δE_y and δE_z across the shear interface, we find solutions corresponding to evanescent waves: $\delta E_{y,z}(x) = \delta \overline{E}_{y,z}(0)e^{-k_{\perp}^{\pm}|x|}$

^{*}e.paulo.alves@ist.utl.pt

[†]luis.silva@ist.utl.pt

where $k_{\perp}^{\pm} = \sqrt{D_{\perp}^{\pm}/c^2}$, $D_{\perp}^{\pm} = c^2k^2 + \omega_{pe\pm}^2/\gamma_{\pm} - \omega^2$, $\omega_{pe\pm}^2 = e^2n^{\pm}(1 + m_e/m_i)/\epsilon_0m_e$, and $\gamma_{\pm} = 1/\sqrt{1 - (v^{\pm}/c)^2}$. By evaluating the derivative jump of the electric fields across the shear interface we arrive at $\mathbf{\bar{I}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E_0} = \mathbf{0}$, where $\delta \mathbf{E_0} = (\delta \bar{E}_y(0), \delta \bar{E}_z(0))$ and $I_{ij} = a_{ij}^+ k_{\perp}^+ + a_{ij}^- k_{\perp}^-$; $a_{11} = (\omega^2 - \omega_{pe}^2/\gamma_0)D_{\perp}^{-1}, a_{12} = -(kv_0/\omega)(\omega_{pe}^2/\gamma_0)D_{\perp}^{-1}, a_{21} = a_{12}$, and $a_{22} = -1 - (k^2c^2/\omega^2 - 1)(\omega_{pe}^2/\gamma_0)(v_0^2/c^2)D_{\perp}^{-1}$. The dispersion relation is finally obtained by solving det($\mathbf{\bar{I}}$) = $\mathbf{0}$. In the special case, $n^+ = n^- = \bar{n}_0$ and $v^+ = -v^- = \bar{v}_0$, the growth rate reads

$$\frac{\Gamma}{\omega_{pe}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{4k^2 \bar{v_0}^2}{\bar{\gamma}_0 \omega_{pe}^2} + D_{//}^2} - D_{//} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{1}$$

with $\Gamma = \text{Im}(\omega)$ and $D_{//} = 1/\bar{\gamma}_0^3 + k^2 c^2/\omega_{pe}^2$.

The fastest growing mode of this unstable branch $(\partial_k \Gamma = 0)$ is found at $k \to \infty$ (it will be shown later that finite thermal effects and/or smooth velocity shear profiles introduce a cutoff at finite k). This limit corresponds to the maximum growth rate of the instability Γ_{max} for a given sheared flow Lorentz factor, and it is given by $\Gamma_{\text{max}}/\omega_{pe} = \bar{v_0}/c\sqrt{\bar{\gamma_0}}$. In the limit, $n^- \gg n^+/\gamma_+^3$, $\gamma_+ \gg 1$, and $v^- = 0$, relevant for astrophysical jet– interstellar medium shear interaction, the maximum growth rate yields $\Gamma_{\text{max}}/\omega_{pe+} \simeq 1/\sqrt{2\gamma_+}$.

The MI and ESKHI [17] growth rates are compared for different shear Lorentz factors and velocities in Fig. 1. It is clear that the ESKHI has higher growth rates than the MI for subrelativistic settings. However, the MI growth rate decays with $\bar{\gamma}_0^{-1/2}$, slower than the ESKHI, which decays with $\bar{\gamma}_0^{-3/2}$, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Therefore, given that the noise sources for both instabilities are similar, the MI is the dominant electron-scale instability in relativistic shear scenarios.

It is important to note that the MI (and the ESKHI) can operate in cold, i.e., highly supersonic shearing flows, where the (magneto)hydrodynamic KHI is suppressed [23,24]. Such shear configurations are stable to macroscopic perturbations and will only trigger microscopic instabilities.

To verify the theoretical model and better understand the underlying feedback cycle of the MI, we first analyze the evolution of a single unstable mode in an electron-proton (e^-p^+) sheared flow using the PIC code OSIRIS [25,26]. We simulate a domain with dimensions $20 \times 5(c/\omega_{pe})^2$, resolved with 40 cells per c/ω_{pe} , and use 36 particles per cell per species. The sheared flow initial condition is set by the velocity



FIG. 1. (Color online) Maximum growth rates of the MI and ESKHI versus (a) flow velocity \bar{v}_0 and (b) flow Lorentz factor $\bar{\gamma}_0$, highlighting the dominance of the MI (ESKHI) in relativistic (subrelativistic) flows. The circle markers represent results of 2D PIC simulations.



FIG. 2. (Color online) Evolution of a single unstable mode $(k_{\text{seed}}c/\omega_{pe} = 2\pi/5)$ of the MI in an e^-p^+ shear. Frames (a) and (b) show the electron density and the out-of-plane current density J_z , at times (1) $t\omega_{pe} = 16$, (2) $t\omega_{pe} = 26$, and (3) $t\omega_{pe} = 38$. The evolution of the self-consistent in-plane magnetic vector field is also displayed in frames (b).

field $\bar{v_0}/c = +0.5$ for $L_x/4 < x < 3L_x/4$, and $\bar{v_0}/c = -0.5$ for $x < L_x/4 \cup x > 3L_x/4$, where L_i is the size of the simulation box in the *i*th direction. The system is initially charge and current neutral. Periodic boundary conditions are imposed in every direction. In order to ensure the growth of a single mode, both e^-p^+ temperatures are set to zero, and an initial harmonic perturbation $\delta v_x = \delta \bar{v}_x \cos(k_{\text{seed}}y)$ in the velocity field of the electrons, with $\delta \bar{v}_x/c = 10^{-4}$ and $k_{\text{seed}} = 2\pi/L_y$, is introduced to seed the mode k_{seed} of the instability.

The evolution of the electron density, the out-of-plane current density J_z , and the in-plane magnetic field are presented in Fig. 2, which zooms in on the shear interface at $x = L_x/4$. The initial velocity perturbation δv_x transports electrons across the velocity shear gradient, producing a current imbalance in δJ_z . This current induces an in-plane magnetic field (namely, δB_y) that, in turn, enhances the velocity perturbation δv_x via the $v_0 \times \delta B_y$ force. The enhanced velocity perturbation then leads to further electron transport across the velocity shear gradient in a feedback loop process, which underlies the growth of the instability in the linear stage. The surface wave character of the fields, predicted by the linear theory, is also observed in Fig. 2(b1).

The MI eventually enters the nonlinear phase when the growing magnetic fields become strong enough to significantly displace the electrons and distort the shear interface. The nonlinear distortion of the shear interface in the electron fluid [Fig. 2(a2)] leads to the formation of electron surface current filaments [Fig. 2(b2)]. These surface current filaments effectively translate in a strong dc (nonzero average along the y direction) out-of-plane current structure on either side of the shear interface. Note that the protons in the background remain unperturbed on these time scales due to their inertia, and also contribute to the formation of the dc current. The dc current structure induces a strong dc magnetic field in B_{y} , as seen by the uniform field lines along the shear interface in Figs. 2(b2) and 2(b3). The dc magnetic field, in turn, continues to drive the shear boundary distortion via the $v_0 \times \delta B_v$ force, effectively mixing the electrons across the shear, and further enhancing the dc current structure in an unstable loop. The development of the dc magnetic field has been previously shown to be associated to other electron-scale processes in sheared flows, like the nonlinear development of the cold ESKHI and electron thermal expansion effects [18]. Here we show that the MI is an additional mechanism capable of driving the dc magnetic field. The nonlinear distortion of the shear boundary in the electron fluid, driven by the dc field physics, ultimately gives rise to the formation of the mushroomlike electron density structures shown in Fig. 2(a3). Interestingly, these electron density structures are very similar to those produced by the Rayleigh-Taylor instability [27–29] despite the great disparity between scales (electronkinetic versus hydro-MHD scales) and different underlying physics.

The evolution of the ratio of total magnetic field energy to initial particle kinetic energy (ϵ_B/ϵ_p), for the single mode $k_{\text{seed}}c/\omega_{pe} = 2\pi/5$ simulation, is presented in the inset of Fig. 3. The exponential growth associated with the linear development of the instability is observed for $10 \leq t\omega_{pe} \leq$ 30, matching the theoretical growth rate. The instability saturates at $t\omega_{pe} \simeq 40$, approximately when the size of the mushroomlike density structure is on the order of $2\pi/k_{\text{seed}}$ [Fig. 2(a3)]. Various simulations with different k_{seed} values were performed, verifying the dispersion relation of Eq. (1) [Fig. 3(a)].

The inclusion of thermal effects should introduce an instability cutoff at a finite wave number $k_{\text{cutoff}}\lambda_D \sim 2\pi$ (λ_D is the Debye length), since the thermal pressure force $F_p \sim k(k_B T_\perp)$ (k_B and T_\perp are the Boltzmann constant and electron



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) MI growth rate versus wave number for an e^-p^+ sheared flow with $\bar{v^+} = -\bar{v_-} = \bar{v_0} = 0.5c$ (solid line); circle markers represent the results of 2D PIC simulations. The inset shows the evolution of ϵ_B/ϵ_p for the single mode simulation with $k_{\text{seed}}c/\omega_{pe} = 2\pi$. (b) Finite temperature effects on the growth rate of the MI triggered by a hot relativistic e^-e^+ jet with $\gamma_+ = 50$, shearing with a cold stationary plasma with $\gamma_- = 1$ and $n^+ = n^-$. Solid lines represent the theoretical slope $\Gamma_{\text{max}}/\omega_{pe+} = 1/\sqrt{2(\gamma_+)}$ for each case.

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 92, 021101(R) (2015)

temperature perpendicular to v_0), can overcome the electric and magnetic forces that drive the unstable arrangement of the electric currents at high k [30]. Yet such effects must be incorporated through kinetic theory, particularly when $v_{\rm th} \sim v_0$, since the thermal expansion of electrons may mitigate the velocity shear gradient, impacting the development of the instability. Interestingly, however, the thermal expansion is greatly reduced when considering ultrarelativistic ($\gamma_0 \gg 1$) sheared flows, incidentally the regime where the MI is most significant, allowing the use (to some extent) of the cold fluid treatment outlined above. When considering a plasma of temperature T_R (defined in its rest frame) and drifting relativistically in the z direction with mean velocity $v_0 =$ $\beta_0 c$, most of the particles have a large Lorentz factor and thus $\langle \beta^2 \rangle = \int d^3 \vec{p} f(\vec{p}) \beta^2 \simeq 1$, where $f(\vec{p})$ is the Juettner distribution [31,32]. The velocity dispersion in the direction of the drift is $\langle (\beta_z - \beta_0)^2 \rangle = \langle \beta_z^2 \rangle - \beta_0^2 \simeq 0$. Since $\langle \beta^2 \rangle = \langle \beta_{\perp}^2 + \beta_z^2 \rangle$, we find that $\langle \beta_{\perp}^2 \rangle \simeq 1 - \beta_0^2 = 1/\gamma_0^2$ (exact for $\xi = k_B T_R / m_e c^2 \gg 1$), restricting the thermal expansion at high γ_0 . The average particle Lorentz factor increases with T_R as $\langle \gamma \rangle \sim \gamma_0 \{1 + [1 + \mu(\xi)]\xi\}$ [32], where $\mu(\xi) = 3$ (3/2) for $\xi \gg 1$ ($\xi \ll 1$); this factor modifies the growth rate of the MI to $\Gamma_{\rm max}/\omega_{pe} \sim 1/\sqrt{\langle \gamma \rangle}$ (for the symmetric shear scenario) due to the enhanced average relativistic particle mass. Hence, thermal expansion effects remain negligible as long as $c\sqrt{\langle \beta_{\perp}^2 \rangle}/\Gamma_{\rm max} \ll c/\omega_{pe}\sqrt{\langle \gamma \rangle}$ [18], which is fulfilled for $\gamma_0 \gg 1$.

We have verified the role of thermal effects on the MI development in an e^-e^+ shear configuration consisting of a hot relativistic jet, with $\gamma_+ = 50$ and $\xi_+ = 0.1, 1, 5$, and a cold stationary background, with $\gamma_- = 1$ and $\xi_- = 10^{-4}$; we consider $n^- = n^+ = n_0$. We simulate these configurations in a domain of $200 \times 100(c/\omega_{pe})^2$ resolved with a 4000 $\times 2000$ grid. As expected, the MI growth rate decreases with ξ_+ [Fig. 3(b)], and is consistent with the temperature-enhanced average relativistic particle mass predicted analytically. The evolution of the electron density around one of the shear interfaces of the system is presented in Fig. 4 for the case $\xi_+ = 1$. At early times, $k \lesssim k_{\text{cutoff}}$ structures are observed



FIG. 4. (Color online) Electron density evolution in relativistic e^-e^+ shear, with $\gamma_+ = 50$ and $\gamma_- = 1$, at (a1) $t\omega_{pe} = 80$, (a2) $t\omega_{pe} = 160$, and (a3) $t\omega_{pe} = 400$. Small-scale current filaments are excited at early times, merging into large-scale structures as the instability develops. Vector field represents self-generated magnetic field structure.

in the electron density in Fig. 4(a1). These small-scale structures, which are essentially surface current filaments, magnetically interact with each other and merge [Figs. 4(a2) and 4(a3)], forming larger-scale structures in a similar manner to the current filament merging dynamics associated with the current filamentation instability [33]. The evolution of the self-generated magnetic field structure is also illustrated in Fig. 4. In addition, saturation of the self-generated magnetic field (B_{sat}) is achieved when $\Gamma_{max} \sim \omega_B = eB_{sat}/m\langle \gamma_+ \rangle$, based on magnetic trapping considerations [34].

We have also considered the effects of a smooth shear in the development of the MI. We have performed twodimensional (2D) PIC simulations with velocity profiles of the form $v_0(x) = \bar{v_0} \tanh(x/L_v)$, where L_v is the shear gradient length, which reveal the persistent onset of the MI at gradient lengths up to $L_v \omega_{pe}/c = \sqrt{m_i/m_e} \gg 1$ (verified for mildly relativistic shears). The MI can thus be of relevance to the physics on the ion length and time scale. It is found that the introduction of the finite shear length L_v also leads to a fastest growing mode at a finite $k_{\text{max}} \simeq 1/L_v$. The growth rate Γ_{max} of the MI decreases with increasing L_v , but remains higher than the ESKHI for the same L_v in relativistic scenarios.

Interestingly, due to its electromagnetic nature, the MI (and the ESKHI) is also found to operate in the absence of "contact" between flows, i.e., in the case of a finite gap L_g separating the shearing flows (Fig. 5), highlighting the different nature of the MI compared to the (bulk) twostream and current filamentation instabilities. This setting is closely connected to the work explored in [35] on the development of electromagnetic instabilities between shearing polarizable dielectric slabs, separated by a nanometer-scale gap; the development of such instabilities results in an effective noncontact friction force between slabs [36], which is the classical analog to the quantum friction effect identified in [37]. The role of the MI, however, was overlooked since only subrelativistic configurations were considered; the transverse MI modes will be predominant in the relativistic regime. Following the same procedure as before, and taking into account the new boundary conditions imposed by the vacuum gap, we arrive at the dispersion relation for the transverse MI modes for symmetrically shearing plasma slabs separated



FIG. 5. (Color online) MI development in the case of a finite gap between flows with $L_g \omega_{pe}/c = 5$ and $\bar{\gamma}_0 = \sqrt{3}$. Frames (a1) and (a2) reveal the electron density at times $t\omega_{pe} = 0$ and $t\omega_{pe} = 725$, respectively.



FIG. 6. (Color online) Theoretical MI growth rate versus L_g for $\bar{\gamma_0} = \sqrt{3}$ displayed in the red (upper dashed) curve and for $\bar{\gamma_0} = 10^3$ displayed in the blue (lower dashed) curve. The theoretical growth rates are solved numerically from Eq. (2). The circle markers represent results of 2D PIC simulations.

by L_g ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_{\perp v}^{2} - \frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{pe}^{2}} \bar{\gamma}_{0}^{3} \left(k_{\perp v}^{2} + k_{\perp p}^{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} \cosh(k_{\perp v} L_{g}) \\ + k_{\perp p} k_{\perp v} \left(1 - 2 \frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{pe}^{2}} \bar{\gamma}_{0}^{3} \right) \sinh(k_{\perp v} L_{g}) \\ + k_{\perp v}^{2} - \bar{\gamma}_{0}^{2} \left(k_{\perp v}^{2} + k^{2} \right) = 0,$$
(2)

 $k_{\perp v}c = \sqrt{k^2c^2 - \omega^2}$ where and $k_{\perp v}c =$ $\sqrt{k^2c^2 + \omega_{pe}^2/\bar{\gamma}_0 - \omega^2}$. Similarly to the effect of a smooth velocity shear gradient, the growth rate of the MI decreases with L_g and the fastest growing mode occurs at a finite $k_{\rm max} \sim 1/L_g$. We have performed various 2D PIC for different shear Lorentz factors and different values of L_g , verifying the theoretical growth rates calculated from Eq. (2) (Fig. 6). In addition, it is found from Eq. (2) that there is a critical gap length (L_g^{max}) beyond which the MI is suppressed for a given shear Lorentz factor, which is given by $L_g^{\max}\omega_{pe}/c\simeq$ $2(\bar{\gamma_0}^2-1)\sqrt{\bar{\gamma_0}}$. This cutoff length occurs at $L_g^{\text{max}}\omega_{pe}/c\simeq 5.3$ for $\bar{\gamma}_0 = \sqrt{3}$, which is visible in Fig. 6 due to the abrupt decay of the MI growth rate near $L_g \omega_{pe}/c = 5$. For highly relativistic shear Lorentz factors, however, the cutoff length occurs for extremely large values, $L_g^{max}\omega_{pe}/c \simeq 2\bar{\gamma}_0^{5/2} \gg 1$. The shearing slabs can therefore be strongly coupled in the highly relativistic limit, triggering the MI even when $L_g \gg c/\omega_{pe}$. For large separation lengths $(L_g \omega_{pe}/c \gg \sqrt{\bar{\gamma_0}})$, and for highly relativistic shears $(\bar{\gamma_0} \gg 1)$, the MI growth rate is found to scale as $\Gamma_{\max}^{gap}/\omega_{pe} \sim \sqrt{8/\cosh(2)}/(L_g\omega_{pe}/c)$, and the wave number of the fastest growing mode as $k_{\rm max} \simeq 2/L_g$.

In conclusion, we have described a fast-growing electronscale instability (MI) that develops in unmagnetized sheared flows, and that explains the structures observed in [17,19,20,22]. We have shown via analytic theory that the MI grows faster than the closely related ESKHI (that operates in the perpendicular plane) in relativistic shears. These analytical results support the findings reported in [17], where three-dimensional PIC simulations of cold, unmagnetized sheared flows were investigated, showing the simultaneous operation of the ESKHI and MI, and where it was found that the MI dominates over the ESKHI in relativistic scenarios.

TRANSVERSE ELECTRON-SCALE INSTABILITY IN ...

Furthermore, we have presented 2D PIC simulations that give insight into the formation of the mushroomlike electron density structures in the nonlinear stage of the MI. The MI was also shown to be robust against a variety of nonideal (realistic) shear conditions: (i) operating under relativistic thermal effects, (ii) different densities between shearing flows, (iii) smooth velocity shear profiles $(L_v \omega_{pe}/c \gg 1)$, and, quite surprisingly, (iv) even in the absence of contact between flows $(L_g \omega_{pe}/c \gg 1 \text{ for highly relativistic shears}).$ Relativistic collisionless sheared flow conditions, capable of triggering the MI, may be reproduced in the laboratory by propagating a globally neutral relativistic e^-e^+ beam [38] in a hollow plasma or dielectric channel [39,40], allowing experimental access to the MI and sheared flow dynamics on the electron scale. We have begun to explore this configuration in [41]. In the astrophysical context, the unexpected ability

- [1] E. Weibel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 83 (1959).
- [2] A. Bret, M. C. Firpo, and C. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. E 70, 046401 (2004).
- [3] L. O. Silva, R. A. Fonseca, J. W. Tonge, J. M. Dawson, W. B. Mori, and M. V. Medvedev, Astrophys. J. 596, L121 (2003).
- [4] J. T. Frederiksen, C. B. Hededal, T. Haugbolle, and A. Nordlund, Astrophys. J. 608, L13 (2004).
- [5] K. I. Nishikawa, P. Hardee, G. Richardson, R. Preece, H. Sol, and G. J. Fishman, Astrophys. J. 622, 927 (2005).
- [6] A. Spitkovsky, Astrophys. J. Lett. 682, L5 (2008).
- [7] S. F. Martins, R. A. Fonseca, L. O. Silva, and W. B. Mori, Astrophys. J. 695, L189 (2009).
- [8] F. Fiúza, R. A. Fonseca, J. Tonge, W. B. Mori, and L. O. Silva, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 235004 (2012).
- [9] A. Stockem, F. Fiúza, A. Bret, R. A. Fonseca, and L. O. Silva, Sci. Rep. 4, 3934 (2014).
- [10] M. V. Medvedev and A. Loeb, Astrophys. J. 526, 697 (1999).
- [11] A. Gruzinov and E. Waxman, Astrophys. J. 511, 852 (1999).
- [12] A. Frank, T. W. Jones, D. S. Ryu, and J. B. Gaalaas, Astrophys. J. 460, 777 (1996).
- [13] R. Keppens, G. Tóth, R. Westermann, and J. P. Goedbloed, J. Plasma Phys. 61, 1 (1999).
- [14] W. Zhang, A. MacFadyen, and P. Wang, Astrophys. J. Lett. 692, L40 (2009).
- [15] S. Chandrasekhar, Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability, International Series of Monographs on Physics (Clarendon, Oxford, 1961).
- [16] Y. Kuramitsu, Y. Sakawa, S. Dono, C. D. Gregory, S. A. Pikuz, B. Loupias, M. Koenig, J. N. Waugh, N. Woolsey, T. Morita, T. Moritaka, T. Sano, Y. Matsumoto, A. Mizuta, N. Ohnishi, and H. Takabe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 195004 (2012).
- [17] E. P. Alves, T. Grismayer, S. F. Martins, F. Fiúza, R. A. Fonseca, and L. O. Silva, Astrophys. J. Lett. 746, L14 (2012).
- [18] T. Grismayer, E. P. Alves, R. A. Fonseca, and L. O. Silva, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 015005 (2013).
- [19] E. Liang, M. Boettcher, and I. Smith, Astrophys. J. Lett. 766, L19 (2013).
- [20] E. Liang, W. Fu, M. Boettcher, I. Smith, and P. Roustazadeh, Astrophys. J. Lett. 779, L27 (2013).
- [21] K. I. Nishikawa, P. Hardee, B. Zhang, I. Duţan, M. Medvedev, E. J. Choi, K. W. Min, J. Niemiec, Y. Mizuno, A. Nordlund,

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 92, 021101(R) (2015)

of the microscopic MI to generate strong (equipartition) and macroscopic ($\gg c/\omega_{pe}$, in the case of e^-p^+ shears) fields from initially unmagnetized conditions can directly impact particle acceleration and radiation emission processes, and can seed the operation of macroscopic (MHD) processes at later times (e.g., magnetic dynamo).

E.P.A. and T.G. contributed equally to this work. This work was partially supported by the European Research Council (ERC-2010-AdG Grant No. 267841) and FCT (Portugal) Grants No. SFRH/BD/75558/2010 and No. IF/01780/2013. We acknowledge PRACE for awarding access to SuperMUC based in Germany at Leibniz Research Center. Simulations were performed on the ACCELERATES cluster (Lisbon, Portugal), and SuperMUC (Germany).

J. T. Frederiksen, H. Sol, M. Pohl, and D. H. Hartmann, Ann. Geophys. **31**, 1535 (2013).

- [22] K. I. Nishikawa, P. E. Hardee, I. Duţan, J. Niemiec, M. Medvedev, Y. Mizuno, A. Meli, H. Sol, B. Zhang, M. Pohl, and D. H. Hartmann, Astrophys. J. **793**, 60 (2014).
- [23] G. Bodo, A. Mignone, and R. Rosner, Phys. Rev. E 70, 036304 (2004).
- [24] N. D. Hamlin and W. I. Newman, Phys. Rev. E 87, 043101 (2013).
- [25] R. A. Fonseca, L. O. Silva, F. S. Tsung, V. K. Decyk, W. Lu, C. Ren, W. B. Mori, S. Deng, S. Lee, T. Katsouleas, and J. C. Adam, in *Comput. Sci.-ICCS 2002*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 2331, edited by P. M. A. Sloot, A. G. Hoekstra, C. J. K. Tan, and J. J. Dongarra (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002), pp. 342–351.
- [26] R. A. Fonseca, S. F. Martins, L. O. Silva, J. W. Tonge, F. S. Tsung, and W. B. Mori, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 50, 124034 (2008).
- [27] S. E. Bodner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 761 (1974).
- [28] K. Mima, T. Tajima, and J. N. Leboeuf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1715 (1978).
- [29] H. Takabe, K. Mima, L. Montierth, and R. L. Morse, Phys. Fluids 28, 3676 (1985).
- [30] L. O. Silva, R. A. Fonseca, J. W. Tonge, W. B. Mori, and J. M. Dawson, Phys. Plasmas 9, 2458 (2002).
- [31] F. Jüttner, Ann. Phys. 339, 856 (1911).
- [32] T. P. Wright and G. R. Hadley, Phys. Rev. A 12, 686 (1975).
- [33] M. V. Medvedev, M. Fiore, R. A. Fonseca, L. O. Silva, and W. B. Mori, Astrophys. J. 618, L75 (2005).
- [34] R. C. Davidson, Phys. Fluids 15, 317 (1972).
- [35] M. G. Silveirinha, Phys. Rev. X 4, 031013 (2014).
- [36] M. G. Silveirinha, New J. Phys. 16, 063011 (2014).
- [37] J. B. Pendry, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9, 10301 (1997).
- [38] P. Muggli, S. F. Martins, J. Vieira, and L. O. Silva, arXiv:1306.4380.
- [39] C. B. Schroeder, D. H. Whittum, and J. S. Wurtele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1177 (1999).
- [40] L. Yi, B. Shen, L. Ji, K. Lotov, A. Sosedkin, XiaomeiZhang, W. Wang, J. Xu, Y. Shi, L. Zhang, and Z. Xu, Sci. Rep. 4, 4171 (2014).
- [41] E. P. Alves, T. Grismayer, M. G. Silveirinha, R. A. Fonseca, and L. O. Silva, arXiv:1506.08715v1.